

Administrative Cause
Number: 09-088D

**ADVISORY COUNCIL RULE SUGGESTION REVIEW:
HUNTING, TRAPPING, TAKING MAMMALS / DEER**

**COMPREHENSIVE
FISH & WILDLIFE RULES PROJECT**

SUBSTANTIVE SUGGESTIONS

**TOPIC: HUNTING, TRAPPING, TAKING
MAMMALS**

SUB-TOPIC: DEER/SEASONS/MUZZLELOADER

Michaels, Debbie

From: McCammon [mlogging13@peoplepc.com]
Sent: Thursday, January 22, 2009 10:12 PM
To: NRCFWRulesProject
Subject: SUBSTANTIVE AMENDMENT SUGGESTION FORMHunting, Trapping or Taking Mammals

Hunting, Trapping or Taking Mammals
Deer

First Name
Brian

Last Name
McCammon

County
Sullivan

State of Residence
IN

Suggestions
shorten the muzzleloader season it is too long.

FIELDS NOT DEFINED IN THE TEMPLATE FOLLOW

Kane, Jennifer

From: SCHWING [SCHWINGS@EMBARQMAIL.COM]
Sent: Saturday, January 24, 2009 12:30 PM
To: NRCFWRulesProject
Subject: SUBSTANTIVE AMENDMENT SUGGESTION FORMHunting, Trapping or Taking Mammals

Hunting, Trapping or Taking Mammals
Deer

First Name
SCOTT

Last Name
SCHWING

County
OHIO

State of Residence
IN

Suggestions

WHY NOT ALLOW AN EARLY FIREARMS OR A MUZZLELOADER WEEKEND OR TWO, TO ALLOW HUNTERS TO TAKE ADDITIONAL DOES ESPECIALLY IN SOUTHERN INDIANA? I HAVE SHOT 6-7 DOES EACH SEASON FOR THE LAST SEVERAL YEARS AND GIVEN THE MEAT TO SOMEONE WHO NEEDS IT.

THE POPULATION SEEMS TO JUST KEEP REBOUNDED.

I HAVE JUST RECENTLY HIT MY 6TH DEER WITH ONE OF OUR CARS FOR AN UNDETERMINED AMOUNT OF DAMAGE AND COST. THIS IS CRAZY NOT TO ALLOW HUNTERS TO USE ANY MEANS TO TAKE THE ADDITIONAL DOES WITH ANY LEGAL FIREARM, RIFLE, BOW OR CROSSBOW:

THIS WILL ENCOURAGE HUNTERS TO SHOOT ADDITIONAL DOES WHEN IT IS WARMER, NOT IN THE RUT AND NICER WEATHER.

NO ONE (AT LEAST MY FRIENDS) WANTS TO SHOOT DOES IN SHOTGUN SEASON BECAUSE THEY THINK YOU MIGHT RUIN YOUR CHANCE AT A BUCK.

IF IT COMES DOWN TO PRINTING LICENSE AND THE STATE DOES NOT WANT TO ISSUE MORE TAGS, LET THE LIFETIME HUNTERS HAVE A CHANCE TO REDUCE THE DOE POPULATION WITH ANY LEGAL FIREARM. WE ARE THE DEDICATED HUNTERS IN INDIANA.

FIELDS NOT DEFINED IN THE TEMPLATE FOLLOW

Michaels, Debbie

From: Rice [in2sc-golf@hotmail.com]
Sent: Friday, February 06, 2009 1:57 PM
To: NRCFWRulesProject
Cc: Guinnup, Jacob
Subject: SUBSTANTIVE AMENDMENT SUGGESTION FORMHunting, Trapping or Taking Mammals

COMPREHENSIVE FISH & WILDLIFE RULES ENHANCEMENT PROJECT

Hunting, Trapping or Taking Mammals
Deer

First Name
Donald

Last Name
Rice

Email
in2sc-golf@hotmail.com

County
McCormick

State of Residence
SC

Suggestions

I sent a similar email out in 2006 (I think) which I was hopeful would be talked about in 07 and maybe implemented in 08. Since it hasn't been, I figure it cannot hurt to try again.

By reading the Indiana hunting regulations in the 2008-2009 Indiana Hunting & Trapping Guide, my interpretation of the number of deer that could be harvested by a single hunter, leads me to believe that Indiana still has an overpopulation of deer. I believe that my proposal could be somewhat helpful in that regards, but even more so it could help reduce the carbon foot prints left by people hunting in Indiana.

As it has been since I first started hunting deer in Indiana, for a reason that most likely made since when Indiana's deer herd was much smaller, the deer get a vacation from hunters from the Sunday after Thanksgiving until the following Saturday.

I and possibly others would/might have stayed in the field if Primitive Weapons Season had come in on Monday, December 1st instead of Saturday December 6th. This year I would have stayed hoping to see a deer (I did not see one in 1-1/2 weeks) and possibly harvesting at least one deer. Most years it would be to hopefully harvest a second deer. Had I remained I would have continued to spend money at various Indiana businesses.

I can not stay for five days of no hunting, spending money, waiting for the next season to come in. Nor will I make the 9+ hour drive back to Indiana (SC to French Lick) five days later. That would increase my carbon footprint way to much. Even after I retired in 2001 and still lived in Indiana, I didn't like having to drive 2-1/2 hours.

each direction (Indianapolis to French Lick) for a five day waiting period.

Thanks for taking the time to read the ramblings of a relocated ex-Indiana teacher and hunter. I continue to have a deer camp in southern Indiana and hopefully will have the health to hunt many more years in Indiana with my friends. Every year the drive gets a little tougher to make and since it is so long, I no longer can make it up for all the Indiana deer seasons. I used to hunt them all. Now I have to make do with just Gun Season. I can't remember the last time I hunted with my bow or my muzzleloader, but it was most likely pre-2004.

Don R Rice

McCormick, SC

in2sc_slv@wctel.net

Michaels, Debbie

From: Wilson [BEAGLEHUNTER1@netzero.com]
Sent: Saturday, January 31, 2009 12:26 AM
To: NRCFWRulesProject
Subject: SUBSTANTIVE AMENDMENT SUGGESTION FORMHunting, Trapping or Taking Mammals

Hunting, Trapping or Taking Mammals
Deer

First Name
Robert

Last Name
Wilson

Email
BEAGLEHUNTER1@netzero.com

County
LaPorte

State of Residence
IN

Suggestions

Keeping In. deer in control is a big thing these days. Yet keeping a good healthy population. I'd like to see muzzle loader season go to the end of December. This would give hunters the time to fill their licenses, with the time most get off for the holiday season. Most kills would be done. Muzzle loading is a great way to hunt just like archery and its getting more popular.

Kane, Jennifer

From: Kemp [afssadmin@ai.org]
Sent: Monday, January 26, 2009 11:02 AM
To: NRCFWRulesProject
Subject: SUBSTANTIVE AMENDMENT SUGGESTION FORMHunting, Trapping or Taking Mammals

Hunting, Trapping or Taking Mammals
Deer

First Name
Tracy

Last Name
Kemp

County
Hendricks

State of Residence
IN

Suggestions

Please allow us to continue muzzleloader season later than our existing. Either through end of December, or through mid January would help to control our doe population.

FIELDS NOT DEFINED IN THE TEMPLATE FOLLOW

Michaels, Debbie

From: Carter [brendacarter@insightbb.com]
Sent: Tuesday, February 10, 2009 8:13 PM
To: NRCFWRulesProject
Cc: Guinnup, Jacob
Subject: SUBSTANTIVE AMENDMENT SUGGESTION FORMHunting, Trapping or Taking Mammals

COMPREHENSIVE FISH & WILDLIFE RULES ENHANCEMENT PROJECT

Hunting, Trapping or Taking Mammals
Deer

First Name
Larry

Last Name
Carter

Email
brendacarter@insightbb.com

County
Floyd

State of Residence
IN

Suggestions
Change muzzleloader season to correspond with late archary season.

Michaels, Debbie

From: Newman [rjnewman@hughes.net]
Sent: Monday, January 19, 2009 10:17 AM
To: NRCFWRulesProject
Cc: jschroeder@www.in.gov
Subject: SUBSTANTIVE AMENDMENT SUGGESTION FORMHunting, Trapping or Taking Mammals

Hunting, Trapping or Taking Mammals
blank

First Name
Rich

Last Name
Newman

County
Bartholomew

City

State of Residence
IN

Suggestions

This suggestion is for a change in the Indiana firearms and muzzleloader season dates. I would like to see the amount of gun hunting reduced to half of what it is now by starting a 7 day firearms season after thanksgiving and a 7 day muzzleloader the second week of december. I beleive these changes to season dates would be better for the deer herd as a whole and increase hunter oportunties.

FIELDS NOT DEFINED IN THE TEMPLATE FOLLOW

Michaels, Debbie

From: Van Hoff [briguyjoe@msn.com]
Sent: Sunday, February 08, 2009 1:22 PM
To: NRCFWRulesProject
Cc: Guinnup, Jacob
Subject: SUBSTANTIVE AMENDMENT SUGGESTION FORMHunting, Trapping or Taking Mammals

COMPREHENSIVE FISH & WILDLIFE RULES ENHANCEMENT PROJECT

Hunting, Trapping or Taking Mammals
Deer

First Name
Brian

Last Name
Van Hoff

Email
briguyjoe@msn.com

County
Clark

State of Residence
IN

Suggestions
To Indiana Natural Resoures Commission. My comment as part of acomprehensive review and enhancement of wildlife rules. Is have oneweekend in October where hunters could use muzzleloaders to harvestdeer. This would be a good idea to reduce the deer herd and generaterevenue for the state and local economy.

Kane, Jennifer

From: Nissley [afssadmin@ai.org]
Sent: Friday, January 23, 2009 10:14 AM
To: NRCFWRulesProject
Subject: SUBSTANTIVE AMENDMENT SUGGESTION FORMHunting, Trapping or Taking Mammals

Follow Up Flag: Follow up
Flag Status: Red

Hunting, Trapping or Taking Mammals
Deer

First Name
Rodney

Last Name
Nissley

County
Whitley

State of Residence
IN

Suggestions

I would be in favor of an early muzzleloader season (prior to the rut) to give muzzleloader hunters a chance to hunt when it is above 20degrees!

FIELDS NOT DEFINED IN THE TEMPLATE FOLLOW

**COMPREHENSIVE
FISH & WILDLIFE RULES PROJECT**

SUBSTANTIVE SUGGESTIONS

**TOPIC: HUNTING, TRAPPING, TAKING
MAMMALS**

SUB-TOPIC: DEER/SEASONS/EXTEND ARCHERY

Michaels, Debbie

From: Fairchild [Fairchild522@bcremc.net]
Sent: Friday, March 13, 2009 2:12 PM
To: NRCFWRulesProject
Cc: Guinnup, Jacob
Subject: SUBSTANTIVE AMENDMENT SUGGESTION FORMHunting, Trapping or Taking Mammals

COMPREHENSIVE FISH & WILDLIFE RULES ENHANCEMENT PROJECT

Hunting, Trapping or Taking Mammals

Deer

First Name

Tracy

Last Name

Fairchild

Email

Fairchild522@bcremc.net

County

bartholomew

State of Residence

IN

Suggestions

Extend the late archery season by two weeks at the end of the season to allow more opportunity for people on school schedules and holiday breaks.

Kane, Jennifer

From: Andrews [sjamea@rtccom.net]
Sent: Tuesday, January 27, 2009 7:45 PM
To: NRCFWRulesProject
Subject: SUBSTANTIVE AMENDMENT SUGGESTION FORMHunting, Trapping or Taking Mammals

Hunting, Trapping or Taking Mammals
Deer

First Name
Steven

Last Name
Andrews

County
Lawrence

State of Residence
IN

Suggestions

Please consider extending deer archery season through January. This would provide additional opportunity without a large impact on total harvest.

FIELDS NOT DEFINED IN THE TEMPLATE FOLLOW

Michaels, Debbie

From: Johnson [deerhunter2_2002@yahoo.com]
Sent: Thursday, January 22, 2009 10:53 AM
To: NRCFWRulesProject
Subject: SUBSTANTIVE AMENDMENT SUGGESTION FORMHunting, Trapping or Taking Mammals

Hunting, Trapping or Taking Mammals
Deer

First Name
Richard

Last Name
Johnson

Email
deerhunter2_2002@yahoo.com

County
Warren

State of Residence
OH

Suggestions

1 Have bow season untill the end of Jaunary. 2. Have shorter gun and muzzleloader season shorter. 3. It would be nice to buy a deer tag of either sex and hunt all season on the one tag, at 150.00 per tag it gets expensive.

Michaels, Debbie

From: Isaacs [dennykaren2000@yahoo.com]
Sent: Thursday, January 22, 2009 7:19 AM
To: NRCFWRulesProject
Subject: SUBSTANTIVE AMENDMENT SUGGESTION FORMHunting, Trapping or Taking Mammals

Hunting, Trapping or Taking Mammals
Deer

First Name
Dennis

Last Name
Isaacs

Email
dennykaren2000@yahoo.com

County
Bartholomew

State of Residence
IN

Suggestions
Let's make early archery season open on September first or at leaston September 15. We could open the youth hunt the weekend before.

Michaels, Debbie

From: Isaacs [dennykaren2000@yahoo.com]
Sent: Thursday, January 22, 2009 7:17 AM
To: NRCFWRulesProject
Subject: SUBSTANTIVE AMENDMENT SUGGESTION FORMHunting, Trapping or Taking Mammals

Hunting, Trapping or Taking Mammals
Deer

First Name
Dennis

Last Name
Isaacs

Email
dennykaren2000@yahoo.com

County
Bartholomew

State of Residence
IN

Suggestions

Let's make early archery season open on September first or at leaston September 15. We could open the youth hunt the weekend before.

Kane, Jennifer

From: Roudebush [hdr494@smithville.net]
Sent: Wednesday, January 28, 2009 10:22 AM
To: NRCFWRulesProject
Subject: SUBSTANTIVE AMENDMENT SUGGESTION FORMHunting, Trapping or Taking Mammals

Hunting, Trapping or Taking Mammals
blank

First Name
Devon

Last Name
Roudebush

County
Greene

State of Residence
IN

Suggestions

Three of our neighboring states, Kentucky, Illinois, and Ohio, support archery seasons that close later than ours do. Kentucky and Ohio also open earlier than we do. According to a 11/18/2008 AP story, deer-vehicle accidents in Indiana are up 25 percent since 2003, the 11th highest in the nation (http://www.theheraldbulletin.com/statenews/local_story_323100140.html).

Recommend extending archery season later into January, or as late as February 1.

FIELDS NOT DEFINED IN THE TEMPLATE FOLLOW

Michaels, Debbie

From: Gronkiewicz [gronk@netnitco.net]
Sent: Wednesday, January 21, 2009 5:09 PM
To: NRCFWRulesProject
Subject: SUBSTANTIVE AMENDMENT SUGGESTION FORMHunting, Trapping or Taking Mammals

Hunting, Trapping or Taking Mammals
Deer

First Name
Mark

Last Name
Gronkiewicz

Email
gronk@netnitco.net

County
Jasper

State of Residence
IN

Suggestions

I would like to suggest extending the late archery/crossbow deerseason later into January. While hunting pressure falls off towardthe end of the existing season, those of us who like that part ofseason have a far greater success rate...especially with does. Thedeer are much easier to hunt since they pattern easier. Also, itwould allow those who want to hunt the late season but can't becauseof the holidays the opportunity to extend their season. There wouldprobably be more interest too because there are less other seasons toconflict with the later deer season.

Michaels, Debbie

From: Horn [joseph.horn@ge.com]
Sent: Wednesday, January 21, 2009 3:32 PM
To: NRCFWRulesProject
Cc: Guinnup, Jacob
Subject: SUBSTANTIVE AMENDMENT SUGGESTION FORMHunting, Trapping or Taking Mammals

Hunting, Trapping or Taking Mammals
Deer

First Name
Joseph

Last Name
Horn

County
Ohio

City

State of Residence
IN

Suggestions

I have requested this change before so I will go ahead and try it again. With the increasing whitetail deer herd, please consider extending the late bow season throughout the entire month of January to give Bowhunters additional opportunities to harvest the Deer and contribute to the effort to manage the herd. This assumes the State Biologists agree this would not be detrimental to the herd. If this is not possible please consider targeting certain regions or counties for an extended late bow season. Some of our bordering states have a bow season extending to January 31.

FIELDS NOT DEFINED IN THE TEMPLATE FOLLOW

Michaels, Debbie

From: Hottell [shottell@msdsc.org]
Sent: Wednesday, January 14, 2009 12:29 PM
To: NRCFWRulesProject
Subject: SUBSTANTIVE AMENDMENT SUGGESTION FORMHunting, Trapping or Taking Mammals

Hunting, Trapping or Taking Mammals
Deer

First Name
Scott

Last Name
Hottell

Email
shottell@msdsc.org

County
Steuben

State of Residence
IN

Suggestions

I suggest that Due to the very healthy deer population that the late archery season be extended two weeks into January.
Thank you

Michaels, Debbie

From: Hottell [shottell@msdsc.org]
Sent: Wednesday, January 14, 2009 12:22 PM
To: NRCFWRulesProject
Subject: SUBSTANTIVE AMENDMENT SUGGESTION FORMHunting, Trapping or Taking Mammals

Hunting, Trapping or Taking Mammals
Deer

First Name
Scott

Last Name
Hottell

Email
shottell@msdsc.org

County
Steuben

State of Residence
IN

Suggestions

I suggest that Due to the very healthy deer population that the late archery season be extended two weeks into January.

Thank you

**COMPREHENSIVE
FISH & WILDLIFE RULES PROJECT**

SUBSTANTIVE SUGGESTIONS

**TOPIC: HUNTING, TRAPPING, TAKING
MAMMALS**

**SUB-TOPIC: DEER/SEASONS/MOVE FIREARMS OUT
OF THE RUT**

Michaels, Debbie

From: McEowen [gusmc01@gmail.com]
Sent: Friday, January 23, 2009 1:19 PM
To: NRCFWRulesProject
Subject: SUBSTANTIVE AMENDMENT SUGGESTION FORMHunting, Trapping or Taking Mammals

Hunting, Trapping or Taking Mammals
Deer

First Name
Travis

Last Name
McEowen

County
Whitley

State of Residence
IN

Suggestions

In an effort to not have so many 1.5 year old "rut crazed" bucks killed each year, consider moving firearms season out of the primary rut and/or possibly shortening it. This should help the age structure of bucks in the herd. Perhaps using Illinois or Iowa as a model where the season is split into two 3 or 4 day weekends. Both could follow the primary rut (early December) or one period could be pre-rut and the other post-rut. Under this scenario, the archery seasons could remain unchanged and muzzleloader season simply moved back a week or two.

FIELDS NOT DEFINED IN THE TEMPLATE FOLLOW

Michaels, Debbie

From: fisher [aaron@wirco.com]
Sent: Wednesday, January 21, 2009 3:43 PM
To: NRCFWRulesProject
Cc: Guinnup, Jacob
Subject: SUBSTANTIVE AMENDMENT SUGGESTION FORMHunting, Trapping or Taking Mammals

Hunting, Trapping or Taking Mammals
Deer

First Name
aaron

Last Name
fisher

County
noble.

City

State of Residence
IN

Suggestions

It would be nice to shorten and move our firearms season away from the peak of the rut. We will never be able to compete with neighboring states ability to produce as many big bucks with a 2 week gun season in the peak of the rut. Two either 3 or 4 days season is plenty. The one buck rule has been a great success and i have seen tremendous improvement in the age class of the bucks that are in my area. Let's take it to the next level and move and shorten our firearms seasons.

FIELDS NOT DEFINED IN THE TEMPLATE FOLLOW

Kane, Jennifer

From: phelps [bphelps@ista-in.org]
Sent: Thursday, January 22, 2009 11:26 AM
To: NRCFWRulesProject
Subject: SUBSTANTIVE AMENDMENT SUGGESTION FORMHunting, Trapping or Taking Mammals

Hunting, Trapping or Taking Mammals
Deer

First Name
byron

Last Name
phelps

Email
bphelps@ista-in.org

County
randolph

State of Residence
IN

Suggestions

I would like to see the gun season for deer NOT coincide with the rut. Iowa, Illinois and Ohio intentionally avoid the rut when planning the opening day of firearms season. Deernumber are under control in most parts of the state and I have noproblem with the number of doe permits offered, but if we would liketo have trophy deed in Indiana we need to do all we can to help buckssurvive to age 3 or older. The only way that can happen is to avoidthe rut.

Thanks

Kane, Jennifer

From: Nussbaum [gprjudge@gmail.com]
Sent: Wednesday, January 28, 2009 11:12 AM
To: NRCFWRulesProject
Subject: SUBSTANTIVE AMENDMENT SUGGESTION FORMblank

Follow Up Flag: Follow up
Flag Status: Red

blank
blank

First Name
Phil

Last Name
Nussbaum

County
Stueben

State of Residence
IN

Suggestions

I would like to see our current deer firearms season taken out of the peak of the rut. I would like to see the season start after December 1st. With our current season structure of 30+ days of being able to carry some sort of gun (which is absurd!!!) we need some sort of season structure to help protect the bucks rather than putting it most years right in the middle of the peak of the rut when they are most vulnerable. Most people know that the One Buck Rule is helping, but our 30+ days of carrying a gun, combined with a season that starts in the middle of the rut.....just erodes what the One Buck Rule is trying to accomplish. A season date that starts after December 1st would help put more mature bucks in our herd in future years.....which in turn will help generate more revenue for the state and hunting industry as that is where most of the major \$\$\$ is being pumped into states right now that have a solid mature buck age class to hunt.....and in these hard economic times, I would hope that the state would be looking at regulation changes that could pump as much \$\$\$\$ into this state as possible during these hard economic times. Move the firearms season out of the rut.....watch the buck herd mature quickly in future years combined with our One Buck Rule.....and watch Hoosier and Out of state hunters begin to invest in our deer hunting with MAJOR \$\$\$ spent to hunt here.

Phil Nussbaum

FIELDS NOT DEFINED IN THE TEMPLATE FOLLOW

Kane, Jennifer

From: Nissley [nisslerk@grace.edu]
Sent: Thursday, January 22, 2009 10:41 AM
To: NRCFWRulesProject
Subject: SUBSTANTIVE AMENDMENT SUGGESTION FORMHunting, Trapping or Taking Mammals

Follow Up Flag: Follow up
Flag Status: Red

Hunting, Trapping or Taking Mammals
Deer

First Name
Rodney

Last Name
Nissley

Email
nisslerk@grace.edu

County
Whitley

State of Residence
IN

Suggestions

I would like to suggest that the DNR consider delaying the firearmsseason until early December much like the state of Iowa does. From data that I have seen, I believe this would minimize the harvest of antlered deer and thereby increase the age and quality of deer harvested. I believe having the firearm season in the peak of the rut causes too many aggressive two and three year old bucks to be harvested before their prime. Thank you.

**COMPREHENSIVE
FISH & WILDLIFE RULES PROJECT**

SUBSTANTIVE SUGGESTIONS

**TOPIC: HUNTING, TRAPPING, TAKING
MAMMALS**

**SUB-TOPIC: DEER/SEASONS/EXTEND FIREARMS
SEASON**

Michaels, Debbie

From: French [french4401@gmail.com]
Sent: Monday, February 09, 2009 2:07 PM
To: NRCFWRulesProject
Cc: Guinnup, Jacob
Subject: SUBSTANTIVE AMENDMENT SUGGESTION FORMHunting, Trapping or Taking Mammals

COMPREHENSIVE FISH & WILDLIFE RULES ENHANCEMENT PROJECT

Hunting, Trapping or Taking Mammals

Deer

First Name

Darrell

Last Name

French

Email

french4401@gmail.com

County

Morgan

State of Residence

IN

Suggestions

We currently have the Firearms season and the later Muzzleloaderseason. Why not convert both hunting slots to Firearms season.

I believe the "muzzleloader only" season was based upon the more primitive weapons. It was fair to give the muzzleloaders more time. We also had the "buck in each season" rule at that time, to be fair to muzzleloaders.

Now the modern muzzleloaders are superior firearms and it seems unfair us shotgunners can't enjoy equal shooting time.

And our current "one buck rule" eliminates concerns about "animal harvest" fairness.

It should be an easy transition.

Please consider that the sixteen days for shotgunners is not enough when you factor in that one of those weekends is when people have to attend thanksgiving functions, or another weekend day has to be allotted for a family birthday or anniversary or you may just have to work on your Saturdays.

Thanks, Darrell French

Michaels, Debbie

From: Sorrell [sdsorrell@aol.com]
Sent: Friday, February 06, 2009 8:31 PM
To: NRCFWRulesProject
Cc: Guinnup, Jacob
Subject: SUBSTANTIVE AMENDMENT SUGGESTION FORM Hunting, Trapping or Taking Mammals

COMPREHENSIVE FISH & WILDLIFE RULES ENHANCEMENT PROJECT

Hunting, Trapping or Taking Mammals

Deer

First Name

Sean

Last Name

Sorrell

Email

sdsorrell@aol.com

County

Hamilton

State of Residence

IN

Suggestions

Please extend the Deer firearms (general firearms weapons such as handgun/lever action, etc.) season through January. Maintain the one buck rule throughout while allowing additional does to be taken.

Michaels, Debbie

From: Green [mgreen@electronicerevolutions.com]
Sent: Wednesday, January 21, 2009 3:02 PM
To: NRCFWRulesProject
Cc: Guinnup, Jacob
Subject: SUBSTANTIVE AMENDMENT SUGGESTION FORMHunting, Trapping or Taking Mammals

Hunting, Trapping or Taking Mammals
Deer

First Name
Mark

Last Name
Green

County
Boone

City

State of Residence
IN

Suggestions

Please consider extending Deer hunting season an additional 4 weeksfor both shotgun and muzzleloader.

FIELDS NOT DEFINED IN THE TEMPLATE FOLLOW

Michaels, Debbie

From: Herring [elk7x57@comcast.net]
Sent: Thursday, March 26, 2009 11:09 PM
To: NRCFWRulesProject
Cc: Guinnup, Jacob
Subject: SUBSTANTIVE AMENDMENT SUGGESTION FORMHunting, Trapping or Taking Mammals

COMPREHENSIVE FISH & WILDLIFE RULES ENHANCEMENT PROJECT

Hunting, Trapping or Taking Mammals

Deer

First Name

William

Last Name

Herring

County

Morgan

State of Residence

IN

Suggestions

March 26, 2009

RE: Restructuring of Early Deer Seasons

Several Hoosier deer hunters have called for various changes in the deer hunting rules. Although many proposals have some good points, most only address one or two aspects that are critically important. And, many do not attempt to look at the "big picture." Likewise, what I propose does not address all aspects of deer management in Indiana. However, I have honestly considered the following points in order to provide a workable concept for developing rules for deer hunting during early seasons, which are hereby defined as those seasons occurring before what is currently called the Early Statewide Archery Season in October.

1. Establish seasons to encourage the recruitment and retention of adults as well as youth hunters.
2. Establish seasons that are very distinct, usually not overlapping other deer seasons to minimize real and perceived illegal activity, especially that involving antlered deer.
3. Establish early seasons such that only antlerless deer may be taken. Taking antlerless only deer and doing it during this time period is a very effective and efficient way to manage deer herd numbers. This also minimizes real and perceived illegal activity regarding antlered deer.
4. Establish seasons and bag limits that more effectively address overpopulation problems as perceived by farmers, motorists, and insurance companies.

5. Establish bag limits for antlerless deer that more realistically reflect DNR management goals for selected counties by using a drawing for a specified quota of early firearm licenses (using bonus licenses) for those counties. This can be set up after the first year to give preference to those hunters not selected the previous year. Thus, more new hunters can be successful and be retained in the hunting ranks by hunting during a season with a very high success rate.
6. Establish early seasons so that there is a minimum of five days of no deer hunting with firearms statewide before the first day of what is currently called the Early Statewide Archery Season in October. This will allow deer to "calm down" and return to their more predictable patterns for the opening day of the Early Statewide Archery Season.
7. Establish a new Early Firearm Antlerless Season (one weekend only) to "spread out" the opening dates of firearms hunting seasons, thus reducing somewhat the hunter density in the field on opening weekends. This may also serve to improve somewhat the overall safety of deer hunting. And, it would make it more attractive for those who are reluctant to hunt during crowded opening weekend of the regular Firearm Season in November.
8. Establish that all depredation permits must be for antlerless deer only.

Following is an example of how the above seasons could be set up in 2009 under the scenario in Point 6 above:

September 15 to October 10 --- Urban Deer Zones (antlerless only until first day of statewide archery season and remaining part of UDZ seasons same as current statewide archery season).
 September 26 & 27 --- Special Statewide Youth Deer Season (antlerless only) October 3 & 4 --- Early Firearm Antlerless Season (antlerless only & selected counties only) October 10 --- Early Statewide Archery Season Begins (antlered and antlerless same as now)

Alternatively, some of the early season dates could be moved to one week earlier to better accommodate some of the archers who do not want to give up much of early October. Here are possible alternative dates in 2009 under the scenario of Point 6 above:

September 15 to October 3 --- Urban Deer Zones (antlerless only until first day of statewide archery season and remaining part of UDZ seasons same as current statewide archery season).
 September 19 & 20 --- Special Statewide Youth Deer Season (antlerless only) September 26 & 27 --- Early Firearm Antlerless Season (antlerless only & selected counties only) October 3 --- Early Statewide Archery Season Begins (antlered and antlerless same as now)

Regarding the Early Firearm Antlerless Season (2-day weekend hunt in September or early October) I would suggest that it be by drawing only to those hunters possessing a valid bonus antlerless deer license (also include lifetime and youth license holders). Legal equipment would be currently legal shotguns, rifles, handguns, muzzleloaders, bows, and crossbows. Landowners, of course, in the DNR-specified counties would not need to purchase a license if hunting on their own land. However, they would still need to apply, be drawn, and check in the deer as now. Only by having a drawing for a specified total number of licenses per DNR-selected counties would DNR be able to reasonably control the total harvest and achieve its management objectives.

As you will note above one requirement that I propose is that all deer taken during the early seasons (and on depredation permits) before the beginning date of what is currently called the Early Statewide Archery Season will be antlerless only. I believe this will serve to minimize some of the real and perceived illegal activity regarding harvesting of antlered bucks. In other words, absolutely no deer taken to deer check stations or meat processors will be antlered before the beginning date of Early Statewide Archery Season (only exception would be road kills).

The Early Firearm Antlerless Season license would be for one antlerless deer per hunter. It would be obtained by drawing and would be good for only one of several counties designated by DNR in need of further significant reductions in the size of the deer herd. Some obvious counties are those that in 2008 allowed four (4) or more bonus antlerless deer to be taken by an individual hunter. Other potential counties would be those in which a high number of vehicle-deer collisions occur each year. In addition, some counties would continue to have bonus licenses available during the statewide archery, firearm, and muzzleloader seasons. However, many county quotas would be significantly reduced, especially where the Early Firearm Antlerless Season applies.

Some additional considerations regarding establishing an Early Firearm Antlerless Season include:

1. Several counties continue to have more than the desired number of deer (total and antlerless) in spite of the use of depredation permits (unpopular among many sportsmen) and very generous bonus licenses for the past several years. Archery hunters have also been allowed to use bonus antlerless licenses for some time now, even though bonus licenses started out as a way for firearm hunters to get some of the same advantages as the archers in taking one or more antlerless deer. And, the archers had been able to do that for more than a month before firearm hunters were even "allowed in the woods" to pursue any deer -- buck or doe. Apparently, under the current setup, licensed hunters (bow and firearm) have simply not taken sufficient numbers of antlerless deer to meet DNR goals in those counties in which four (4) or more bonus antlerless deer can be taken.
2. I believe DNR statistics show that each year approximately half of Indiana's deer hunters fail to harvest a single deer, while many hunters get multiple deer year after year. Although fewer hunters taking multiple deer can theoretically achieve total county harvest goals it makes more sense to achieve those harvest goals using more hunters and "spreading out" the harvest among more hunters. This has the benefit of recruiting more hunters, retaining more hunters (more likely to continue deer hunting if successful early in their chosen sport), and obtaining more license revenue to continue sound deer study and management practices.
3. Many deer hunters who are successful in taking one or more deer each year simply stop hunting when they achieve their personal harvest goal, even though they could fairly easily harvest more deer. Economics (license fees and processing fees) as well as physical and philosophical considerations are involved. Thus, the current system needs to be modified if DNR is to achieve deer harvest and population goals in many counties.
4. By having an Early Firearm Antlerless Season (2-day weekend season only in selected counties) in September or early October we would also "spread out" the load on commercial deer processing facilities. I suspect many would appreciate that.
5. The proposed Early Firearm Antlerless Season may also help somewhat to spread out the number of hunters in the field during regular firearm season (improved safety) because some successful early season hunters will not be hunting during the later firearm season. One nice fat doe may be sufficient for some hunters. For others who primarily hunt for a buck (but would also like to have a doe in the freezer) this would help to "take off some of the pressure" deciding whether to take the first doe or to wait for a buck that may or may not be just behind her. By season end they may not have taken any deer, because the remaining deer have become much more wary and nocturnal.
6. By having a drawing for one of these Early Firearm Antlerless Season licenses DNR can easily track who has applied, who is successful in the draw and the harvest, and institute a hunter ID number. This number could then be used if desired in future drawings, surveys, etc. We already have drawings for military and park hunts, so this should not cause any great additional burden on the DNR. Also, in the second year of the proposed early firearm season DNR could (and should in my opinion) give first preference to those hunters who were not successful in drawing an early season license in the previous year. (Refer again to Consideration 2 above.)

Overall, I believe the Indiana DNR has done a pretty good job of managing deer in the state and has done it on a tight budget compared to some other states. I trust you will continue to improve management while at the same time expanding hunting opportunities, recruiting and retaining more adult hunters, and recruiting and retaining more youth hunters. I believe adoption of this proposal, or something quite similar, will help you to achieve those goals.

Thank you very much for your serious consideration of this proposal.

Sincerely,

William Herring

FIELDS NOT DEFINED IN THE TEMPLATE FOLLOW

**COMPREHENSIVE
FISH & WILDLIFE RULES PROJECT**

SUBSTANTIVE SUGGESTIONS

**TOPIC: HUNTING, TRAPPING, TAKING
MAMMALS**

SUB-TOPIC: DEER/SEASONS/DOE ONLY SEASON

Kane, Jennifer

From: slatcoff [afssadmin@ai.org]
Sent: Saturday, January 24, 2009 10:56 PM
To: NRCFWRulesProject
Subject: SUBSTANTIVE AMENDMENT SUGGESTION FORMHunting, Trapping or Taking Mammals

Hunting, Trapping or Taking Mammals
Deer

First Name
clint

Last Name
slatcoff

County
porter

State of Residence
IN

Suggestions

i suggest that indiana incorporates a "doe only" season in order tothin
the herd. i believe that this may even generate tag sales.

FIELDS NOT DEFINED IN THE TEMPLATE FOLLOW

Kane, Jennifer

From: Kulhawick [fkulhawick@gmail.com]
Sent: Sunday, January 25, 2009 12:58 PM
To: NRCFWRulesProject
Subject: SUBSTANTIVE AMENDMENT SUGGESTION FORMHunting, Trapping or Taking Mammals

Hunting, Trapping or Taking Mammals
Deer

First Name
Frank

Last Name
Kulhawick

County
Marion

State of Residence
IN

Suggestions

Please extend late winter antlerless season through the Christmas and New Year holiday to around 6 January.

This would extend the hunting opportunities and reduce the "roadkill" deer in high density zones.

Thanks!

Frank

FIELDS NOT DEFINED IN THE TEMPLATE FOLLOW

Kane, Jennifer

From: Chandler [sctypk@yahoo.com]
Sent: Sunday, January 25, 2009 6:47 PM
To: NRCFWRulesProject
Subject: SUBSTANTIVE AMENDMENT SUGGESTION FORMHunting, Trapping or Taking Mammals

Hunting, Trapping or Taking Mammals
Deer

First Name
Scott

Last Name
Chandler

County
Marion

State of Residence
IN

Suggestions

I would like to see about creating an antlerless firearm season that lasts as long as late archery, even if it is only for highly populated areas. This could encourage more antlerless harvest that would help promote better herd health and balance.

FIELDS NOT DEFINED IN THE TEMPLATE FOLLOW

**COMPREHENSIVE
FISH & WILDLIFE RULES PROJECT**

SUBSTANTIVE SUGGESTIONS

**TOPIC: HUNTING, TRAPPING, TAKING
MAMMALS**

SUB-TOPIC: DEER/NON-RESIDENT LICENSE

Michaels, Debbie

From: Fasel [inspector9302@sbcglobal.net]
Sent: Wednesday, January 21, 2009 3:23 PM
To: NRCFWRulesProject
Cc: Guinnup, Jacob
Subject: SUBSTANTIVE AMENDMENT SUGGESTION FORMHunting, Trapping or Taking Mammals

Hunting, Trapping or Taking Mammals
Deer

First Name
Mark

Last Name
Fasel

County
Marion

City

State of Residence
IN

Suggestions

I strongly feel that non-resident liscence fee's should be based on what the state of the non-resident charges for out of state hunters and fishermen. This should be a reciporical (Not sue of spelling) cost depending on which state the sportsman is from. We have many Illinois hunters that come here to hunt yet they charge us outrageous fees to hunt in Illinois. The same goes for fishing...Just my 2 cents...

FIELDS NOT DEFINED IN THE TEMPLATE FOLLOW

Michaels, Debbie

From: Hall [jeromehall@sbcglobal.net]
Sent: Wednesday, January 21, 2009 4:43 PM
To: NRCFWRulesProject
Subject: SUBSTANTIVE AMENDMENT SUGGESTION FORMHunting, Trapping or Taking Mammals

Hunting, Trapping or Taking Mammals
Deer

First Name
Jerome

Last Name
Hall

Email
jeromehall@sbcglobal.net

County
Jefferson

State of Residence
MO

Suggestions

I lived and hunted in Indiana for over 25 years before moving to Missouri in 2002... I still choose to hunt whitetail in Brown County with my lifelong hunt buddy every year now in light of the \$125 out of state license fee... I can only afford to hunt gun season since bow season is also \$125... It would be nice if I could buy an out of state permit that would allow me to hunt any deer season in IN until I'm successful in taking game... Some other states do this already... If I took a deer during bow season, I would then purchase another permit to continue hunting in bow, gun or muzzleloader... Now, it would cost me \$500 to be able to hunt in bow, gun (buck), gun (doe) and muzzleloader without taking a single deer... Suppose I should have bought that lifetime IN permit years ago in hindsight but I didn't see the move to MO coming... Please help! Thanks...

Kane, Jennifer

From: ZAGRODNIK [SLZAGRODNIK@WIDEOPENWEST.COM]
Sent: Saturday, January 24, 2009 12:32 PM
To: NRCFWRulesProject
Subject: SUBSTANTIVE AMENDMENT SUGGESTION FORMHunting, Trapping or Taking Mammals

Hunting, Trapping or Taking Mammals
Deer

First Name
STEVEN L

Last Name
ZAGRODNIK

County
COOK

State of Residence
IL

Suggestions

I would like to see a rules change for deer hunting. I feel that if a non resident buys an out of state deer tag and wishes to purchase an additional doe tag he should get that tag at the resident doe tag rate. I don't feel that it is fair to make the non resident pay \$150 for the first tag + \$150 for a second tag + \$25 to get the doe tag. I feel that it should be \$150 + \$25 to get a buck and doe tag. Some years all I see are does so I go home without any venison for my family. Thank you and I feel that the Indiana DNR is doing a fine job with the limited resources available to them.

FIELDS NOT DEFINED IN THE TEMPLATE FOLLOW

Kane, Jennifer

From: Stapleton [sstapleton@uswwl.com]
Sent: Thursday, January 22, 2009 1:53 PM
To: NRCFWRulesProject
Subject: SUBSTANTIVE AMENDMENT SUGGESTION FORMHunting, Trapping or Taking Mammals

Hunting, Trapping or Taking Mammals
Deer

First Name
Stephen

Last Name
Stapleton

Email
sstapleton@uswwl.com

County
campbell

State of Residence
KY

Suggestions

The deer hunting regulations for Indiana are unfair for nonresidents. The rules are suppose to be the same as surrounding states. For nonResidents you must purchase a license of 150.00 for every weapon you hunt with. Where in other states you purchase the license and it is good for any weapon Buck or Doe. Indiana gun season non residents first must kill a buck. Which is not fair if you dont see one. And more importantly what if you want to kill a doe. the rule makes no sense. And also the bonus tag of 150.00 is too expensive. We have 3 farms in Indiana and I am probably not going to hunt there anymore because of the expense. I have hunted there for over 35 years but it is just too expensive compared to Ohio and Kentucky.

Kane, Jennifer

From: schoelkopf [jimthegolfer@tx.rr.com]
Sent: Friday, January 23, 2009 11:10 AM
To: NRCFWRulesProject
Subject: SUBSTANTIVE AMENDMENT SUGGESTION FORMSpecial Permits and Licenses

Special Permits and Licenses
blank

First Name
James

Last Name
schoelkopf

County
Collin

State of Residence
TX

Suggestions

I hunt with my sons and friends every year in shotgun deer season. A few years ago an out of state hunter could purchase a doe permit after purchasing a buck licence for under 30.00, but for the last two years it seems like greed took over and Indiana wants the same for a buck licence and a secondary doe licence for an out of state person. All the articles I've read says that Indiana is trying to cut down on the number of does. I for one quite buying the extra doe licence when they became the same price as an out of state buck licence. I used to live in Indiana and I love to hunt there but I will never purchase a doe license as long as they are the ridiculous price they are now.

James Schoelkopf

FIELDS NOT DEFINED IN THE TEMPLATE FOLLOW

Michaels, Debbie

From: McCammon [mlogging13@peoplepc.com]
Sent: Tuesday, February 03, 2009 8:30 PM
To: NRCFWRulesProject
Cc: Guinnup, Jacob
Subject: SUBSTANTIVE AMENDMENT SUGGESTION FORMHunting, Trapping or Taking Mammals

COMPREHENSIVE FISH & WILDLIFE RULES ENHANCEMENT PROJECT

Hunting, Trapping or Taking Mammals

Deer

First Name

Brian

Last Name

McCammon

Email

mlogging13@peoplepc.com

County

Sullivan

State of Residence

IN

Suggestions

Raise our non-resident deer license price to compare with Illinois.

Michaels, Debbie

From: stapleton [dave@stapletonelectric.com]
Sent: Wednesday, February 11, 2009 3:47 PM
To: NRCFWRulesProject
Cc: Guinnup, Jacob
Subject: SUBSTANTIVE AMENDMENT SUGGESTION FORMHunting, Trapping or Taking Mammals

COMPREHENSIVE FISH & WILDLIFE RULES ENHANCEMENT PROJECT

Hunting, Trapping or Taking Mammals
Deer

First Name
dave

Last Name
stapleton

Email
dave@stapletonelectric.com

County
hamilton

State of Residence
OH

Suggestions
have your deer hunting nonresident fees and regulations match yourneighboring states of ohio and kentucky. these states afford indianaresidents a much better opportunity than you are providingnonresidents from oh. and ky. Especially the fact you require a seperate deer tag for eachseason.

Thank you and I hope to hear your reply!

Kane, Jennifer

From: Schoelkopf [jimthegolfer@tx.rr.com]
Sent: Tuesday, February 17, 2009 6:43 PM
To: NRCFWRulesProject
Cc: Guinnup, Jacob
Subject: SUBSTANTIVE AMENDMENT SUGGESTION FORMHunting, Trapping or Taking Mammals

COMPREHENSIVE FISH & WILDLIFE RULES ENHANCEMENT PROJECT

Hunting, Trapping or Taking Mammals
Deer

First Name
James

Last Name
Schoelkopf

Email
jimthegolfer@tx.rr.com

County
Collin

State of Residence
TX

Suggestions

I would suggest you take a hard look at what the state charges for a out of state doe licence after a person has purchased an out of state buck license. I hunt in Indiana ,which I lived in for almost 20 years,With my two sons every year. I only buy a buck license because of whatthe state has raised the price of a seconday doe license to.

There were a lot of articles about the state wanting to cut down onthe number of does. The extra doe license used to be around 22.00 andI would purchase one for the meat. But now it would cost me the samefor my buck license and then I would have to pay the some for an extradoe licence. I will never pay that kind of price for a extra doelicense. The buck license is already high enough as it is.

Sincerely,
James Schoelkopf
jimthegolfer@tx.rr.com

Michaels, Debbie

From: brown [abrown@seidata.com]
Sent: Friday, March 20, 2009 12:25 AM
To: NRCFWRulesProject
Cc: Guinnup, Jacob
Subject: SUBSTANTIVE AMENDMENT SUGGESTION FORMHunting, Trapping or Taking Mammals

COMPREHENSIVE FISH & WILDLIFE RULES ENHANCEMENT PROJECT

Hunting, Trapping or Taking Mammals
Deer

First Name
arthur

Last Name
brown

County
switzerland

State of Residence
IN

Suggestions

The reason for this suggestion, one of my family (out of state) came into deer hunt during the last week of bow season, he also planned on hunting part of the firearm season, so he purchased an out-of-state bow and gun license on line at the same time. Because of the one buck rule I told him his gun license would be void if he took a buck with his bow. To go ahead and hunt for a doe during gun season would have meant he would have to buy another (bonus) permit. He took a doe during bow season, but had to pass on does during the gun season because the firearm license allows for buck only. If the firearm license would allow either sex, I feel that the state would probably sell more permits because the odds of being able to take a doe are higher and therefore the person would probably buy another one for the chance to shoot a buck or they could choose to shoot another doe. Problem is, No one should buy a firearm license until the first bow season has ended because it will be void if a buck is taken during bow season.

FIELDS NOT DEFINED IN THE TEMPLATE FOLLOW

Michaels, Debbie

From: Holcomb [randyandrena@comcast.net]
Sent: Sunday, March 29, 2009 1:30 AM
To: NRCFWRulesProject
Cc: Guinnup, Jacob
Subject: SUBSTANTIVE AMENDMENT SUGGESTION FORMHunting, Trapping or Taking Mammals

COMPREHENSIVE FISH & WILDLIFE RULES ENHANCEMENT PROJECT

Hunting, Trapping or Taking Mammals
Other

First Name
R. Randall

Last Name
Holcomb

County
Wayne

State of Residence
IN

Suggestions
One day \$10.00 license for out-of- state hunters/fisherman

Allotted time and organized hunts at State Parks for both Raccoon andRabbit.

FIELDS NOT DEFINED IN THE TEMPLATE FOLLOW

Michaels, Debbie

From: Reuter Jr. [johnr@freyelectric.com]
Sent: Tuesday, March 17, 2009 12:35 PM
To: NRCFWRulesProject
Cc: Guinnup, Jacob
Subject: SUBSTANTIVE AMENDMENT SUGGESTION FORMHunting, Trapping or Taking Mammals

COMPREHENSIVE FISH & WILDLIFE RULES ENHANCEMENT PROJECT

Hunting, Trapping or Taking Mammals
Deer

First Name
John

Last Name
Reuter Jr.

Email
johnr@freyelectric.com

County
Ripley

State of Residence
IN

Suggestions

I think we should allow a non resident deer hunter to take does with the purchase of their license. As it now stands without buying an additional antlerless tag for \$150 they are restricted to bucks. This approach leaves them with a few options.

1. Take a small buck if nothing better walks by or if it is with a doe because you just spent \$150 and do not want to go home emptyhanded. Many hunters will take a large doe over a small buck if possible.
2. By the end of the hunt you could be so frustrated that you only saw does that you poach one because you didn't have another \$150 to plunk down for another tag.
3. Not hunt in our wonderful state at all because of the cost and buck restrictions.

I believe Indiana will see an increase in non resident deer hunting if it were a little cheaper and easier. We could use the extra revenue in this state.

I think the herd could use a little more thinning and allowing more people to take does would allow smaller bucks to get passed up, allowing them to get larger.

**COMPREHENSIVE
FISH & WILDLIFE RULES PROJECT**

SUBSTANTIVE SUGGESTIONS

**TOPIC: HUNTING, TRAPPING, TAKING
MAMMALS**

SUB-TOPIC: DEER/HUNTER ORANGE

Kane, Jennifer

From: Smith [afssadmin@ai.org]
Sent: Saturday, February 14, 2009 6:31 PM
To: NRCFWRulesProject
Cc: Guinnup, Jacob
Subject: SUBSTANTIVE AMENDMENT SUGGESTION FORMHunting, Trapping or Taking Mammals

COMPREHENSIVE FISH & WILDLIFE RULES ENHANCEMENT PROJECT

Hunting, Trapping or Taking Mammals
Deer

First Name
Chris

Last Name
Smith

Email
cruzdude012yahoo.com

County
putnam

State of Residence
IN

Suggestions
Since several years ago University of Wisconsin researchers found that whitetail deer can see blaze orange, allowing an alternate safety color, they suggested florescent pink, which deer cannot see but definitely stands out in nature, would be greatly appreciated.

Michaels, Debbie

From: Hooten [johnhooten@embarqmail.com]
Sent: Sunday, January 18, 2009 1:41 AM
To: NRCFWRulesProject
Cc: jschroeder@www.in.gov
Subject: SUBSTANTIVE AMENDMENT SUGGESTION FORMHunting, Trapping or Taking Mammals

Hunting, Trapping or Taking Mammals
blank

First Name
John

Last Name
Hooten

County
Dearborn

City

State of Residence
IN

Suggestions

I do not want to see a change in requiring hunter orange to be displayed while hunting from a ground blind. As a matter of fact, the current law should be changed to hunter orange being mandatory only walking or sitting on the ground. There is absolutely no need to have hunter orange displayed while in an elevated tree stand. My father always taught me to be aware of my surroundings and never take a shot unless it was a safe one. Unfortunately accidents occur on a daily basis and no matter how many rules and regulations that are introduced, there will still be accidents that occur.

FIELDS NOT DEFINED IN THE TEMPLATE FOLLOW

Michaels, Debbie

From: Becraft [afssadmin@ai.org]
Sent: Saturday, January 17, 2009 5:15 AM
To: NRCFWRulesProject
Cc: jschroeder@www.in.gov
Subject: SUBSTANTIVE AMENDMENT SUGGESTION FORMblank

blank
blank

First Name
Richard

Last Name
Becraft

County
Lawrence

City

State of Residence
IN

Suggestions

I oppose any attempt to increase the hunter orange requirements for hunting in Indiana. The current requirements and even an ounce of common sense is more than adequate and no amount of new legislation or rules will ever be an adequate substitute for the ounce of common sense.

Some want to add hunter orange requirements on hunting blinds to the current requirements of hunter orange on outside garments. What is next? Will they want a flag if you hide behind a tree or a flag pole strapped to your back if you walk around some brush?

Keep people incapable of remembering simple hunting rules like "know your target and be sure of your back stop" out of the woods. There's a rule we need. You couldn't wear enough orange to be safe from those kind of...

FIELDS NOT DEFINED IN THE TEMPLATE FOLLOW

**COMPREHENSIVE
FISH & WILDLIFE RULES PROJECT**

SUBSTANTIVE SUGGESTIONS

**TOPIC: HUNTING, TRAPPING, TAKING
MAMMALS**

SUB-TOPIC: DEER/BUCK & DOE TAGS

Michaels, Debbie

From: Friedrich [friedmarlin5@aol.com]
Sent: Monday, January 19, 2009 9:17 PM
To: NRCFWRulesProject
Cc: jschroeder@www.in.gov
Subject: SUBSTANTIVE AMENDMENT SUGGESTION FORMHunting, Trapping or Taking Mammals

Hunting, Trapping or Taking Mammals
Deer

First Name
Mark

Last Name
Friedrich

County
Harrison

City

State of Residence
IN

Suggestions

The bonus antlerless permit prices need to be stepped down for EVERY subsequent purchase not just the second or third one as it is now.

Hunters who take 3+ deer per year are probably doing so because they need the meat to feed their families. Make it easier for them and take away any motive for taking deer illegally because they feel they have to feed their families and cannot afford to buy the tags.

Or give them an extra discount if they are on food stamps.

FIELDS NOT DEFINED IN THE TEMPLATE FOLLOW

Michaels, Debbie

From: Carver [tyler_carver1@eku.edu]
Sent: Saturday, January 17, 2009 1:18 PM
To: NRCFWRulesProject
Cc: jschroeder@www.in.gov
Subject: SUBSTANTIVE AMENDMENT SUGGESTION FORMHunting, Trapping or Taking Mammals

Hunting, Trapping or Taking Mammals
Deer

First Name
Tyler

Last Name
Carver

County
All

City

State of Residence
KY

Suggestions

The firearms deer tag should include an antlerless tag like the archery. I have seen first hand several times people harvesting a small 1.5 year old buck and passing on several opportunities on antlerless deer simply because they don't want to shell out an extra \$150 for an antlerless tag. On my last day hunting Indiana this year, I harvested a 1.5 year old buck that was chasing a much larger doe. I would have much rather harvested the doe, but could not because I could not afford both an antlered and antlerless tag. I think as a state, Indiana needs to promote more antlerless harvests. Your state has made drastic improvement in the past 5-10 years, but have always been a step behind states like Kentucky and Ohio.

FIELDS NOT DEFINED IN THE TEMPLATE FOLLOW

Michaels, Debbie

From: Bonsett [jbonsett@co.johnson.in.us]
Sent: Wednesday, January 21, 2009 4:16 PM
To: NRCFWRulesProject
Cc: Guinnup, Jacob
Subject: SUBSTANTIVE AMENDMENT SUGGESTION FORMHunting, Trapping or Taking Mammals

Hunting, Trapping or Taking Mammals
Deer

First Name
John

Last Name
Bonsett

County
Johnson

City

State of Residence
IN

Suggestions

The allowable quota for antlerless deer needs to be carefullyreevaluated. I live and hunt primarily in Johnson County and thechance to shoot eight antlerless deer is unnecessary. I only saw oneanterless deer this year, late in the season, and I didn't want toshoot the only one left. I also believe those who utilize thedepredation permits are taking advantage of that special permit.

FIELDS NOT DEFINED IN THE TEMPLATE FOLLOW

Michaels, Debbie

From: Leach [bill.leach@wishtv.com]
Sent: Saturday, January 24, 2009 12:00 PM
To: NRCFWRulesProject
Subject: SUBSTANTIVE AMENDMENT SUGGESTION FORMHunting, Trapping or Taking Mammals

Hunting, Trapping or Taking Mammals

Deer

First Name

Bill

Last Name

Leach

County

sullivan

State of Residence

IN

Suggestions

I own a cabin in Sullivan county and deer hunt on the many public acres nearby of Hillenbrand, Green-Sullivan, Minnehaha. Due to the one buck rule (which I agree with) and the restrictions on shooting does on those properties during gun season, sometimes gun season is a bust. If I shoot a buck during bow season I have no place to hunt during gun season even though Green and Sullivan counties are bonus antlerless counties. Can't the restrictions be relaxed to allow at least one doe to be taken?

FIELDS NOT DEFINED IN THE TEMPLATE FOLLOW

Kane, Jennifer

From: chaplin [afssadmin@ai.org]
Sent: Saturday, January 24, 2009 11:01 PM
To: NRCFWRulesProject
Subject: SUBSTANTIVE AMENDMENT SUGGESTION FORMHunting, Trapping or Taking Mammals

Hunting, Trapping or Taking Mammals
Deer

First Name
tammy

Last Name
chaplin

County
porter

State of Residence
IN

Suggestions
indiana needs to incorpoate a doe only deer season to help thin theheard
and get the doe buck ratio in check and i think it will generatemore tag
sales

FIELDS NOT DEFINED IN THE TEMPLATE FOLLOW

Kane, Jennifer

From: BARHYDT [klbarhydt@sbcglobal.net]
Sent: Sunday, January 25, 2009 6:51 PM
To: NRCFWRulesProject
Subject: SUBSTANTIVE AMENDMENT SUGGESTION FORMHunting, Trapping or Taking Mammals

Hunting, Trapping or Taking Mammals
Deer

First Name
KURT

Last Name
BARHYDT

County
st. joseph

State of Residence
IN

Suggestions

i would like the deer firearm license to be changed from antlered only to either sex. reason being number one the muzzleloader license is either sex so why shouldn't the firearm be? number two with the heavy doe population being what it is and out of control one could harvest an extra doe if he didn't shoot a buck! i know that's what the bonus antlerless license is for but this would allow the hunter to still use the firearms license without wasting it. besides the doe herd needs thinning out anyway. i saw this past season 20 does for every buck in Fulton county where i hunt. same in Marshall and St. Joe counties! don't tell me the reason muzzleloader license is that way because you only get one shot. most game taken with shotgun or other legal guns are also taken with just one shot! so please consider changing this rule or law!

FIELDS NOT DEFINED IN THE TEMPLATE FOLLOW

Kane, Jennifer

From: GAHIMER [m_gahimer@yahoo.com]
Sent: Tuesday, January 27, 2009 12:01 PM
To: NRCFWRulesProject
Subject: SUBSTANTIVE AMENDMENT SUGGESTION FORMHunting, Trapping or Taking Mammals

Hunting, Trapping or Taking Mammals
Deer

First Name
MARC

Last Name
GAHIMER

County
Rush

State of Residence
IN

Suggestions

Anterless deer rules need to be changed back to the last 4 days of theFirearms season in Rush Co.as in the past.I hunt in the southwesternportion and since the change was made to include all of the firearmsseason,I see less and less deer every year.Many hours that I have satonly to get discouraged by not seeing a single deer makes me start tothink twice of chunking down more than 24.00 for a license.

FIELDS NOT DEFINED IN THE TEMPLATE FOLLOW

Michaels, Debbie

From: CONARD [NEWPORTS700@COMCAST.NET]
Sent: Saturday, January 31, 2009 5:18 AM
To: NRCFWRulesProject
Subject: SUBSTANTIVE AMENDMENT SUGGESTION FORMHunting, Trapping or Taking Mammals

Hunting, Trapping or Taking Mammals
Deer

First Name
WILLIAM

Last Name
CONARD

Email
NEWPORTS700@COMCAST.NET

County
ST.JOE

State of Residence
IN

Suggestions

WHY NOT LET US USE OUR UNFILLED FIREARMS LICENES DURING THE MUZZELLOADING SEASON FOR A ANTLER DEER INSTEAD OF BEING A WAST OF MONEY FORTHE HUNTER THAT DID NOT HARVEST ONE DURING THAT TIME.

Michaels, Debbie

From: Meng [steve@basecampleasing.com]
Sent: Wednesday, February 11, 2009 9:17 AM
To: NRCFWRulesProject
Cc: Guinnup, Jacob
Subject: SUBSTANTIVE AMENDMENT SUGGESTION FORMHunting, Trapping or Taking Mammals

COMPREHENSIVE FISH & WILDLIFE RULES ENHANCEMENT PROJECT

Hunting, Trapping or Taking Mammals

Deer

First Name

Steve

Last Name

Meng

Email

steve@basecampleasing.com

County

Hamilton

State of Residence

IN

Suggestions

Make the deer permit good for an antlered and an antlerless deer. Increase the price as needed. Non-residents won't pay \$150 for an antlerless tag, but if it was part of the permit, you could generate an extra \$30? for every permit sold versus the handful of antlerless tags you are selling to non-residents now. Then he might buy more antlerless tags since he does not have that \$150 barrier to entry. Increase revenue and reduce the doe herd with one change! The resident meat hunter is the one that squawks about price increases. If he is getting two tags for less than he would be individually, you won't hear from him. You are forcing the opening weekend only gun hunter to do his share of game management (or a donation) which is a good thing.

Kane, Jennifer

From: GRANTZ [michael.grantz@generalshale.com]
Sent: Tuesday, February 10, 2009 3:11 PM
To: NRCFWRulesProject
Cc: Guinnup, Jacob
Subject: SUBSTANTIVE AMENDMENT SUGGESTION FORMHunting, Trapping or Taking Mammals

COMPREHENSIVE FISH & WILDLIFE RULES ENHANCEMENT PROJECT

Hunting, Trapping or Taking Mammals
Deer

First Name
MICHAEL

Last Name
GRANTZ

Email
michael.grantz@generalshale.com

County
FLOYD

State of Residence
IN

Suggestions
BEING A NOVICE HUNTER I WOULD LIKE TO BUY A DEER TAG AND BE ABLE TOTAKE ONE
DEER. A DOE OR BUCK. THANKS!

Michaels, Debbie

From: McEowen [gusmc01@wellsfargo.com]
Sent: Friday, January 23, 2009 1:25 PM
To: NRCFWRulesProject
Subject: SUBSTANTIVE AMENDMENT SUGGESTION FORMHunting, Trapping or Taking Mammals

Hunting, Trapping or Taking Mammals

Deer

First Name

Travis

Last Name

McEowen

County

Whitley

State of Residence

IN

Suggestions

Give hunters more incentive to shoot does to help balance the herd. Even with the OBR, too many young bucks are still being taken. Thereason is because it costs the same for the first doe tag as it doesfor a buck tag. Lower the price for the first doe tag to \$15 or lessfrom the current \$24. Continue with all subsequent doe tags for \$15or even less to encourage the taking of more does.

FIELDS NOT DEFINED IN THE TEMPLATE FOLLOW

Michaels, Debbie

From: Williams [afssadmin@ai.org]
Sent: Wednesday, January 14, 2009 1:26 PM
To: NRCFWRulesProject
Subject: SUBSTANTIVE AMENDMENT SUGGESTION FORMHunting, Trapping or Taking Mammals

Hunting, Trapping or Taking Mammals
blank

First Name
Dwayne

Last Name
Williams

Email

County
Fountain

State of Residence
IN

Suggestions

I believe that there should be a change to deer license system. There should be a buck license good for all of archery, firearms and muzzleloader seasons. In addition you should continue to offer the bonus antlerless license to cover female deer.

The system currently in place can require a hunter to rack up considerable amounts of money in license fees and are an unnecessary expense on the hunting public.

Michaels, Debbie

From: mcduffee [rjmcduffee@hotmail.com]
Sent: Thursday, January 08, 2009 8:18 PM
To: NRCFWRulesProject
Subject: SUBSTANTIVE AMENDMENT SUGGESTION FORMHunting, Trapping or Taking Mammals

Hunting, Trapping or Taking Mammals
Deer

First Name
ryan

Last Name
mcduffee

Email
rjmcduffee@hotmail.com

County
Parke

State of Residence
IN

Suggestions

Change the license structure so that there is buck and doe tags. Not firearms/archery/muzzleloader tags.
Increase the price a bit of each to make up any potential loss of revenue.

Michaels, Debbie

From: Frye [joeandkristin@outdrs.net]
Sent: Wednesday, January 21, 2009 9:11 PM
To: NRCFWRulesProject
Subject: SUBSTANTIVE AMENDMENT SUGGESTION FORMHunting, Trapping or Taking Mammals

Hunting, Trapping or Taking Mammals
Deer

First Name
Joseph

Last Name
Frye

Email
joeandkristin@outdrs.net

County
Clay

State of Residence
IN

Suggestions
Deer License Change...

I would like to see the Firearms Tag good for a buck and a doe.

Michaels, Debbie

From: Ayers [savageayape@yahoo.com]
Sent: Wednesday, January 21, 2009 4:54 PM
To: NRCFWRulesProject
Subject: SUBSTANTIVE AMENDMENT SUGGESTION FORMHunting, Trapping or Taking Mammals

Hunting, Trapping or Taking Mammals
Deer

First Name
Gregory

Last Name
Ayers

Email
savageayape@yahoo.com

County
marion

State of Residence
IN

Suggestions

I suggest we create a (Seasonal Deer Tag). I hold a lifetime hunting license, however I have friends who do not have a lifetime license. The process of analyzing which deer tag to purchase based on the amount of time left in the seasons, which weapon is still legal to use in each corresponding season, special hunts drawn for and all the different tags available is a chore for them. Hunters are like everyone else and must watch the amount of money spent on deer tags, but if they make the wrong choices, they may miss out on the opportunity to take a doe, buck or particular season based on the choices made in which tag to purchase. For instance, if someone was drawn for a military refuge hunt on opening day, that person can purchase a military refuge tag or use a firearms tag. If he is unsuccessful with the firearms tag on the military hunt by taking a doe, then his hunting during firearms season is over. If he purchases a military tag, and is not successful during that one day hunt, his tag is no longer good. I suggest creating a new deer tag which is good for all weapons and all deer taken during a single season (Seasonal Deer Tag). This tag would be used in the same way a lifetime license is used, however, it is only good for one season from opening day of archery season through the end of the second archery season as well as firearms, muzzleloader and the military refuge hunts. The pricing of the tags must be carefully thought through so the DNR will not lose money as a result of the implementation of the seasonal deer tag, but, will also be affordable to the hunters as an incentive to purchase them. It can be a win-win for the DNR and the hunters. Some people simply do not purchase an extra tag because they do not want to spend the extra money on something so short lived, such as with a one day hunt or they may not know if they will have the time to spend hunting during muzzleloader season and as a result may choose not to purchase the tag. If the option was available for a seasonal tag many hunters would choose that route. I'm estimating a charge of about \$75.00 may be a good starting point, but of course, it will take some serious consideration on the part of the DNR to determine the proper amount to charge.

Michaels, Debbie

From: McCammon [mlogging13@peoplepc.com]
Sent: Tuesday, February 03, 2009 8:34 PM
To: NRCFWRulesProject
Cc: Guinnup, Jacob
Subject: SUBSTANTIVE AMENDMENT SUGGESTION FORMHunting, Trapping or Taking Mammals

COMPREHENSIVE FISH & WILDLIFE RULES ENHANCEMENT PROJECT

Hunting, Trapping or Taking Mammals
Deer

First Name
Brian

Last Name
McCammon

Email
mlogging13@peoplepc.com

County
Sullivan

State of Residence
IN

Suggestions

Actually issue a notchable tag for deer. Just writing out a tag makes it too easy for those who wish to illegally take deer to get them home and then keep hunting on the tag they still have. If they have to physically notch a tag then that tag is used.

Kane, Jennifer

From: pickett [shanepickett69@hotmail.com]
Sent: Wednesday, January 21, 2009 11:46 PM
To: NRCFWRulesProject
Subject: SUBSTANTIVE AMENDMENT SUGGESTION FORMHunting, Trapping or Taking Mammals

Hunting, Trapping or Taking Mammals
Deer

First Name
shane

Last Name
pickett

Email
shanepickett69@hotmail.com

County
ripley

State of Residence
IN

Suggestions

As a lifetime hunting license holder and a hunter with 24 years of bowhunting Indiana deer experience, I think I can safely make a suggestion.

What has always bewildered me was that only antlered deer could be harvested with a firearm tag!

I realize not everyone is a trophy hunter like myself, but the trend is certainly headed in that direction. If someone is out there meat hunting the only option they have is to shoot a buck, usually the 1st buck they see!!

Why not allow either sex harvests with a firearm, you could then be more conservative with the antlerless tags and make everyone happy. I would have more bucks running around with a better chance to achieve that 4 1/2-6 1/2 year old mark. Meat hunters would be able to shoot does without purchasing an additional license which they would rather have in their freezer anyhow. And the populations stay about the same which should make the farmers happy, O.K. maybe not the farmers but you get my point!!!

Michaels, Debbie

From: fisher [aaron@wirco.com]
Sent: Wednesday, January 21, 2009 3:26 PM
To: NRCFWRulesProject
Cc: Guinnup, Jacob
Subject: SUBSTANTIVE AMENDMENT SUGGESTION FORMHunting, Trapping or Taking Mammals

Hunting, Trapping or Taking Mammals
Deer

First Name
aaron

Last Name
fisher

County
noble

City

State of Residence
IN

Suggestions

There needs to be some clarification about how many deer can be taken during archery season with an Archery tag. I know plenty of hunters who think you can harvest a buck and a doe on the 1st archery tag. Also clarification on when you can use your extra archery tag. If you buy both tags in sept. you should be able to use either tag first.

FIELDS NOT DEFINED IN THE TEMPLATE FOLLOW

**COMPREHENSIVE
FISH & WILDLIFE RULES PROJECT**

SUBSTANTIVE SUGGESTIONS

**TOPIC: HUNTING, TRAPPING, TAKING
MAMMALS**

**SUB-TOPIC: DEER/1 LICENSE FOR ALL DEER
SEASONS**

Michaels, Debbie

From: McClanahan [gmccclanahan@impactforge.com]
Sent: Friday, January 23, 2009 11:47 AM
To: NRCFWRulesProject
Subject: SUBSTANTIVE AMENDMENT SUGGESTION FORMHunting, Trapping or Taking Mammals

Hunting, Trapping or Taking Mammals

Deer

First Name

George

Last Name

McClanahan

County

Jennings

State of Residence

IN

Suggestions

I am a very fortunate person, I have a lifetime license. My son is currently 16 yrs old and hunts with a Youth License. Obviously, we will have to start purchasing normal tags, licenses, etc for him soon. He typically kills 2 - 4 deer per season. This can become very expensive, due to the purchase of a new deer tag each time. My suggestion would be to allow a hunter to purchase 1 license for deer, at approx \$50 - \$75 that will cover all deer killed within the legal limit. I can see where individuals may stop hunting because they cannot afford to purchase more tags, thus the required deer reductions are not met.

FIELDS NOT DEFINED IN THE TEMPLATE FOLLOW

Michaels, Debbie

From: Doyle [doylejmd@aol.com]
Sent: Friday, January 23, 2009 2:06 PM
To: NRCFWRulesProject
Subject: SUBSTANTIVE AMENDMENT SUGGESTION FORMHunting, Trapping or Taking Mammals

Hunting, Trapping or Taking Mammals
Deer

First Name
Joe

Last Name
Doyle

County
johnson

State of Residence
IN

Suggestions

How about one license covering all deer seasons. The license would be good for archery, gun, muzzle loader and late archery. I wouldn't care if it costed 50 dollars. It would make things easier and be less confusing.

One license, any weapon good for any season. Second license could cost half of the first one. The first license could be used to hunt early archery if a hunter didn't get a deer they then could use the same license during gun season, if once again the hunter was unsuccessful the license could be used for muzzle loader season or late archery.

One license to cover all weapons for all deer seasons.

FIELDS NOT DEFINED IN THE TEMPLATE FOLLOW

Michaels, Debbie

From: Finn [cfooxylady@aol.com]
Sent: Saturday, January 24, 2009 12:18 PM
To: NRCFWRulesProject
Subject: SUBSTANTIVE AMENDMENT SUGGESTION FORMHunting, Trapping or Taking Mammals

Hunting, Trapping or Taking Mammals
Deer

First Name
John

Last Name
Finn

County
Washington

State of Residence
IN

Suggestions

I would like to make a suggestion on combining the cost of deerlicence. I myself have a Lifetime licence but my Grandson hunts withme all during deer season and it cost way too much for him to buy a firearm ,archery,muzzleloader, and the bonus tags needed to hunt allthe deer seasons. I think more people would buy the tags if they wereall included in one, with one price. Other states have adopted thispractice and they say they have gained more hunters buying licencethis way than the way Indiana sells licence.

FIELDS NOT DEFINED IN THE TEMPLATE FOLLOW

Kane, Jennifer

From: Chandler [sctypk@yahoo.com]
Sent: Sunday, January 25, 2009 11:19 AM
To: NRCFWRulesProject
Subject: SUBSTANTIVE AMENDMENT SUGGESTION FORMHunting, Trapping or Taking Mammals

Hunting, Trapping or Taking Mammals
Deer

First Name
Scott

Last Name
Chandler

County
Marion

State of Residence
IN

Suggestions

I would like to suggest that the DNR adopt some sort of annual comprehensive hunting license, similar to the lifetime license that used to be offered. I am an avid hunter and waste many tags each year because I don't harvest a deer during a particular season. I have been told that other states offer similar licenses. The cost isn't a factor as much as the convenience of not having to carry so many different licenses and constantly decide if I should buy an extra tag, etc. Thank you for your consideration.

FIELDS NOT DEFINED IN THE TEMPLATE FOLLOW

Kane, Jennifer

From: Hendrickson [richard.hendrickson@embarq.com]
Sent: Tuesday, January 27, 2009 1:35 PM
To: NRCFWRulesProject
Subject: SUBSTANTIVE AMENDMENT SUGGESTION FORMHunting, Trapping or Taking Mammals

Hunting, Trapping or Taking Mammals
Deer

First Name
Richard

Last Name
Hendrickson

County
Kosciusko

State of Residence
IN

Suggestions

I have a lifetime license so this really doesnt effect me. But I heara lot of people complaining that they like the one buck a year rule. But they dont like the idea that they have to buy three differentlicense's to try and kill a buck. If they buy a archery tag and dontget one, then they buy a firearm tag and try to bag a buck. If theyare not sucessful with firearm they then buy a muzzleloading tag. Theythink that there should be a buck only tag that is good for all threeseasons. Even if it is priced a little higher than the reg deer tagsare now. Example say I buy a buck only tag for 35 dollars and it isvalid for ONE buck only but license is good for archery,firearm andmuzzleloading. I have saved 37 dollars and still can try and huntuntil I bag a buck. Still keep the extra archery and bonus county tagswhere they are now. This is just a suggestion, I believe you would seemore people participating in all three seasons.

FIELDS NOT DEFINED IN THE TEMPLATE FOLLOW

Michaels, Debbie

From: Marks [jwmslm1949@comcast.net]
Sent: Wednesday, January 21, 2009 10:50 PM
To: NRCFWRulesProject
Subject: SUBSTANTIVE AMENDMENT SUGGESTION FORMHunting, Trapping or Taking Mammals

Hunting, Trapping or Taking Mammals
Deer

First Name
John

Last Name
Marks

Email
jwmslm1949@comcast.net

County
Tippecanoe

State of Residence
IN

Suggestions

I would like to see the deer licenses simplified, like some other states. I would like to see a person buy one license and use it for all weapons. The state could charge a little more for the first one, and then scale the price back for additional tags. The state could keep the same seasons, and provide a box to check for the weapon used. A hunter would still be required to hunt with the proper weapon in the proper season. The difference would be that the hunter would not be required to buy an archery license, then gun license, and then muzzleloader license. If the hunter is not successful in early archery, he could use the same license for gun season. If the hunter is not successful during gun season, he could use the same license for muzzleloader season. If the hunter is not successful during muzzleloader season, he could still use the same license for late archery.

This would be an advantage for the state by saving on paperwork, staffing, and law interpretation.

It would be an advantage to a hunter, as he is not always reaching in his wallet to buy another license. Buying all of these licenses only to throw them into the trash can be very discouraging. This may be all it takes for some hunters to give up hunting as a hobby. The sport of hunting is slowly dying with the baby boomers, let's encourage hunters, not discourage them.

Kane, Jennifer

From: Starling [kc9bri@insightbb.com]
Sent: Wednesday, January 21, 2009 9:31 PM
To: NRCFWRulesProject
Subject: SUBSTANTIVE AMENDMENT SUGGESTION FORMHunting, Trapping or Taking Mammals

Hunting, Trapping or Taking Mammals
Deer

First Name
Jeff

Last Name
Starling

Email
kc9bri@insightbb.com

County
Dubois

State of Residence
IN

Suggestions

I would like to see a multi-deer hunting license that does not cost somuch. For example, a license for \$35 that allows 2 deer to be taken, instead of having to pay \$24 each time a person takes a deer. At \$24per tag there is not much 'Bonus' in Bonus Antlerless tags.

Kane, Jennifer

From: Schramski [dschramski@sbcglobal.net]
Sent: Sunday, January 25, 2009 11:11 AM
To: NRCFWRulesProject
Subject: SUBSTANTIVE AMENDMENT SUGGESTION FORMHunting, Trapping or Taking Mammals

Hunting, Trapping or Taking Mammals
Deer

First Name
Darrel

Last Name
Schramski

County
Saint Joseph

State of Residence
IN

Suggestions
sell a combo licence for bow season, allowing either 2 deer or onedeer and one turkey. To be sold at a price at 8 dollars less thanpurchacing two licences.

FIELDS NOT DEFINED IN THE TEMPLATE FOLLOW

Kane, Jennifer

From: Thorp [mthorp01@current.net]
Sent: Wednesday, January 21, 2009 8:31 PM
To: NRCFWRulesProject
Subject: SUBSTANTIVE AMENDMENT SUGGESTION FORMHunting, Trapping or Taking Mammals

Follow Up Flag: Follow up
Flag Status: Red

Hunting, Trapping or Taking Mammals
Deer

First Name
Michael

Last Name
Thorp

Email
mthorp01@current.net

County
Hamilton

State of Residence
OH

Suggestions

I would like submit a change to the deer regulations that the deerfirearm license become a "deer" license like the archery andmuzzleloader license are.that you would be allowed to one deer ofeither sex.I fell that this will help in reducing the deerpopulation.I hunt mostly for trophy deer as do most of the guys i huntwith but we would still like to have the option of taking a doe if thebucks we are see just havent matured enough.In this economy it wouldbe nice to have that option.Most huners that I have discussed say thatthey would still purchase another permit if they took a doe so theycould still hunt for that trophy.Thank you allow me to add my input.Ihave been hunting in Indina only since 1984 its the best.Thank youMichael Thorp

Kane, Jennifer

From: Wolf [davolf@insightbb.com]
Sent: Thursday, January 29, 2009 12:08 AM
To: NRCFWRulesProject
Subject: SUBSTANTIVE AMENDMENT SUGGESTION FORMHunting, Trapping or Taking Mammals

Hunting, Trapping or Taking Mammals
Deer

First Name
Steve

Last Name
Wolf

County
Vand

State of Residence
IN

Suggestions

Why do we have to buy a new deer license every new season. why cant we use a license we purchased for gun season and use it for muzzle loader season if the license was not filled. one license should be good thru the whole season untill it is filled, we can still keep the standard way of buying the extra and bonus county deer license for antlerless deer but give us a break on the buck permits, let us use it in any gun season till it is filled, the same with archery license. \$50.00 for 2 buck license is too much just to throw away the first one if it is not filled. Now if we do fill the first license during shotgun season and we desire to hunt during muzzle loader season then we should be able to purchase a entirely new license.

Also the archery hunters get to hunt the whole fall season, even thru our shotgun season, they have done had almost 2 months to hunt free of any distractions, Then they get to hunt right thru the gun season with their bows. this seems to me to be very unfair to us gun hunters. we have to put up with the extra burdon of archery hunters on our butts. Dont tell me they have a harder time getting their deer than we gun hunters do. thats bull, give us gun hunters at least the shotgun season free from archery hunters. its only fair. There are alot of things you guys could do to improve us citizens hunting and the way it is carried out. looks to me like a favoritism for archery hunters.

FIELDS NOT DEFINED IN THE TEMPLATE FOLLOW

Kane, Jennifer

From: wells [debb8wl@netpenny.net]
Sent: Wednesday, January 21, 2009 9:11 PM
To: NRCFWRulesProject
Subject: SUBSTANTIVE AMENDMENT SUGGESTION FORMHunting, Trapping or Taking Mammals

Hunting, Trapping or Taking Mammals
Deer

First Name
robert

Last Name
wells

Email
debb8wl@netpenny.net

County
dearborn

State of Residence
IN

Suggestions
combine the type of weapon used in deer hunting to one license,shotgun,
archery and muzzleloader.

Michaels, Debbie

From: Horn [joseph.horn@ge.com]
Sent: Wednesday, January 21, 2009 3:54 PM
To: NRCFWRulesProject
Cc: Guinnup, Jacob
Subject: SUBSTANTIVE AMENDMENT SUGGESTION FORMHunting, Trapping or Taking Mammals

Hunting, Trapping or Taking Mammals
Deer

First Name
Joseph

Last Name
Horn

County
Ohio

City

State of Residence
IN

Suggestions

Please consider structuring and pricing a Deer License system that allows a hunter to purchase one license that would apply across all three seasons, Archery, Firearm, Muzzleloader. The bonus antlerless license would still exist. The price would be higher than the current \$24.00 per but less than the \$72.00 required for all three seasons. A trade study would have to be done to model current and future revenue to balance the pricing of this new type of license. Hunters talk a lot about this in their circles as well.

FIELDS NOT DEFINED IN THE TEMPLATE FOLLOW

Michaels, Debbie

From: Nicoson [jay.nicoson@gmail.com]
Sent: Wednesday, January 21, 2009 3:10 PM
To: NRCFWRulesProject
Cc: Guinnup, Jacob
Subject: SUBSTANTIVE AMENDMENT SUGGESTION FORMHunting, Trapping or Taking Mammals

Hunting, Trapping or Taking Mammals
Deer

First Name
Robert

Last Name
Nicoson

County
vigo

City

State of Residence
IN

Suggestions

I would like to see a more broadened licensing for deer hunting. Forexample, I think it would be better if we could purchase an antleredlicense or a antlerless license that covered whatever season we arein, rather than having to purchase a license for every season. So aslong as I did not take a buck in archery, I could still use that"antlered license" to take a buck during firearm or duringmuzzleloader or late archery. Once I took a buck, I am done, obviouslyfor the antlered. I would also like to see the bonus antlerlesslicense pricing dropped even on the first one.

FIELDS NOT DEFINED IN THE TEMPLATE FOLLOW

Michaels, Debbie

From: Meredith Sr. [afssadmin@ai.org]
Sent: Wednesday, January 21, 2009 3:03 PM
To: NRCFWRulesProject
Cc: Guinnup, Jacob
Subject: SUBSTANTIVE AMENDMENT SUGGESTION FORMHunting, Trapping or Taking Mammals

Hunting, Trapping or Taking Mammals
Deer

First Name
Gary

Last Name
Meredith Sr.

County
Spencer

City

State of Residence
IN

Suggestions

I would like to see our Deer Hunting license change... I think it would benifet everyone if we went to a single charge for firearmslicense and allow either a antlered or anterless deer to be taken. That would eliminate alot of doe's being out there. which in return would reduce the number of deer vehicle accidents.

FIELDS NOT DEFINED IN THE TEMPLATE FOLLOW

Kane, Jennifer

From: Coley [keithcoley@yahoo.com]
Sent: Saturday, February 14, 2009 7:48 AM
To: NRCFWRulesProject
Cc: Guinnup, Jacob
Subject: SUBSTANTIVE AMENDMENT SUGGESTION FORMS Special Permits and Licenses

COMPREHENSIVE FISH & WILDLIFE RULES ENHANCEMENT PROJECT

Special Permits and Licenses
blank

First Name
Keith

Last Name
Coley

Email
keithcoley@yahoo.com

County
Owen

State of Residence
IN

Suggestions

Change the current license system to where you could buy A Deer tag that you could use whatever weapon you choose. It would be good from the start of Bow season to the end of Muzzleloader season or until you take a buck. It's not right to have to buy A license for each type of weapon to take the same Deer. Also the same with Turkey if you buy A tag in the in the spring and do not take A Tom that tag should be good in the fall.

Michaels, Debbie

From: robinson [www.waterdogpiker@yahoo.com]
Sent: Thursday, March 12, 2009 7:48 AM
To: NRCFWRulesProject
Cc: Guinnup, Jacob
Subject: SUBSTANTIVE AMENDMENT SUGGESTION FORMHunting, Trapping or Taking Mammals

COMPREHENSIVE FISH & WILDLIFE RULES ENHANCEMENT PROJECT

Hunting, Trapping or Taking Mammals

Deer

First Name

Daniel

Last Name

robinson

Email

www.waterdogpiker@yahoo.com

County

Henry

State of Residence

IN

Suggestions

A hunter should have only one deer license for the entire deer season. Currently, it is very confusing as to which license is needed.

A hunter is deterred from continuing to hunt in other seasons because he may not have the needed tag(s), which, if he knew he were legal, would more likely keep on hunting. The current system can set up the uninformed hunter for a violation.

The price of the license would probably need to be increased, maybe even doubled.

Alabama has one license for the entire season which runs for 150 days with a 2 deer limit per day. Hunters don't need to worry about having the correct license.

**COMPREHENSIVE
FISH & WILDLIFE RULES PROJECT**

SUBSTANTIVE SUGGESTIONS

**TOPIC: HUNTING, TRAPPING, TAKING
MAMMALS**

**SUB-TOPIC: DEER/SEASONS/REDUCE FIREARMS
SEASON**

Kane, Jennifer

From: Wessel [ewessel1@usieagles.org]
Sent: Tuesday, February 24, 2009 1:49 AM
To: NRCFWRulesProject
Cc: Guinnup, Jacob
Subject: SUBSTANTIVE AMENDMENT SUGGESTION FORMHunting, Trapping or Taking Mammals

COMPREHENSIVE FISH & WILDLIFE RULES ENHANCEMENT PROJECT

Hunting, Trapping or Taking Mammals
Deer

First Name
E. Tyler

Last Name
Wessel

Email
ewessel1@usieagles.org

County
Jackson

State of Residence
IN

Suggestions

I suggest we only have a 1 week (2 weekend) gun/firearms season, or shorten the gun season when we are allowed to kill bucks and/or simultaneously lengthen the doe firearm hunting period. This will allow bucks to mature. We have seen what the one buck rule has done to our Indiana bucks, this would improve on that. With more and more archery hunters, this will also give them more of a chance to bag their buck during the rut. Thanks for my consideration, E. Tyler Wessel Brownstown, Indiana Lifetime Comprehensive Hunting License Holder

Michaels, Debbie

From: Martin [martins@bnin.net]
Sent: Monday, January 19, 2009 8:20 PM
To: NRCFWRulesProject
Cc: jschroeder@www.in.gov
Subject: SUBSTANTIVE AMENDMENT SUGGESTION FORMHunting, Trapping or Taking Mammals

Hunting, Trapping or Taking Mammals
Deer

First Name
Mike

Last Name
Martin

County
Elkhart

City

State of Residence
IN

Suggestions

I would like to suggest that we shorten the time hunters can harvestbucks with firearms. The purpose of this is to increase the number ofbucks and to increase the age of our bucks. As our bucks age theirantler mass increases each year until the mass peaks at 6-8 years ofage. In Ohio and Illinois the firearms season for bucks is muchshorter and they are seeing results like I have suggested. I alsothink we need to increase the doe harvest to get the buck to doe ratioin the range of 1-2 or 1-4. I think we should make an early muzzleloader season and a longer late muzzle loader season and maybe addshotguns to these seasons if we need to. These seasons should be fordoes only.

Thank you for this opportunity.

Mike Martin

FIELDS NOT DEFINED IN THE TEMPLATE FOLLOW

Kane, Jennifer

From: McCammon [blmccammon@yahoo.com]
Sent: Sunday, February 15, 2009 11:36 AM
To: NRCFWRulesProject
Cc: Guinnup, Jacob
Subject: SUBSTANTIVE AMENDMENT SUGGESTION FORMHunting, Trapping or Taking Mammals

COMPREHENSIVE FISH & WILDLIFE RULES ENHANCEMENT PROJECT

Hunting, Trapping or Taking Mammals
Deer

First Name
Brent

Last Name
McCammon

Email
blmccammon@yahoo.com

County
Vigo

State of Residence
IN

Suggestions
I would like to see the firearms season for deer set up like Illinois' firearms season. Leave the bow and muzzleloader seasons as they are but shorten the number of days for the firearms season. Maybe have a three day season on what would be opening weekend of the firearms season then a four or five day hunt on the last four or five days of the firearms season

Michaels, Debbie

From: Clymer [afssadmin@ai.org]
Sent: Tuesday, February 10, 2009 7:35 PM
To: NRCFWRulesProject
Cc: Guinnup, Jacob
Subject: SUBSTANTIVE AMENDMENT SUGGESTION FORMHunting, Trapping or Taking Mammals

COMPREHENSIVE FISH & WILDLIFE RULES ENHANCEMENT PROJECT

Hunting, Trapping or Taking Mammals
Deer

First Name
Chris

Last Name
Clymer

Email
f10clymer

County
Daviess

State of Residence
IN

Suggestions

I feel that the firearm season for bucks should be shortened to 5days(for example still have a 2 week gun season but only allow buckharvest in the first 5-7 days and does for the whole 2 weeks. The onebuck rule is fine but it does no good when they are hunted for 2 weeksin the middle of the rut. Illonois and Kentucky both have similarseasons to this and everyone seems happy, both meat hunters and trophyhunters, and I'm sure their resident license and non resideint licenserevenue is great. I think this would lead to a more enjoyable hunt.Please do not allow insurance company's to regulate our deer kill. Ifeel that the quality of the hunt in Indiana has declined dramaticallyin Indiana over the last few years and I am a firm believer thischange would lead to more rewarding trips to the field. Thanks forthis place to voice our opinions I think it's a great place andresource to enchance our outdoor tradition. Thanks Chris

Michaels, Debbie

From: Limcaco [mattlimcaco@aol.com]
Sent: Wednesday, February 04, 2009 7:32 AM
To: NRCFWRulesProject
Cc: Guinnup, Jacob
Subject: SUBSTANTIVE AMENDMENT SUGGESTION FORMHunting, Trapping or Taking Mammals

COMPREHENSIVE FISH & WILDLIFE RULES ENHANCEMENT PROJECT

Hunting, Trapping or Taking Mammals

Deer

First Name

Matthew

Last Name

Limcaco

Email

mattlimcaco@aol.com

County

vigo

State of Residence

IN

Suggestions

Decrease the length of the firearms season beginning the Saturdaybefore Thanksgiving to the Sunday Following Thanksgiving.

Michaels, Debbie

From: Crockett [brian.crockett@crockettmmules.com]
Sent: Wednesday, January 28, 2009 8:25 AM
To: NRCFWRulesProject
Subject: SUBSTANTIVE AMENDMENT SUGGESTION FORMHunting, Trapping or Taking Mammals

Hunting, Trapping or Taking Mammals
Deer

First Name
Brian

Last Name
Crockett

County
Vigo

State of Residence
IN

Suggestions

1.) To shorten the main firearms season to 1 week or justsignificantly shorten it. This would more align us with neighboringILL., or break it into two short seasons similar to that of ILL. After firearms first weekend in IN. deer disappear completely as thereis too much hunting pressure. Breaking up the pressure wouldsignificantly improve hunter success on mature animals.

2.)Change the archery tags to both being antlered/antlerless. Thiswould allow those archery hunters to help cull the buck herd. Overthe past four years the one buck rule has greatly increased the amountof mature bucks. However, I am seeing an increasing amount of mature4-6 yr old bucks who do not have the genetics to grow P&Y or B&C. Toallow archery hunters to take two bucks would allow 'culling' of these'bully' bucks who are dominant and pushing out the geneticallysuperior younger bucks.

FIELDS NOT DEFINED IN THE TEMPLATE FOLLOW

Michaels, Debbie

From: McCammon [mlogging13@peoplepc.com]
Sent: Thursday, January 22, 2009 10:10 PM
To: NRCFWRulesProject
Subject: SUBSTANTIVE AMENDMENT SUGGESTION FORMHunting, Trapping or Taking Mammals

Hunting, Trapping or Taking Mammals
Deer

First Name
Brian

Last Name
McCammon

County
Sullivan

State of Residence
IN

Suggestions
Shorten the deer firearms season it is too long!

FIELDS NOT DEFINED IN THE TEMPLATE FOLLOW

Michaels, Debbie

From: HULL [in.ed@insightbb.com]
Sent: Wednesday, January 21, 2009 10:34 PM
To: NRCFWRulesProject
Subject: SUBSTANTIVE AMENDMENT SUGGESTION FORMHunting, Trapping or Taking Mammals

Hunting, Trapping or Taking Mammals
Deer

First Name
EDWARD

Last Name
HULL

Email
in.ed@insightbb.com

County
Vanderburgh

State of Residence
IN

Suggestions

Reduce the number of days that firearm season is in for deer. Bow andMuzzleloader season seem OK. But general firearms should beshortened

Kane, Jennifer

From: Nussbaum [chapmanacres@mchsi.com]
Sent: Wednesday, January 28, 2009 10:59 AM
To: NRCFWRulesProject
Subject: SUBSTANTIVE AMENDMENT SUGGESTION FORMHunting, Trapping or Taking Mammals

Hunting, Trapping or Taking Mammals
Deer

First Name
Tim

Last Name
Nussbaum

County
Kosciusko

State of Residence
IN

Suggestions

The length of our current Deer Season in which you can carry/hunt with some sort of gun (firearm/muzzleloader) is WAY too long. I see surrounding states with a total of two weeks or less that you can use some sort of gun for taking deer.....but our state is 30+ days of allowing this practise. The result of our long gun season (firearms/muzzleloader) is less opportunity for the bucks in this state to reach a more mature level.....there are simply too many days allowed to hunt them with guns resulting in a lower than average survival rate than surrounding states. The result in a shorter gun regulated season would increase the age in bucks which in turn would create more opportunity for revenue for the state and hunting industry/vendors of this state as it is well known that an older age class of bucks usually corresponds with more of a "trophy class" opportunity for the hunter.....and that is where more \$\$\$ is spent annually by hunters.....the pursuit and increase possibility to obtain a more mature buck. Keeping the current regulations for 30+ days of carrying a gun only helps snuff out the possibilities of a more mature buck in our herd.....and also snuffs out the higher dollars that could be spent in this state in the midst of an already poor economy. Shorter gun season = More interest and financial/economic gain for our state.

Thank you.

Tim Nussbaum

FIELDS NOT DEFINED IN THE TEMPLATE FOLLOW

Kane, Jennifer

From: Wahl [jwahl@archindy.org]
Sent: Thursday, January 22, 2009 8:15 AM
To: NRCFWRulesProject
Subject: SUBSTANTIVE AMENDMENT SUGGESTION FORMHunting, Trapping or Taking Mammals

Hunting, Trapping or Taking Mammals
Deer

First Name
John

Last Name
Wahl

Email
jwahl@archindy.org

County
Morgan

State of Residence
IN

Suggestions

I suggest moving the primary deer firearms season later into the year and shortening it.

Possibly starting it on Thanksgiving day and running it for the next 10 days for a total of 11 days (in 2009 it would be 11/26 thru 12/6). This would give the deer a less stressful rut and I believe would after time produce more bucks of age in our state. The one buck rule has helped in this area, I think this would be step two.

Also, a crossbow only season between the firearms season and the late archery season (5 days). This would also give the state more funds from another license.

Michaels, Debbie

From: wireman [afssadmin@ai.org]
Sent: Wednesday, January 21, 2009 5:03 PM
To: NRCFWRulesProject
Subject: SUBSTANTIVE AMENDMENT SUGGESTION FORMHunting, Trapping or Taking Mammals

Hunting, Trapping or Taking Mammals

Deer

First Name

willie

Last Name

wireman

Email

County

jasper

State of Residence

IN

Suggestions

To encourage the taking of mature bucks and to reward those who do, I suggest to shorten the gun season. At least, move the days to later in the rut cycle. We are being left behind other mid western states without trophy hunting. Also, I believe we should increase the non-resident fees- way to cheap with surrounding states. To reward the taking of mature whitetails, I believe we should reward those who wait and harvest a 140 class deer by allowing a bonus buck tag. You would be required to harvest a buck that will score over 140 points and young points before you can purchase a second bonus tag for the remainder of legal seasons. Your second buck would have to score a min. point value, or follow a spread rule such as other states. A small, moderate penalty fee would apply for non-compliance to the rule.

Michaels, Debbie

From: Niewedde [bniewedde@yahoo.com]
Sent: Monday, January 19, 2009 11:36 AM
To: NRCFWRulesProject
Cc: jschroeder@www.in.gov
Subject: SUBSTANTIVE AMENDMENT SUGGESTION FORMHunting, Trapping or Taking Mammals

Hunting, Trapping or Taking Mammals
Deer

First Name
Brian

Last Name
Niewedde

County
dearborn

City

State of Residence
IN

Suggestions

when I see neighboring states have limited gun seasons I wish ours was shorter also, I think that 3 weekends of firearms followed by 3 weekends of muzzleloader is too many it should be shorter or no muzzleloader season, when we first started muzzleloader it wasn't with the new in line type like they have now

FIELDS NOT DEFINED IN THE TEMPLATE FOLLOW

Michaels, Debbie

From: rutan [muskyroot@hotmail.com]
Sent: Wednesday, January 21, 2009 3:49 PM
To: NRCFWRulesProject
Cc: Guinnup, Jacob
Subject: SUBSTANTIVE AMENDMENT SUGGESTION FORMHunting, Trapping or Taking Mammals

Hunting, Trapping or Taking Mammals
Deer

First Name
alan

Last Name
rutan

County
tippecanoe

City

State of Residence
IN

Suggestions

I propose that our deer seasons are more in line with Illinois' seasons. We do have liberal doe permits and this is a good thing as hunters need to keep the doe population thinned out. However, our firearms season is way too long. In order to grow quality whitetails, they have to have a chance to mature. Sixteen days right through the rut is not the way to produce quality bucks. There are way too many 1.5 to 3.5 year old bucks killed every year. They don't have a chance to grow up! This not only hurts our chances of experiencing an opportunity at a big buck, but it also makes it difficult to get the herd in line with where it should be and that is a 1:1 buck to doe ratio. Reduce the current sixteen day firearms season to two three day seasons. I propose one three day season during Thanksgiving weekend and one three day season the following weekend. We can still keep the population in check and provide a quality hunt!

FIELDS NOT DEFINED IN THE TEMPLATE FOLLOW

Michaels, Debbie

From: Fasel [inspector9302@sbcglobal.net]
Sent: Wednesday, January 21, 2009 3:27 PM
To: NRCFWRulesProject
Cc: Guinnup, Jacob
Subject: SUBSTANTIVE AMENDMENT SUGGESTION FORMHunting, Trapping or Taking Mammals

Hunting, Trapping or Taking Mammals
Deer

First Name
Mark

Last Name
Fasel

County
Marion

City

State of Residence
IN

Suggestions

I would like to suggest that shorten Shotgun Season to a 9 day season Starting on a Saturday and ending on a Sunday as well as muzzleloaderto truly produce trophy whitetail deer. The numbers of bucks could beraised to 2 again one bow and one firearms, if we just shorten thefirearms portions of our season....Thanks for the chance to submitrequests...

FIELDS NOT DEFINED IN THE TEMPLATE FOLLOW

Michaels, Debbie

From: Shively [bdshively2003@yahoo.com]
Sent: Wednesday, January 21, 2009 3:10 PM
To: NRCFWRulesProject
Cc: Guinnup, Jacob
Subject: SUBSTANTIVE AMENDMENT SUGGESTION FORMHunting, Trapping or Taking Mammals

Hunting, Trapping or Taking Mammals
Deer

First Name
Brian

Last Name
Shively

County
Whitley

City

State of Residence
IN

Suggestions

I would suggest that deer firearm seasons be shortened. This would include both a shortening of the general firearm season and the muzzleloader only season. Maybe these seasons could be one week apiece.

FIELDS NOT DEFINED IN THE TEMPLATE FOLLOW

Michaels, Debbie

From: Delaney [eelriver50@yahoo.com]
Sent: Saturday, January 17, 2009 9:29 PM
To: NRCFWRulesProject
Cc: jschroeder@www.in.gov
Subject: SUBSTANTIVE AMENDMENT SUGGESTION FORMHunting, Trapping or Taking Mammals

Hunting, Trapping or Taking Mammals
Deer

First Name
David

Last Name
Delaney

County
Marion

City

State of Residence
IN

Suggestions

I would like to suggest that the firearms deer season be reduced. While I live in Marion County, my family owns a farm in White County. The number of issues that the long firearms gun season creates are significant. Trespassing, road hunting and constant spotlighting of livestock and personal property in general is tremendous. States around us have reduced their firearms seasons and continue to have solid deer management. There is no reason that the same deer numbers wouldn't be killed with a very short firearms season and landowners would benefit from a significant reduction in illegal activity on their property. Additionally, the State of Indiana would benefit from the redeployment of conservation officers' time and efforts. Something must be done!!!

FIELDS NOT DEFINED IN THE TEMPLATE FOLLOW

Michaels, Debbie

From: Boggs [afssadmin@ai.org]
Sent: Sunday, January 18, 2009 10:10 AM
To: NRCFWRulesProject
Cc: jschroeder@www.in.gov
Subject: SUBSTANTIVE AMENDMENT SUGGESTION FORMHunting, Trapping or Taking Mammals

Hunting, Trapping or Taking Mammals
Deer

First Name
Kurt

Last Name
Boggs

County
Kosciusko

City

State of Residence
IN

Suggestions

I suggest that we move our shotgun season later and shorten it up. This would be a good idea because of the more mature bucks would getand the more popularity Indiana would get and help the state funds. The state funds would be helped when the people in other states wouldcome over and kill deer.

FIELDS NOT DEFINED IN THE TEMPLATE FOLLOW

Michaels, Debbie

From: kirstein [afssadmin@ai.org]
Sent: Monday, January 19, 2009 10:11 AM
To: NRCFWRulesProject
Cc: jschroeder@www.in.gov
Subject: SUBSTANTIVE AMENDMENT SUGGESTION FORMHunting, Trapping or Taking Mammals

Hunting, Trapping or Taking Mammals
Deer

First Name
jeff

Last Name
kirstein

County
marion

City

State of Residence
IN

Suggestions
Make firearm season shorter somthing like Illinois. to improve olderbucks

FIELDS NOT DEFINED IN THE TEMPLATE FOLLOW

**COMPREHENSIVE
FISH & WILDLIFE RULES PROJECT**

SUBSTANTIVE SUGGESTIONS

**TOPIC: HUNTING, TRAPPING, TAKING
MAMMALS**

SUB-TOPIC: DEER/YOUTH SEASON

Michaels, Debbie

From: Rainey [wkraNEY@sbcglobal.net]
Sent: Wednesday, January 21, 2009 5:03 PM
To: NRCFWRulesProject
Subject: SUBSTANTIVE AMENDMENT SUGGESTION FORMHunting, Trapping or Taking Mammals

Hunting, Trapping or Taking Mammals
Deer

First Name
Wes

Last Name
Rainey

Email
wkraNEY@sbcglobal.net

County
Lawrence

State of Residence
IN

Suggestions

I would like to see the youth hunt age changed to match the age for purchasing a youth license. It make little since to be able to buy ayouth license until age 17 and not be allowed to participate in boththe youth deer and turkey hunts past the age of 15. Why can the youthnot hunt at these events until they are 17?

One other suggestion; I would like to entertain the thought of goingback to a 2 buck rule. One with archery and one with a firearm.

Thanks,
Wes Rainey

Michaels, Debbie

From: Goodin [nate@goodinfamily.net]
Sent: Sunday, February 01, 2009 2:09 PM
To: NRCFWRulesProject
Subject: SUBSTANTIVE AMENDMENT SUGGESTION FORMHunting, Trapping or Taking Mammals

Hunting, Trapping or Taking Mammals
Deer

First Name
Nathan

Last Name
Goodin

Email
nate@goodinfamily.net

County
vigo

State of Residence
IN

Suggestions
An extended deer youth season would be very nice.

**COMPREHENSIVE
FISH & WILDLIFE RULES PROJECT**

SUBSTANTIVE SUGGESTIONS

**TOPIC: HUNTING, TRAPPING, TAKING
MAMMALS**

**SUB-TOPIC: DEER/ALLOW YOUTH TO TAKE A
BUCK**

Michaels, Debbie

From: Neher [afssadmin@ai.org]
Sent: Wednesday, January 14, 2009 9:19 PM
To: NRCFWRulesProject
Subject: SUBSTANTIVE AMENDMENT SUGGESTION FORMHunting, Trapping or Taking Mammals

Hunting, Trapping or Taking Mammals
Deer

First Name
Steven

Last Name
Neher

Email
sneher2peoplepc.com

County
allen

State of Residence
IN

Suggestions
Allow youth hunters to take an antlered deer during the youth season.

Michaels, Debbie

From: Fink [mfink@indy.rr.com]
Sent: Wednesday, January 14, 2009 8:12 PM
To: NRCFWRulesProject
Subject: SUBSTANTIVE AMENDMENT SUGGESTION FORMHunting, Trapping or Taking Mammals

Hunting, Trapping or Taking Mammals
Deer

First Name
Mark

Last Name
Fink

Email
mfink@indy.rr.com

County
Hendricks

State of Residence
IN

Suggestions
Allow either sex take during the youth Deer season.

Michaels, Debbie

From: Mcduffee [rjmcduffee@hotmail.com]
Sent: Thursday, January 08, 2009 8:18 PM
To: NRCFWRulesProject
Subject: SUBSTANTIVE AMENDMENT SUGGESTION FORMHunting, Trapping or Taking Mammals

Hunting, Trapping or Taking Mammals
Deer

First Name
Ryan

Last Name
Mcduffee

Email
rjmcduffee@hotmail.com

County
Parke

State of Residence
IN

Suggestions

Allow youth to take a buck or doe during the special youth only hunt. If they are allowed 1 buck all year then why not let them take it then?

Michaels, Debbie

From: walker [rob_walker24@yahoo.com]
Sent: Friday, January 16, 2009 1:52 PM
To: NRCFWRulesProject
Subject: SUBSTANTIVE AMENDMENT SUGGESTION FORMHunting, Trapping or Taking Mammals

Hunting, Trapping or Taking Mammals

Deer

First Name

robert

Last Name

walker

Email

rob_walker24@yahoo.com

County

madison

State of Residence

IN

Suggestions

i am suggesting the either sex tag for youth hunters.thank you

Michaels, Debbie

From: Hayes [shayes@echosupply.com]
Sent: Wednesday, January 21, 2009 3:08 PM
To: NRCFWRulesProject
Cc: Guinnup, Jacob
Subject: SUBSTANTIVE AMENDMENT SUGGESTION FORMHunting, Trapping or Taking Mammals

Hunting, Trapping or Taking Mammals
Deer

First Name
Shawn

Last Name
Hayes

County
Clay

City

State of Residence
IN

Suggestions
Re: Youth Hunting Season

Doe only hunting during needs to be looked at. With the lack of hunting pressure, a child's only chance at a buck may happen during the youth season where only does can be taken. It would do a lot for the kids if either sex were opened up during the youth season.

FIELDS NOT DEFINED IN THE TEMPLATE FOLLOW

Michaels, Debbie

From: Castle [tccastle@mchsi.com]
Sent: Thursday, January 08, 2009 7:17 PM
To: NRCFWRulesProject
Subject: SUBSTANTIVE AMENDMENT SUGGESTION FORMHunting, Trapping or Taking Mammals

Hunting, Trapping or Taking Mammals

Deer

First Name

Chad

Last Name

Castle

Email

tccastle@mchsi.com

County

Whitley

State of Residence

IN

Suggestions

Allow the youth to take a deer of either sex during the youth earlyseason

Michaels, Debbie

From: Mullet [afssadmin@ai.org]
Sent: Wednesday, January 21, 2009 4:49 PM
To: NRCFWRulesProject
Subject: SUBSTANTIVE AMENDMENT SUGGESTION FORMHunting, Trapping or Taking Mammals

Hunting, Trapping or Taking Mammals

Deer

First Name

Aaron

Last Name

Mullet

Email

County

Porter

State of Residence

IN

Suggestions

Change the administrative law to allow a youth hunter to a buck duringthe youth weekend in september

Michaels, Debbie

From: Ponder [timothy.ponder@prodigy.net]
Sent: Wednesday, January 21, 2009 5:16 PM
To: NRCFWRulesProject
Subject: SUBSTANTIVE AMENDMENT SUGGESTION FORMHunting, Trapping or Taking Mammals

Hunting, Trapping or Taking Mammals
Deer

First Name
Timothy

Last Name
Ponder

Email
timothy.ponder@prodigy.net

County
Johnson

State of Residence
IN

Suggestions

I would like to see youth able to take a buck in the youth season. All kids watch hunting videos, and to watch their face when you tell them he's off limits till November is hard to watch. We want kids to get into hunting but we are using them as a tool for shooting more does.

Michaels, Debbie

From: Steckler [go34ever1@aol.com]
Sent: Wednesday, January 21, 2009 5:44 PM
To: NRCFWRulesProject
Subject: SUBSTANTIVE AMENDMENT SUGGESTION FORMHunting, Trapping or Taking Mammals

Hunting, Trapping or Taking Mammals

Deer

First Name

Chad

Last Name

Steckler

Email

go34ever1@aol.com

County

Vand.

State of Residence

IN

Suggestions

To whom it may concern:

The rule on youth season bag limits needs to be changed to one deer of either sex. It is an insult to the children to tell them that they don't deserve to take a buck, which is what the current rule is stating. In order to honor the one buck rule if the child takes a buck, that is their only buck for the year. The current rule was preferred by trophy hunters who didn't want a child to shoot THEIR buck. That is a selfish attitude, not to mention an ignorant one and one that doesn't lend itself to the true meaning of conservation. Thank you for considering this change.

Sincerely,

Chad Steckler

Kane, Jennifer

From: Thomas [jkthomas1776@verizon.net]
Sent: Monday, January 26, 2009 8:38 PM
To: NRCFWRulesProject
Subject: SUBSTANTIVE AMENDMENT SUGGESTION FORMHunting, Trapping or Taking Mammals

Follow Up Flag: Follow up
Flag Status: Red

Hunting, Trapping or Taking Mammals
Deer

First Name
James

Last Name
Thomas

Email
jkthomas1776@verizon.net

County
Pike

State of Residence
IN

Suggestions
Allow the harvesting of an antlered deer during the youth deer season.

Kane, Jennifer

From: Swain [gabes@localnet.com]
Sent: Saturday, January 24, 2009 7:13 PM
To: NRCFWRulesProject
Subject: SUBSTANTIVE AMENDMENT SUGGESTION FORMHunting, Trapping or Taking Mammals

Hunting, Trapping or Taking Mammals
Deer

First Name
Rick

Last Name
Swain

County
Blackford

State of Residence
IN

Suggestions
I suggest that during the early youth hunt that bucks should be legal to take.

FIELDS NOT DEFINED IN THE TEMPLATE FOLLOW

Michaels, Debbie

From: GREGORY [hgregory@hpcisp.com]
Sent: Wednesday, January 21, 2009 7:19 PM
To: NRCFWRulesProject
Subject: SUBSTANTIVE AMENDMENT SUGGESTION FORMHunting, Trapping or Taking Mammals

Hunting, Trapping or Taking Mammals
Deer

First Name
HAROLD

Last Name
GREGORY

Email
hgregory@hpcisp.com

County
LAW.

State of Residence
IN

Suggestions

Youth lic. holders be allowed to take a buck during each part of deerseason. 1 - Youth Season

2 - Firearm Season

3 - Archery Season

4 - Muzzle Loader Season

Michaels, Debbie

From: Kirby [snkirby@comcast.net]
Sent: Wednesday, January 21, 2009 10:03 PM
To: NRCFWRulesProject
Subject: SUBSTANTIVE AMENDMENT SUGGESTION FORMHunting, Trapping or Taking Mammals

Hunting, Trapping or Taking Mammals
Deer

First Name
Neal

Last Name
Kirby

Email
snkirby@comcast.net

County
Madison

State of Residence
IN

Suggestions

During the special youth deer season in September, I would like to see the kids have the option of taking either and antlerless deer or an antlered deer. The special youth season was designed to attract more kids to hunting in an effort to curb hunter run off numbers. To limit them to antlerless deer during this special season is not fair to them. If we want kids to enjoy their experience, then let them make the choice for themselves (or their mentor).

Thank You,
Neal Kirby

Michaels, Debbie

From: Bruner [rebruner@yahoo.com]
Sent: Wednesday, January 21, 2009 11:13 PM
To: NRCFWRulesProject
Subject: SUBSTANTIVE AMENDMENT SUGGESTION FORMHunting, Trapping or Taking Mammals

Hunting, Trapping or Taking Mammals

Deer

First Name

Rodney

Last Name

Bruner

Email

rebruner@yahoo.com

County

jackson

State of Residence

IN

Suggestions

Early youth deer hunting program is a great idea. However, to ask a young hunter to pass up a buck during that time is asking quite a bit. Why not let the youth kill anything say 6 points or less? This would help get the young hunter started while yet not allowing "dad" to get too anxious if a big one should walk out.

Michaels, Debbie

From: DAVIS [afssadmin@ai.org]
Sent: Thursday, January 22, 2009 8:14 AM
To: NRCFWRulesProject
Subject: SUBSTANTIVE AMENDMENT SUGGESTION FORMblank

blank
blank

First Name
BRAD , A.

Last Name
DAVIS

Email

County
STEUBEN

State of Residence
IN

Suggestions

We need to allow kids to take a buck during the youth hunt. I stillagree with the 1 buck rule , so lets allow the kids to make that call, " is this my 1 buck for the year? ".

Michaels, Debbie

From: Anderson [tanderson@cityofshelbyvillein.com]
Sent: Thursday, January 22, 2009 10:53 AM
To: NRCFWRulesProject
Subject: SUBSTANTIVE AMENDMENT SUGGESTION FORMHunting, Trapping or Taking Mammals

Hunting, Trapping or Taking Mammals

Deer

First Name

Todd

Last Name

Anderson

Email

tanderson@cityofshelbyvillein.com

County

Shelby

State of Residence

IN

Suggestions

It should be legal for youth hunters to shoot a deer of either sex in the youth gun season that occurs in the last week of September. I think limiting the youth season to antlerless deer only is unfair to youth hunters.

Michaels, Debbie

From: lindsey [curtlindsey@excite.com]
Sent: Thursday, January 22, 2009 9:05 PM
To: NRCFWRulesProject
Subject: SUBSTANTIVE AMENDMENT SUGGESTION FORMHunting, Trapping or Taking Mammals

Hunting, Trapping or Taking Mammals
Deer

First Name
curt

Last Name
lindsey

County
Kos.

State of Residence
IN

Suggestions

I feel that the early youth hunt should not be held as a doereductionoon plan buttolet the youth have a chance on shooting that Buckof a life time or even there first deer .For instance if there is a140 class buck standing 15 yrd from my son(or daughter)you have totell them they cant shoot it cuz you can only take a doe.That I thinkis wrong and so do many others I have mention this too.They can takedoes any time during the shotgun season this is my view on it ,let meknow what you think about getting this changed.Also think that ifsomeone hasnt filled there firearms license that they could do soduring muzzleloader.My opinion.I have a lifetime license so it makesme no difference.thanks

FIELDS NOT DEFINED IN THE TEMPLATE FOLLOW

Kane, Jennifer

From: Hunt [Rothhar1@aol.com]
Sent: Saturday, January 24, 2009 6:07 PM
To: NRCFWRulesProject
Subject: SUBSTANTIVE AMENDMENT SUGGESTION FORMHunting, Trapping or Taking Mammals

Hunting, Trapping or Taking Mammals
Deer

First Name
Calvin

Last Name
Hunt

County
Howard

State of Residence
IN

Suggestions

I have seen that there is a new proposal being introduced to allow the taking of a buck during the two day youth season .I beleive this is counter productive in many ways .First off it will cause some issues with the original intent of this recruitment season as it was boasted as a management tool as well a recruitment tool .Also the wide spread greed and so called Horn porn will be propagated and I can see where there may very well be alot of hanky panky from fathers and hunting buddies with less than pure intentions as well .I spoken to over twenty hunters that I know personally many with children and they dislike the idea of allowing bucks to be taken as well.I mean actually any antlerless deer should surface when it comes to kids ,Lets face it they can go out in archery or gun just like the previous 50 years worth of deer hunters did a kids and Dad can set with them just like our fathers did in regular season .Also there are many teenage kids that hunt as well that are able to hunt just as effectively during regular gun season as any adult .

I personally am a no I do not support the youth buck season proposal! My boys both shot their bucks in regular season and continue to do so now!

FIELDS NOT DEFINED IN THE TEMPLATE FOLLOW

Kane, Jennifer

From: Ferguson [duckmaster1@wowway.com]
Sent: Saturday, January 24, 2009 8:44 PM
To: NRCFWRulesProject
Subject: SUBSTANTIVE AMENDMENT SUGGESTION FORMHunting, Trapping or Taking Mammals

Hunting, Trapping or Taking Mammals
Deer

First Name
Brian

Last Name
Ferguson

County
Vand.

State of Residence
IN

Suggestions

Allow youth to harvest a buck or doe when youth deer season comes in. They can only kill 1 buck anyway, why not let them shoot either a buck or doe for that weekend.

FIELDS NOT DEFINED IN THE TEMPLATE FOLLOW

Michaels, Debbie

From: kluemper [kevin.kluemper@aes.com]
Sent: Thursday, February 05, 2009 3:04 PM
To: NRCFWRulesProject
Cc: Guinnup, Jacob
Subject: SUBSTANTIVE AMENDMENT SUGGESTION FORMHunting, Trapping or Taking Mammals

COMPREHENSIVE FISH & WILDLIFE RULES ENHANCEMENT PROJECT

Hunting, Trapping or Taking Mammals
Deer

First Name
kevin

Last Name
kluemper

Email
kevin.kluemper@aes.com

County
pike

State of Residence
IN

Suggestions

I would like to see the youth deer hunting season changed to allow kids to be able to harvest an antlered deer during the youth deer season. If this is not possible I would like to know why?

Michaels, Debbie

From: McClure [geraldjennifer@hotmail.com]
Sent: Thursday, February 05, 2009 9:42 PM
To: NRCFWRulesProject
Cc: Guinnup, Jacob
Subject: SUBSTANTIVE AMENDMENT SUGGESTION FORMHunting, Trapping or Taking Mammals

COMPREHENSIVE FISH & WILDLIFE RULES ENHANCEMENT PROJECT

Hunting, Trapping or Taking Mammals

Deer

First Name

Gerald

Last Name

McClure

Email

geraldjennifer@hotmail.com

County

shelby

State of Residence

IN

Suggestions

Allow the youth hunters in the early youth season to shoot an antlered deer

Michaels, Debbie

From: Voglewede [carl.a.voglewede@aphis.usda.gov]
Sent: Wednesday, February 25, 2009 1:12 PM
To: NRCFWRulesProject
Cc: Guinnup, Jacob
Subject: SUBSTANTIVE AMENDMENT SUGGESTION FORMHunting, Trapping or Taking Mammals

COMPREHENSIVE FISH & WILDLIFE RULES ENHANCEMENT PROJECT

Hunting, Trapping or Taking Mammals

Deer

First Name

Carl

Last Name

Voglewede

Email

carl.a.voglewede@aphis.usda.gov

County

Benton

State of Residence

IN

Suggestions

"The allowing of youth hunters to be able to harvest an antlered deer during the two day Youth Deer Hunting Season." I first want to applaud your continuing efforts to get the youth of Indiana exposed to the rich hunting and fishing heritage that so many of us treasure. Allowing this hunt during the warmer September season is also appreciated, as a good portion of the youth cannot withstand the harsher temperatures of the regular firearms season. If getting youth involved in deer hunting is a recruitment method the IDNR is implementing, I would suggest the incentive of allowing any deer antlered or antlerless to be harvested on this two day hunt. Any deer is a trophy to youth qualified for this hunt. From personal experience and comments from other fathers their child was unable to harvest a deer during this past season simply because the only deer that presented an ethical shot was an antlered deer. Thank you for your consideration!

**COMPREHENSIVE
FISH & WILDLIFE RULES PROJECT**

SUBSTANTIVE SUGGESTIONS

**TOPIC: HUNTING, TRAPPING, TAKING
MAMMALS**

**SUB-TOPIC: DEER/MUZZLELOADER & PRIMITIVE
FIREARMS**

Michaels, Debbie

From: Fairchild [fairchild522@bcremc.net]
Sent: Friday, March 13, 2009 2:22 PM
To: NRCFWRulesProject
Cc: Guinnup, Jacob
Subject: SUBSTANTIVE AMENDMENT SUGGESTION FORMHunting, Trapping or Taking Mammals

COMPREHENSIVE FISH & WILDLIFE RULES ENHANCEMENT PROJECT

Hunting, Trapping or Taking Mammals
Deer

First Name
Tracy

Last Name
Fairchild

Email
fairchild522@bcremc.net

County
bartholomew

State of Residence
IN

Suggestions

Allow glass to be included as a flint knapped material for legal points for taking deer. Minimum size of manmade points of metal, chert/flint, and obsidian. Size of at least 7/8" wide at its base.

Michaels, Debbie

From: McEowen [gusmc01@gmail.com]
Sent: Friday, January 23, 2009 12:50 PM
To: NRCFWRulesProject
Subject: SUBSTANTIVE AMENDMENT SUGGESTION FORMHunting, Trapping or Taking Mammals

Hunting, Trapping or Taking Mammals
Deer

First Name
Travis

Last Name
McEowen

County
Whitley

State of Residence
IN

Suggestions

Allow the use of rifles .243 and up to be used to take deer in the state except in urban zones. Require perhaps a minimum of a 100 grain bullet.

The accuracy potential is far greater with rifles than shotgun slugs and would result in less wounded deer due to better shot placement.

Studies have shown that the ricochet potential for slugs can actually be greater than for rifle bullets.

FIELDS NOT DEFINED IN THE TEMPLATE FOLLOW

Kane, Jennifer

From: Minnich [minnichdave@chilitech.com]
Sent: Thursday, January 22, 2009 9:14 PM
To: NRCFWRulesProject
Subject: SUBSTANTIVE AMENDMENT SUGGESTION FORMHunting, Trapping or Taking Mammals

Follow Up Flag: Follow up
Flag Status: Red

Hunting, Trapping or Taking Mammals
Deer

First Name
Dave

Last Name
Minnich

County
Knox

State of Residence
IN

Suggestions

I would like to see the rifle cartridges that are acceptable for hunting deer expanded to include the 45/70 and 444 marlin, and similarly powered loads. These loads are very similar to modern muzzleloader loadings in energy. I have talked to several hunters who have seen less than adequate energy from the "pistol" caliber cartridges. While responsible hunters should know terminal ballistics for their loading, sometimes their ranging ability is more difficult to accurately control. The increased accuracy from "true" rifle cartridges would more than offset the dangers of increased range. As I stated before, the 45/70 and 444 Marlin are very similar to modern muzzleloader loads and would make a good "test" case. Thank you for your consideration. Regards, Dave Minnich

FIELDS NOT DEFINED IN THE TEMPLATE FOLLOW

Michaels, Debbie

From: VanCleave [pokeyjoe89@hotmail.com]
Sent: Wednesday, January 21, 2009 3:24 PM
To: NRCFWRulesProject
Cc: Guinnup, Jacob
Subject: SUBSTANTIVE AMENDMENT SUGGESTION FORMblank

blank
blank

First Name
Mark

Last Name
VanCleave

County
Pipley

City

State of Residence
IN

Suggestions

Add blackpowder cartridge longguns (ex. 45-70) to the legal weaponslist for either muzzle loader, or shotgun, or have a season of its ownor better yet, make them legal the last week of early bow season.Maybe even all blackpowder weapons in early November so we could catchthe rut.

FIELDS NOT DEFINED IN THE TEMPLATE FOLLOW

Michaels, Debbie

From: Cashbaugh [tcashbaugh@koontz-wagner.com]
Sent: Wednesday, January 21, 2009 3:16 PM
To: NRCFWRulesProject
Cc: Guinnup, Jacob
Subject: SUBSTANTIVE AMENDMENT SUGGESTION FORMHunting, Trapping or Taking Mammals

Hunting, Trapping or Taking Mammals
Deer

First Name
Thomas

Last Name
Cashbaugh

County
LaPorte

City

State of Residence
IN

Suggestions

Why can't we have a season , limited to 10 days only before the gunseason for primitive muzzleloaders only. I mean , no inlines orscopes, open sights only , bound ball or lead bullet, no sabots, guncould be either flint or percussion ignition, no 209 primers, and in atarditional style such as exposed hammer type lock. I no that there isa muzzleloading only season the last two weeks but it might bring outsome interest and it would be great sport to hunt in the traditionalmanner. As far as season goes why are muzzle loaders discriminatedagainst and get the two worst weeks of weather there is. How aboutsharing the sport. This may also help you reduce your deerpopulation.If your worried about buck harvest make it doe's only.

FIELDS NOT DEFINED IN THE TEMPLATE FOLLOW

Michaels, Debbie

From: Korte [tony44tiger@msn.com]
Sent: Monday, January 19, 2009 7:45 PM
To: NRCFWRulesProject
Cc: jschroeder@www.in.gov
Subject: SUBSTANTIVE AMENDMENT SUGGESTION FORMHunting, Trapping or Taking Mammals

Hunting, Trapping or Taking Mammals
Deer

First Name
Anthony

Last Name
Korte

County
Clark

City

State of Residence
IN

Suggestions
To Indiana Natural Resources Commission
My comment as part of a comprehensive
review and enchancement of fish and
wildlife rules.

During early deer bow season have one weekend where hunters coulduse muzzleloaders.

I'm certain it would be a hit with
hunters, and help thin the deer herd.
It would also, bring extra revenue to
the state. Other states already have
this.

Sincerely,
Anthony E. Korte
Memphis, In 47143

FIELDS NOT DEFINED IN THE TEMPLATE FOLLOW

Michaels, Debbie

From: Griswold [sjgriz4@aol.com]
Sent: Friday, January 16, 2009 9:42 PM
To: NRCFWRulesProject
Cc: jschroeder@www.in.gov
Subject: SUBSTANTIVE AMENDMENT SUGGESTION FORMHunting, Trapping or Taking Mammals

Hunting, Trapping or Taking Mammals
Deer

First Name
John

Last Name
Griswold

County
Sullivan

City

State of Residence
IN

Suggestions

Shorten the firearm season by at least one week or even more, and take it out of the "rut". Bring in a muzzleloader only weekend in September, make it a separate licence for the hunt to purchase.

FIELDS NOT DEFINED IN THE TEMPLATE FOLLOW

Michaels, Debbie

From: Versteeg [craigasteeg@aol.com]
Sent: Friday, January 16, 2009 3:43 PM
To: NRCFWRulesProject
Cc: jschroeder@www.in.gov
Subject: SUBSTANTIVE AMENDMENT SUGGESTION FORMHunting, Trapping or Taking Mammals

Hunting, Trapping or Taking Mammals
Deer

First Name
Craig

Last Name
Versteeg

County
Johnson

City

State of Residence
IN

Suggestions

I would like to see the implementaion of an early "primitive" muzzleloader hunt. Muzzleloaders with an articulated hammer forperscussion or flintlocks with open sights only. No optics.

FIELDS NOT DEFINED IN THE TEMPLATE FOLLOW

Michaels, Debbie

From: Versteeg [craigasteeg@aol.com]
Sent: Friday, January 16, 2009 3:43 PM
To: NRCFWRulesProject
Cc: jschroeder@www.in.gov
Subject: SUBSTANTIVE AMENDMENT SUGGESTION FORMHunting, Trapping or Taking Mammals

Hunting, Trapping or Taking Mammals
Deer

First Name
Craig

Last Name
Versteeg

County
Johnson

City

State of Residence
IN

Suggestions

I would like to see the implementaion of an early "primitive" muzzleloader hunt. Muzzleloaders with an articulated hammer forperscussion or flintlocks with open sights only. No optics.

FIELDS NOT DEFINED IN THE TEMPLATE FOLLOW

Michaels, Debbie

From: Crawford [wgccrawford@purdue.edu]
Sent: Wednesday, January 21, 2009 4:22 PM
To: NRCFWRulesProject
Cc: Guinnup, Jacob
Subject: SUBSTANTIVE AMENDMENT SUGGESTION FORMHunting, Trapping or Taking Mammals

Hunting, Trapping or Taking Mammals
Deer

First Name
Wes

Last Name
Crawford

County
Tippecanoe

City

State of Residence
IN

Suggestions

I would like to see antique calibers be allowed for deer. That is,calibers that were used in the mid to late 1800's such as the 45-70 or38-55, etc., in single shot rifles. These rounds do not go very far,but are extremely accurate. The use of these calibers would encourageaccuracy and would result in less wounded deer.

FIELDS NOT DEFINED IN THE TEMPLATE FOLLOW

Michaels, Debbie

From: Neher [sneher@peoplepc.com]
Sent: Sunday, February 01, 2009 1:12 AM
To: NRCFWRulesProject
Subject: SUBSTANTIVE AMENDMENT SUGGESTION FORMHunting, Trapping or Taking Mammals

Hunting, Trapping or Taking Mammals

Deer

First Name

Steven

Last Name

Neher

Email

sneher@peoplepc.com

County

Allen

State of Residence

IN

Suggestions

Allow the use of Black powder cartridge rifles durring the deerfirearms season. Limit the rifles to 38-55 or larger, single shotwith external hammers and iron sights using black powder ONLY. Thereis a small group of people shooting such rifles in matches and itwould be fun to hunt with them also.

Michaels, Debbie

From: Geyer [hooknshoot@att.net]
Sent: Monday, March 16, 2009 11:53 PM
To: NRCFWRulesProject
Cc: Guinnup, Jacob
Subject: SUBSTANTIVE AMENDMENT SUGGESTION FORMHunting, Trapping or Taking Mammals

COMPREHENSIVE FISH & WILDLIFE RULES ENHANCEMENT PROJECT

Hunting, Trapping or Taking Mammals

Deer

First Name

Kristopher

Last Name

Geyer

Email

hooknshoot@att.net

County

Indiana

State of Residence

IN

Suggestions

I really like the pistol cartridges in rifles for deer hunting. I would like to see blackpowder cartridge rifles added to the legal firearm list.

I don't believe the ballistics are much different and there could be similar restrictions to case diameter and length. Or make them cartridge specific like handguns are.

**COMPREHENSIVE
FISH & WILDLIFE RULES PROJECT**

SUBSTANTIVE SUGGESTIONS

**TOPIC: HUNTING, TRAPPING, TAKING
MAMMALS**

SUB-TOPIC: DEER/INCREASED RIFLE CALIBERS

Kane, Jennifer

From: Smith [cruzdude01@yahoo.com]
Sent: Thursday, February 12, 2009 7:29 AM
To: NRCFWRulesProject
Cc: Guinnup, Jacob
Subject: SUBSTANTIVE AMENDMENT SUGGESTION FORMHunting, Trapping or Taking Mammals

COMPREHENSIVE FISH & WILDLIFE RULES ENHANCEMENT PROJECT

Hunting, Trapping or Taking Mammals
Deer

First Name
Chris

Last Name
Smith

Email
cruzdude01@yahoo.com

County
Putnam

State of Residence
IN

Suggestions

In light of a study done for pennsilvanias DNR which found that sabotslugs richocet farther than rifle bullets under normal conditions, I would like to see real rifle calibers allowed for deer hunting inIndiana. The pistol caliber rifles are a step in the right direction,as are a number of things accomplished in the last couple of years.Personally I would like to see calibers allowed for handgun huntingdeer to be used in rifles.

Thank you for your time and effort.

Chris Smith

Michaels, Debbie

From: McMillen [mcmillenbr@aol.com]
Sent: Thursday, January 22, 2009 6:19 AM
To: NRCFWRulesProject
Subject: SUBSTANTIVE AMENDMENT SUGGESTION FORMHunting, Trapping or Taking Mammals

Hunting, Trapping or Taking Mammals

Deer

First Name

Brent

Last Name

McMillen

Email

mcmillenbr@aol.com

County

marion

State of Residence

IN

Suggestions

High power rifles are allowed to hunt anything but Deer.

I recommend that high power rifles be allowed for deer hunting.

I suggest that only shotguns can be used to hunt squirrels, I havebeen in the woods where people are hunting squirrels with a .223, Ican't imagine anything more dangerous than someone shooting at asquirrel on a branch and missing, how far will that bullet fly.

Michaels, Debbie

From: Harris [cubscolts76@aol.com]
Sent: Friday, January 23, 2009 5:02 PM
To: NRCFWRulesProject
Subject: SUBSTANTIVE AMENDMENT SUGGESTION FORMHunting, Trapping or Taking Mammals

Hunting, Trapping or Taking Mammals
Deer

First Name
Jeff

Last Name
Harris

County
clark

State of Residence
IN

Suggestions
High powered rifles for deer hunting

FIELDS NOT DEFINED IN THE TEMPLATE FOLLOW

Kane, Jennifer

From: Carroll [sugarbull@aol.com]
Sent: Monday, January 26, 2009 1:08 PM
To: NRCFWRulesProject
Subject: SUBSTANTIVE AMENDMENT SUGGESTION FORMHunting, Trapping or Taking Mammals

Hunting, Trapping or Taking Mammals
Deer

First Name
Chris

Last Name
Carroll

County
Hendry

State of Residence
FL

Suggestions

I have a suggestion on a rifle season instead of a shotgun on the deer. I know I've heard through the years it was because of population but Kentucky is as populated as Indiana and they have rifle. Thankyou, Chris Carroll

FIELDS NOT DEFINED IN THE TEMPLATE FOLLOW

Michaels, Debbie

From: Reeck [afssadmin@ai.org]
Sent: Friday, February 06, 2009 9:01 PM
To: NRCFWRulesProject
Cc: Guinnup, Jacob
Subject: SUBSTANTIVE AMENDMENT SUGGESTION FORMHunting, Trapping or Taking Mammals

COMPREHENSIVE FISH & WILDLIFE RULES ENHANCEMENT PROJECT

Hunting, Trapping or Taking Mammals
Deer

First Name
Eric

Last Name
Reeck

Email

County
Bartholomew

State of Residence
IN

Suggestions

Allow deer hunting with a rifle in forested areas. Suggestion would be Southern Indiana. Studies have shown there is no increased risk of bullets flying further than slugs if ricochet is taken into effect.

Michaels, Debbie

From: Jackson [jackson@ccrtc.com]
Sent: Thursday, February 05, 2009 1:42 AM
To: NRCFWRulesProject
Cc: Guinnup, Jacob
Subject: SUBSTANTIVE AMENDMENT SUGGESTION FORMHunting, Trapping or Taking Mammals

COMPREHENSIVE FISH & WILDLIFE RULES ENHANCEMENT PROJECT

Hunting, Trapping or Taking Mammals
Deer

First Name
Ron

Last Name
Jackson

Email
jackson@ccrtc.com

County
Owen

State of Residence
IN

Suggestions

I think it's time that Indiana hunters were given the same opportunity to hunt deer with the same firearms used in a couple of neighboring states (KY, MI) and that is high power rifles. I don't know if it's the name (high power rifles) or what, that scares our lawmakers from legalizing them for deer, but in my opinion, a high power rifle vs. a shot gun for hunting is like a scalpel vs. a drywall saw for surgery. They are capable of excellent accuracy and the bullets most frequently expand or fragment so radically that they rarely leave the body. Indiana's hunting safety record is outstanding. Far more hunters are injured by falling from tree stands than are injured by gun accidents which proves that in general we're a pretty careful group. Not only would there be fewer lost and injured deer but an added benefit would likely be a boost to Indiana's economy. More hunters buying new rifles, and a lot more cartridges sold. Also some guys (and probably gals as well) that don't presently hunt might take it up. I think for all the good that Indiana hunters do they should be rewarded with this added perk. If there's a doubt about safety, it could be passed with a sunset clause to allow it only for a couple of years until it's proven how well it works. If you think about it, you know that it is legal to hunt predators with high power rifles, and while no one I know does it, nor am I condoning it or suggesting it, it is legal to hunt squirrels and rabbits with high power rifles. No, we don't need a law to prevent it, it just isn't done, or if it is there has never been a problem. Why fix it if it isn't broken? Thank you for your time and consideration.

Kane, Jennifer

From: Rutar [rutar21@msn.com]
Sent: Friday, January 23, 2009 11:59 AM
To: NRCFWRulesProject
Subject: SUBSTANTIVE AMENDMENT SUGGESTION FORMHunting, Trapping or Taking Mammals

Hunting, Trapping or Taking Mammals
Deer

First Name
Tim

Last Name
Rutar

County
Morgan

State of Residence
IN

Suggestions

I would like to see the addition of a larger caliber rifle to therifles now being used. I think it should allow the 444 marlin. Thiscaliber doesnt have the the distance of some that are allowed now. Butit would give more power to the hunter at distances up to the 100 yardrange. It would provide a more clean kill. There would be less chanceof just wounding a deer than with the smaller sizes like the 357.

FIELDS NOT DEFINED IN THE TEMPLATE FOLLOW

Michaels, Debbie

From: ENGLAND [ehlandcrown1970@yahoo.com]
Sent: Wednesday, January 21, 2009 3:42 PM
To: NRCFWRulesProject
Cc: Guinnup, Jacob
Subject: SUBSTANTIVE AMENDMENT SUGGESTION FORMHunting, Trapping or Taking Mammals

Hunting, Trapping or Taking Mammals
Deer

First Name
GREG

Last Name
ENGLAND

County
Marion

City

State of Residence
IN

Suggestions

I suggest that you open up rifle hunting calibers to more than just 'pistol calibers'. We should be able to use any centerfire rifle or handgun during a 'modern firearm season'. This will earn more revenue for many parties involved from gun and ammunitions manufacturers to those that sell them and to hunters who want to use them. See the Kentucky state hunting regulations for more examples of what I mean.

Thank you

FIELDS NOT DEFINED IN THE TEMPLATE FOLLOW

Michaels, Debbie

From: bacon [jbwhittail@aol.com]
Sent: Friday, January 16, 2009 2:47 PM
To: NRCFWRulesProject
Cc: jschroeder@www.in.gov
Subject: SUBSTANTIVE AMENDMENT SUGGESTION FORMHunting, Trapping or Taking Mammals

Hunting, Trapping or Taking Mammals
Deer

First Name
joe

Last Name
bacon

County
Marion

City

State of Residence
IN

Suggestions

I would like to see centerfire rifles added to legal firearms during general gun season.

In states where legal these firearms show no increase in accident rates nor fatalities when compared to our current legal firearms. Indiana had always been a state using firearms for deer that were termed short range, in recent years by rule changes and improvements to existing legal firearms that has changed. Allowing pistols to shoot centerfire cartridges, allowing smokeless powder muzzle loaders and the recent expansion of PCR rifles all have extended the maximum range of Indiana's legal firearms.

Safety should always be a major limiting factor, but today in Indiana only deer, turkey and waterfowl are not allowed to be taken by a centerfire rifle. Landowners are allowed to use centerfire rifles on out of season deer permits, why not hunters? Most deer hunting today is done from elevated stands and the projectile from a centerfire rifle would not travel any farther than any of the legal firearms of the present rule package.

There is no reason for centerfire rifles to be restricted in deer general gun season except for "perception".

Thank you

FIELDS NOT DEFINED IN THE TEMPLATE FOLLOW

Michaels, Debbie

From: Keller [smk1062@aol.com]
Sent: Thursday, January 22, 2009 7:11 PM
To: NRCFWRulesProject
Subject: SUBSTANTIVE AMENDMENT SUGGESTION FORMHunting, Trapping or Taking Mammals

Hunting, Trapping or Taking Mammals
Deer

First Name
Scott

Last Name
Keller

County
Porter

State of Residence
IN

Suggestions
Rifles, Handguns for deer hunting:

My idea is to keep the legal cartridges
the same for handguns and rifles.

Rifles and handguns that fire a bullet of 0.243- inch diameter orlarger; having a minimum case length of 1.16 inches;
and a maximumcase length of
1.625 inches are legal to use only during the deer firearmsseason.

Semi-auto firearms should be restricted
to no more than 4 rounds for rifles.

This would outlaw the more powerful cartridges- 30-06, 308win., etc.in both handguns and rifles and still limit the
effective range to 200yds.

Thanks,

Scott M. Keller

FIELDS NOT DEFINED IN THE TEMPLATE FOLLOW

Kane, Jennifer

From: Rosswurm [l_rosswurm@yahoo.com]
Sent: Thursday, January 22, 2009 5:42 PM
To: NRCFWRulesProject
Subject: SUBSTANTIVE AMENDMENT SUGGESTION FORMHunting, Trapping or Taking Mammals

Hunting, Trapping or Taking Mammals
Deer

First Name
Lynn

Last Name
Rosswurm

County
DeKalb

State of Residence
IN

Suggestions
Allow .460SW cartridge for rifle during deer season.

Thank-you

FIELDS NOT DEFINED IN THE TEMPLATE FOLLOW

Michaels, Debbie

From: Frye [mafrye@surfbest.net]
Sent: Wednesday, January 21, 2009 7:15 PM
To: NRCFWRulesProject
Subject: SUBSTANTIVE AMENDMENT SUGGESTION FORMHunting, Trapping or Taking Mammals

Hunting, Trapping or Taking Mammals
Deer

First Name
Michael

Last Name
Frye

Email
mafrye@surfbest.net

County
Clay

State of Residence
IN

Suggestions

Please add the .460 S & W to the list of pistol calibers legal for use in rifles while deer hunting. It's brass is just slightly too long to be legal right now.

Michaels, Debbie

From: Jones [dtrplum@earthlink.net]
Sent: Wednesday, January 21, 2009 3:50 PM
To: NRCFWRulesProject
Cc: Guinnup, Jacob
Subject: SUBSTANTIVE AMENDMENT SUGGESTION FORMHunting, Trapping or Taking Mammals

Hunting, Trapping or Taking Mammals
Other

First Name
Darryll

Last Name
Jones

County
floyd

City

State of Residence
IN

Suggestions

My suggestion would be to upgrade the firearms deer regulations for the use of large caliber rifles. What would be the difference of using a handgun or a rifle using the same bullet ? As an example, They make a few different handguns that use the 45/70 gov. round. What would be wrong with using a lever action rifle that uses the same bullet ? It seems logical that the rifle would add more stability and be more accurate to shoot at distant targets. There are many large bore rifles that have been adapted to handguns. Already some hunters are using the "CONTENDER" pistol with large bore ammunition, (legally) and using them with the rifle stocks attached actually making them a rifle anyway! So what is the difference ??? Thanks,,,D.R.Jones

FIELDS NOT DEFINED IN THE TEMPLATE FOLLOW

Michaels, Debbie

From: Shireman [jshireman@nhcs.k12.in.us]
Sent: Friday, January 09, 2009 10:03 AM
To: NRCFWRulesProject
Subject: SUBSTANTIVE AMENDMENT SUGGESTION FORMHunting, Trapping or Taking Mammals

Hunting, Trapping or Taking Mammals
Deer

First Name
Jeremy

Last Name
Shireman

Email
jshireman@nhcs.k12.in.us

County
Harrison

State of Residence
IN

Suggestions

I would like the regulations changed for the use of rifles during deerseason. Rather than having dimensions of the cartridge I think it would be better to allow any cartridge over .35 caliber. This would make it easier to stay within the law and still would only allow medium range rifles.

Michaels, Debbie

From: Ruble [buyer72@comcast.net]
Sent: Tuesday, January 13, 2009 5:46 AM
To: NRCFWRulesProject
Subject: SUBSTANTIVE AMENDMENT SUGGESTION FORMHunting, Trapping or Taking Mammals

Hunting, Trapping or Taking Mammals
Deer

First Name
J. Craig

Last Name
Ruble

Email
buyer72@comcast.net

County
Johnson

State of Residence
IN

Suggestions

Deer hunting is now permitted using rifles that are chambered intraditional handgun calibers such as .357 and .44. It is also permitted with shotguns loaded with slugs.

However, there is a rule stating that one cannot use combination rifle/shotguns. I would suggest that this rule should be ammended such that combination guns could be used so long as BOTH components would be legal if they were used alone. In other words, a .357 over 20 ga weapon would be legal for deer hunting while a .22 over 20 ga would not (because a .22 rifle is not legal for deer hunting).

Because they come with iron sights already attached and are break action, the over and under combo guns are an ideal weapon for younghunters to take on their first deer hunts. If they were legal, I would certainly buy one for each of my two daughters.

Michaels, Debbie

From: Fink [mfink@indy.rr.com]
Sent: Wednesday, January 14, 2009 8:18 PM
To: NRCFWRulesProject
Subject: SUBSTANTIVE AMENDMENT SUGGESTION FORMHunting, Trapping or Taking Mammals

Hunting, Trapping or Taking Mammals
Deer

First Name
Mark

Last Name
Fink

Email
mfink@indy.rr.com

County
Hendricks

State of Residence
IN

Suggestions

Allow more centerfire rifle cartridges for Deer season (firearms). I understand that some of the longer range .30 calibers may not be suitable around heavily populated areas like cities and maybe a caliber restriction around those areas should be enforced.

I see no reason why some less powerful calibers like .30-30, .35remington, ect. couldn't be allowed.

Michaels, Debbie

From: Reider [blr94iowa@att.net]
Sent: Wednesday, January 21, 2009 3:03 PM
To: NRCFWRulesProject
Cc: Guinnup, Jacob
Subject: SUBSTANTIVE AMENDMENT SUGGESTION FORMHunting, Trapping or Taking Mammals

Hunting, Trapping or Taking Mammals
Deer

First Name
Brandon

Last Name
Reider

County
Hendricks

City

State of Residence
IN

Suggestions
Able to use 30/30 Rifle during deer firearms

FIELDS NOT DEFINED IN THE TEMPLATE FOLLOW

Michaels, Debbie

From: Brewer [brewand@sbcglobal.net]
Sent: Wednesday, January 21, 2009 3:28 PM
To: NRCFWRulesProject
Cc: Guinnup, Jacob
Subject: SUBSTANTIVE AMENDMENT SUGGESTION FORMHunting, Trapping or Taking Mammals

Hunting, Trapping or Taking Mammals
Deer

First Name
Andrew

Last Name
Brewer

County
Fountain

City

State of Residence
IN

Suggestions
Allowing 30/30, 35rem, 45/70, 444 cal rifles for deer hunting.

FIELDS NOT DEFINED IN THE TEMPLATE FOLLOW

Michaels, Debbie

From: Redmon [sam@wcredmon.com]
Sent: Wednesday, January 21, 2009 5:00 PM
To: NRCFWRulesProject
Subject: SUBSTANTIVE AMENDMENT SUGGESTION FORMHunting, Trapping or Taking Mammals

Hunting, Trapping or Taking Mammals

Deer

First Name

Sam

Last Name

Redmon

Email

sam@wcredmon.com

County

Miami

State of Residence

IN

Suggestions

Dear Sir,

Slugs are becoming faster, pistol cartridges are being allowed in rifles and I have personally seen a "wildcat cartridge", that meets the IN regulations, that shoots a 170 gr bullet over 3000 fps. I would like to suggest that additional rifle cartridges be allowed, at least on private ground. Those cartridges that are in the realm of the 30-30 for instance. This would give many hunters access to rifles they already own to be used for deer hunting. I have read about these bullets being less of a risk than slugs for deflection, although I must admit I do not understand this.

I think the INDNR has done a good job and we all appreciate you giving us a chance to voice our opinion and it is well noted that you have made changes based on the hunters input.

Thanks.....

Kane, Jennifer

From: van keppel [afssadmin@ai.org]
Sent: Friday, February 13, 2009 3:16 PM
To: NRCFWRulesProject
Cc: Guinnup, Jacob
Subject: SUBSTANTIVE AMENDMENT SUGGESTION FORMHunting, Trapping or Taking Mammals

COMPREHENSIVE FISH & WILDLIFE RULES ENHANCEMENT PROJECT

Hunting, Trapping or Taking Mammals
Deer

First Name
dave

Last Name
van keppel

Email

County
jasper

State of Residence
IN

Suggestions

I would like to expand our choices of calibers for firearms season. The use of the 30-30, .243 would help us in doe reduction and not be any hotter than the new muzzle loaders.

Kane, Jennifer

From: fassett [glf4251951@comcast.net]
Sent: Monday, January 26, 2009 7:04 PM
To: NRCFWRulesProject
Subject: SUBSTANTIVE AMENDMENT SUGGESTION FORMHunting, Trapping or Taking Mammals

Hunting, Trapping or Taking Mammals
Deer

First Name
greg

Last Name
fassett

Email
glf4251951@comcast.net

County
cass

State of Residence
IN

Suggestions

I believe that 30cal rounds should be approved for Deer hunting. We already have muzzel loaders that are capable of 200+ yard accurate shots. Why not approve the 30 cal rifle for Deer hunting. With the correct load and bullet this would be an ideal round for Deer harvesting. Also consider the 410 slug is nearly the same size as a 30 cal round and has about the same range.

Thanks,
Greg Fassett

Kane, Jennifer

From: Fleischmann [soldit4@aol.com]
Sent: Tuesday, January 27, 2009 12:37 PM
To: NRCFWRulesProject
Subject: SUBSTANTIVE AMENDMENT SUGGESTION FORMHunting, Trapping or Taking Mammals

Hunting, Trapping or Taking Mammals
Deer

First Name
Paul

Last Name
Fleischmann

County
Fulton

State of Residence
IN

Suggestions

Current regulations do not permit hunting deer with a rifle/carbinethat is not of a hand gun caliber. This makes the most popularcartrige in North America (30.06)being banned. Ballstics do notsupport this ban and it is legal to us in most states and Provinces. Need to reevaluate this regulation.

FIELDS NOT DEFINED IN THE TEMPLATE FOLLOW

Kane, Jennifer

From: NEEDHAM [NEEDHAMSRULE@SBCGLOBAL.NET]
Sent: Friday, January 30, 2009 12:09 AM
To: NRCFWRulesProject
Subject: SUBSTANTIVE AMENDMENT SUGGESTION FORMHunting, Trapping or Taking Mammals

Hunting, Trapping or Taking Mammals
Deer

First Name
EARNEST

Last Name
NEEDHAM

Email
NEEDHAMSRULE@SBCGLOBAL.NET

County
MARION

State of Residence
IN

Suggestions

Please consider this;

Adding ,the old 30-30 cartridge, to the list of legal calibersallowed for deer hunting. This is hardly more powerful, accurate, or longer ranging than shotgun [sabot] slugs or inline blackpowderrifles. It is possibly less so, than many used each year.

This is a good 150 yard cartridge and nothing more. It is a design, over 100 years old. It could rightfully be called an antique. It was origionally designed as a blackpowder cartridge and the power range has only increased marginally.

Any, other rifle calibers need not be considered. Possibly, only on private property would this be allowed. maybe , like other states, only in the least populated counties.

Thank You,
Earnest Needham

Michaels, Debbie

From: DRUMMOND [DRUMMONDFARM4320@YAHOO.COM]
Sent: Sunday, February 01, 2009 11:52 AM
To: NRCFWRulesProject
Subject: SUBSTANTIVE AMENDMENT SUGGESTION FORMHunting, Trapping or Taking Mammals

Hunting, Trapping or Taking Mammals
Deer

First Name
ADAM

Last Name
DRUMMOND

Email
DRUMMONDFARM4320@YAHOO.COM

County
HAMILTON

State of Residence
IN

Suggestions

Allow deer hunting with a 30-30 rifle. They don't shoot any farther than modern muzzle loaders, and it would be nice to have another option for deer hunting. Please consider this even if only on private property. Tank you.

Michaels, Debbie

From: Holder [mdholder1@aol.com]
Sent: Thursday, February 05, 2009 6:08 PM
To: NRCFWRulesProject
Cc: Guinnup, Jacob
Subject: SUBSTANTIVE AMENDMENT SUGGESTION FORMHunting, Trapping or Taking Mammals

COMPREHENSIVE FISH & WILDLIFE RULES ENHANCEMENT PROJECT

Hunting, Trapping or Taking Mammals

Deer

First Name

Mike

Last Name

Holder

Email

mdholder1@aol.com

County

Hendricks

State of Residence

IN

Suggestions

I am requesting allowing the use of 30/30 rifles for deer hunting. Thereason always given in the past is Indiana is too flat, I believe mostdeer hunting is done in hilly terrain as most flat land is tilled forcrops. I have relitivs in western KY and MO and they are as flat ormore flat than IN.

Thank you for your consideration of my request

Mike Holder

Michaels, Debbie

From: Sanders [klen@comcast.net]
Sent: Friday, February 06, 2009 8:38 PM
To: NRCFWRulesProject
Cc: Guinnup, Jacob
Subject: SUBSTANTIVE AMENDMENT SUGGESTION FORMHunting, Trapping or Taking Mammals

COMPREHENSIVE FISH & WILDLIFE RULES ENHANCEMENT PROJECT

Hunting, Trapping or Taking Mammals
Deer

First Name
Lennard

Last Name
Sanders

Email
klen@comcast.net

County
Jasper

State of Residence
IN

Suggestions

I would like to see the 30-30 Caliber allowed in the 2009 deer huntingseason. It is a great brush gun and does not have the range of some ofthe new muzzloaders that are on the market now. Please this would begreat for the area that the folks in Jasper county hunt in.

Thank You
Len Sanders

Michaels, Debbie

From: Sanders [jsanders@nc.rr.com]
Sent: Saturday, February 07, 2009 11:14 AM
To: NRCFWRulesProject
Cc: Guinnup, Jacob
Subject: SUBSTANTIVE AMENDMENT SUGGESTION FORMHunting, Trapping or Taking Mammals

COMPREHENSIVE FISH & WILDLIFE RULES ENHANCEMENT PROJECT

Hunting, Trapping or Taking Mammals
Deer

First Name
Jason

Last Name
Sanders

Email
jsanders@nc.rr.com

County
Wake

State of Residence
NC

Suggestions

I have lived in Indiana most of my life. From the time I was born until up to 3 years ago. I have hunted and fished Indiana woods and waters since the time my Dad would take me along squirrel hunting when I was very young (it was in the '70s). He purchased my Lifetime Hunting License as a gift when I was in HS. I believe that outdoor recreation was one of the most important educations my father has provided me. I strongly believe that it has instilled in me the respect for all of earth's creatures, as well as a deep appreciation and respect for life in general. For that, I will be forever indebted to the IDNR for allowing all of this to happen.

I have completed post graduate training in science, and I still hold my time hunting and fishing in Indiana with higher regard. If only it could make me a similar living.

I plan to return in the future and would love to be able to hunt deer with my 30-30 that I have used many times out west and here out east. With the new muzzleloaders and their impressive ballistics, I see no reason why 30-30 rifles could not be used to hunt deer from tree stands. The county I currently live in allows HP rifles as long as you are a minimum of 8 feet above the ground. I think this is a reasonable demand of hunters. I'm writing so that you may consider this issue and hopefully lift the current ban on this caliber of rifle.

Sincerely,

Jason L Sanders

Michaels, Debbie

From: Schultz [tims@midwaynet.nat]
Sent: Monday, February 09, 2009 4:17 PM
To: NRCFWRulesProject
Cc: Guinnup, Jacob
Subject: SUBSTANTIVE AMENDMENT SUGGESTION FORMHunting, Trapping or Taking Mammals

COMPREHENSIVE FISH & WILDLIFE RULES ENHANCEMENT PROJECT

Hunting, Trapping or Taking Mammals
Deer

First Name
Tim

Last Name
Schultz

Email
tims@midwaynet.nat

County
jasper

State of Residence
IN

Suggestions

I would like to see the firearm law for hunting deer include the 30-30caliber. for many reasons including more water proof ammo ballistics are not as much as most muzzleloaders cleaner kills easier to sight in an maintain a consistant accuracy thank you

tim Schultz

**COMPREHENSIVE
FISH & WILDLIFE RULES PROJECT**

SUBSTANTIVE SUGGESTIONS

**TOPIC: HUNTING, TRAPPING, TAKING
MAMMALS**

**SUB-TOPIC: DEER/CARRY & LOAD TIME
REGULATION**

Michaels, Debbie

From: Fink [mfink@indy.rr.com]
Sent: Wednesday, January 14, 2009 8:23 PM
To: NRCFWRulesProject
Subject: SUBSTANTIVE AMENDMENT SUGGESTION FORMHunting, Trapping or Taking Mammals

Hunting, Trapping or Taking Mammals
Deer

First Name
Mark

Last Name
Fink

Email
mfink@indy.rr.com

County
Hendricks

State of Residence
IN

Suggestions
Allow weapons to be loaded before or after legal shooting hours.

Michaels, Debbie

From: Stookey [zstookey@yahoo.com]
Sent: Friday, January 16, 2009 10:31 PM
To: NRCFWRulesProject
Cc: jschroeder@www.in.gov
Subject: SUBSTANTIVE AMENDMENT SUGGESTION FORMHunting, Trapping or Taking Mammals

Hunting, Trapping or Taking Mammals
Deer

First Name
Zach

Last Name
Stookey

County
Kosciusko

City

State of Residence
IN

Suggestions

This state should allow hunters to carry a bow and a firearm at the same time during firearms season (as long as they have a license for both), same in muzzleloader season too.

FIELDS NOT DEFINED IN THE TEMPLATE FOLLOW

Michaels, Debbie

From: Blumer [jrbbb@ligtel.com]
Sent: Wednesday, January 21, 2009 9:47 PM
To: NRCFWRulesProject
Subject: SUBSTANTIVE AMENDMENT SUGGESTION FORMHunting, Trapping or Taking Mammals

Hunting, Trapping or Taking Mammals
Deer

First Name
James

Last Name
Blumer

Email
jrbbb@ligtel.com

County
noble

State of Residence
IN

Suggestions

This rule should apply to the Indiana deer hunting regulations. Most serious deer hunters arrive on stand or at their ground blind at least 30 minutes before legal shooting to allow for some quiet time to settle in with nature before legal shooting time begins. At this time the hunter should be allowed to load and chamber his/her gun. Why should a hunter have to wait until legal shooting time to load a gun? By the time you load five slugs into a shotgun you will have scared away every deer within 200 yds. I believe 80% of all deer hunters load before legal shooting time. The law needs changed to allow for loaded firearms to be in the field 30 minutes before legal shooting time.

Kane, Jennifer

From: Ranz [jeffsboattops@yahoo.com]
Sent: Thursday, January 22, 2009 6:50 AM
To: NRCFWRulesProject
Subject: SUBSTANTIVE AMENDMENT SUGGESTION FORMHunting, Trapping or Taking Mammals

Hunting, Trapping or Taking Mammals
Deer

First Name
Jeffrey

Last Name
Ranz

Email
jeffsboattops@yahoo.com

County
Switzerland

State of Residence
OH

Suggestions

I wish that we could carry a rifle when we are archery hunting for deer and when we are turkey hunting with a shot gun. The reason is to be able to shoot coyotes when you see them. They are usually out of bow or shotgun range. The coyote population is out of control in Switzerland County. When you shoot a deer with your bow and wait an hour or two to track your deer, many times the coyotes have already got to your deer before you get to it. Coyotes have ruined several of our deer in the past few years.

Please give this suggestion some consideration.

Sincerely,
Jeffrey T. Ranz

Michaels, Debbie

From: Trine [pimpassdakota@aol.com]
Sent: Wednesday, March 11, 2009 2:56 PM
To: NRCFWRulesProject
Cc: Guinnup, Jacob
Subject: SUBSTANTIVE AMENDMENT SUGGESTION FORMHunting, Trapping or Taking Mammals

COMPREHENSIVE FISH & WILDLIFE RULES ENHANCEMENT PROJECT

Hunting, Trapping or Taking Mammals
Deer

First Name
Josh

Last Name
Trine

Email
pimpassdakota@aol.com

County
Howard

State of Residence
IN

Suggestions

During our deer season your allowed to carry a handgun as long as youare possessing it as a personal protection gun and have a legalpersonal protection permit, wether it be bow season, firearms, ormuzzleloader season. I like that rule, but i beleive it needs someclarification in the rules and regulations book. Along with that ruleis a question/suggestion I have. When bow hunting and even in firearmsseason I see lots and lots of squirrels. Why cant a hunter carry a .22rifle or small caliber rifle used for squirrels and small game whilethey are hunting for deer? You are allowed to carry a shotgun and amuzzleloader at the same time during the firearm season, which are 2big guns. What would it hurt to let hunters carry a small caliberrifle such as a .22 with them during deer season so they can takesquirells while deer hunting if the deer activity is slow? Me, my dad,and our partner do alot of all day hunting for deer and I lose countof how many squirrels I see while in the treestand. It would be verynice to be able to take a squirrel with a small rifle while im in thedeer stand. Yes I know u could try to hit them with a bow, or takethem with a shotgun, but ask yourself how many times are you actuallygoing to hit a squirrel with a bow? How many squirrels are you goingto be able to put on the dinner table after taking them with a slug? Ido not take animals unless I am planning on processing them and eatingthem, or during trapping season selling their fur. I love hunting,trapping, and fishing and wouldnt know what to do without it. I followall rules and regulations, and if this suggestion could be madepossible, me, my dad, and our partner would GREATLY appreciate it.Thank you for your time.

Kane, Jennifer

From: Timmerman [mtimmerman@prudentialhvac.com]
Sent: Thursday, January 22, 2009 9:15 AM
To: NRCFWRulesProject
Subject: SUBSTANTIVE AMENDMENT SUGGESTION FORMHunting, Trapping or Taking Mammals

Hunting, Trapping or Taking Mammals
Other

First Name
Mike

Last Name
Timmerman

Email
mtimmerman@prudentialhvac.com

County
Jefferson

State of Residence
KY

Suggestions

Section 32. The people shall have a right to bear arms, for the defense of themselves and the State.

This is verbatim from the Indiana Constitution. The Indiana DNR should review all its regulations with regards to when a hunter may or may not possess a firearm in the field. If the DNR has any laws or regulations that say a hunter may not possess a modern firearm during the archery or muzzleloader seasons, those regulations and or laws would be in violation of the Indiana Constitution and the 2nd Amendment to the federal Constitution. Indiana DNR says: "It is illegal to possess firearms while hunting deer with a bow and arrow, except for a handgun that is possessed under a personal protection permit." This is clearly a violation of both the Indiana and U.S. Constitutions. DNR only has statutory authority to regulate when a firearm may be USED not when it may be POSSESSED. Please contact me regarding this issue.

**COMPREHENSIVE
FISH & WILDLIFE RULES PROJECT**

SUBSTANTIVE SUGGESTIONS

**TOPIC: HUNTING, TRAPPING, TAKING
MAMMALS**

**SUB-TOPIC: DEER/CROSSBOW DURING ARCHERY
SEASON**

Michaels, Debbie

From: krichbaum [mk123@hughes.net]
Sent: Saturday, January 31, 2009 10:36 AM
To: NRCFWRulesProject
Subject: SUBSTANTIVE AMENDMENT SUGGESTION FORMHunting, Trapping or Taking Mammals

Hunting, Trapping or Taking Mammals
Other

First Name
michael

Last Name
krichbaum

Email
mk123@hughes.net

County
warren

State of Residence
IN

Suggestions

I would like to see crossbows legalized for all deer archery seasons and male as well as female deer hunting. A lot of the modern compound bows today are just as fast if not faster than crossbows. My compound bow shoots 340 fps and my crossbow shoots 302 fps. My crossbow is accurate out to about 40 yards, my compound is accurate out to 70 yards. It would benefit mainly my younger children who like to hunt but aren't big enough to pull back a compound yet that would be very ethical past 10 yards, although I wouldn't mind using the crossbow in the thicker woods myself. Hope this provides some food for thought. Thank you!

Michael Krichbaum

Michaels, Debbie

From: baum [baum59@yahoo.com]
Sent: Saturday, January 24, 2009 1:24 PM
To: NRCFWRulesProject
Subject: SUBSTANTIVE AMENDMENT SUGGESTION FORMHunting, Trapping or Taking Mammals

Hunting, Trapping or Taking Mammals
blank

First Name
dave

Last Name
baum

County
starke

State of Residence
IN

Suggestions
crossbows should be legal during all archery seasons.

FIELDS NOT DEFINED IN THE TEMPLATE FOLLOW

Kane, Jennifer

From: tobar [afssadmin@ai.org]
Sent: Monday, January 26, 2009 10:45 AM
To: NRCFWRulesProject
Subject: SUBSTANTIVE AMENDMENT SUGGESTION FORMHunting, Trapping or Taking Mammals

Hunting, Trapping or Taking Mammals
Other

First Name
keith

Last Name
tobar

County
st joseph

State of Residence
IN

Suggestions
allow crossbow use during all of deer bow hunt season. at least consider for those sixty five & up &/or disabled. late season only is very tough on us.

FIELDS NOT DEFINED IN THE TEMPLATE FOLLOW

Michaels, Debbie

From: Russell [afssadmin@ai.org]
Sent: Tuesday, March 17, 2009 8:50 AM
To: NRCFWRulesProject
Cc: Guinnup, Jacob
Subject: SUBSTANTIVE AMENDMENT SUGGESTION FORMHunting, Trapping or Taking Mammals

COMPREHENSIVE FISH & WILDLIFE RULES ENHANCEMENT PROJECT

Hunting, Trapping or Taking Mammals

Deer

First Name

Rick

Last Name

Russell

Email

Rcks russell@aol.com

County

Hamiltom

State of Residence

IN

Suggestions

Allowing crossbow hunting in urban zone. These are restricted areas anyway and extra permits.

Michaels, Debbie

From: Collins [dougcollins2929@att.net]
Sent: Thursday, March 12, 2009 1:40 PM
To: NRCFWRulesProject
Cc: Guinnup, Jacob
Subject: SUBSTANTIVE AMENDMENT SUGGESTION FORMHunting, Trapping or Taking Mammals

COMPREHENSIVE FISH & WILDLIFE RULES ENHANCEMENT PROJECT

Hunting, Trapping or Taking Mammals

Deer

First Name

Doug

Last Name

Collins

Email

dougcollins2929@att.net

County

Marion

State of Residence

IN

Suggestions

I would like to see crossbows made legal for use in the early archeryseason. This change would allow more hunters to access the early partof the season where the weather is more favorable, and modern compoundbows are equal in performance to crossbows.

Kane, Jennifer

From: Means [francism1944@yahoo.com]
Sent: Monday, February 16, 2009 2:51 PM
To: NRCFWRulesProject
Cc: Guinnup, Jacob
Subject: SUBSTANTIVE AMENDMENT SUGGESTION FORMHunting, Trapping or Taking Mammals

COMPREHENSIVE FISH & WILDLIFE RULES ENHANCEMENT PROJECT

Hunting, Trapping or Taking Mammals
Deer

First Name
Francis

Last Name
Means

Email
francism1944@yahoo.com

County
Kosciusko

State of Residence
IN

Suggestions
Legalize an early crossbow deer season for senior citizens

Kane, Jennifer

From: Van Hoff [briguyjoe@msn.com]
Sent: Friday, February 13, 2009 7:14 PM
To: NRCFWRulesProject
Cc: Guinnup, Jacob
Subject: SUBSTANTIVE AMENDMENT SUGGESTION FORMHunting, Trapping or Taking Mammals

COMPREHENSIVE FISH & WILDLIFE RULES ENHANCEMENT PROJECT

Hunting, Trapping or Taking Mammals
Deer

First Name
Brian

Last Name
Van Hoff

Email
briguyjoe@msn.com

County
Clark

State of Residence
IN

Suggestions

To Indiana Natural Resources commision My comment as part of acomprehensive review and enhancement of wildlife rules. Is to legalizecrossbows for everyone to harvest deer during regular archery season. Other states have already done this with success. It would help thestate and local economy. Because crossbow hunters buy licenses andhunting supplies from local sporting goods stores. It would also helpreduce the deer herd. And get more people out to enjoy the outdoors.

Michaels, Debbie

From: Ringler [gringler49@yahoo.com]
Sent: Saturday, February 07, 2009 4:11 PM
To: NRCFWRulesProject
Cc: Guinnup, Jacob
Subject: SUBSTANTIVE AMENDMENT SUGGESTION FORMHunting, Trapping or Taking Mammals

COMPREHENSIVE FISH & WILDLIFE RULES ENHANCEMENT PROJECT

Hunting, Trapping or Taking Mammals
Deer

First Name
Garry

Last Name
Ringler

Email
gringler49@yahoo.com

County
Kosciusko

State of Residence
IN

Suggestions

I would like to see the crossbow season expanded to the entire archeryseason. The older I get the weaker I get. It is much harder to draw &hold a bow & I don't feel that I should try to get a doctor to say I'm"handicapped", I'm not. Maybe an exemption for folks over 60 yrs. ofage. That would greatly increase our hunting season. I have talkedto others that are older and they all agree. This would no doubt leadto more crossbows & darts being sold, more revenue for the DNR, &would help with reducing the ever growing deer herd.
Thanks for the space,

Garry Ringler

Michaels, Debbie

From: haywood [chas1953@embarqmail.com]
Sent: Saturday, February 07, 2009 11:34 AM
To: NRCFWRulesProject
Cc: Guinnup, Jacob
Subject: SUBSTANTIVE AMENDMENT SUGGESTION FORMHunting, Trapping or Taking Mammals

COMPREHENSIVE FISH & WILDLIFE RULES ENHANCEMENT PROJECT

Hunting, Trapping or Taking Mammals

Deer

First Name

charles

Last Name

haywood

Email

chas1953@embarqmail.com

County

kosciusko

State of Residence

IN

Suggestions

my suggestion is to make it legal to use a crossbow all archery season i don't think it and easier to use crossbow than compound bow with abad shoulder it hard to practice like i want but not bad enough to get a handicap permit

Kane, Jennifer

From: Ralph Perry [afssadmin@ai.org]
Sent: Friday, January 30, 2009 1:48 PM
To: nrcrules
Subject: Consolidated NRC-OEA Hearings

Name: Ralph Perry
City/County: Terre Haute- Vigo
State: Indiana
Organization: person

Comments: I would like to see the cross bow legalized for all seasons and anyhunter. I believe that will keep some older hunters in the field longer and may even bring in some out of state hunters. Which in turn will help the economy of Indiana.

I would like to see a study of the Terre Haute area made as to urban hunting.

FIELDS NOT DEFINED IN THE TEMPLATE FOLLOW

Michaels, Debbie

From: Bowyer [sladeduce@live.com]
Sent: Tuesday, February 03, 2009 4:25 PM
To: NRCFWRulesProject
Cc: Guinnup, Jacob
Subject: SUBSTANTIVE AMENDMENT SUGGESTION FORMHunting, Trapping or Taking Mammals

COMPREHENSIVE FISH & WILDLIFE RULES ENHANCEMENT PROJECT

Hunting, Trapping or Taking Mammals

Deer

First Name

Larry

Last Name

Bowyer

Email

sladeduce@live.com

County

DeKalb

State of Residence

IN

Suggestions

Consider moving the Crossbow season from it's present time frame to the early season with the regular bow season. In talking with several of my hunting friends who are over 65 yrs. old, it is becoming harder to pull that compound bow string. Our shoulder joints no longer can do this. To give up the early season, and enjoy the warm autumn days, to sit in the cold is not good or fun as it once was.. With more and more deer, trying to control the deer population, helping to control crop damage, as well as auto damage for the insurance industry, it would make a lot of sense to include the crossbow in the early season, and stop listening to the Indiana Bow Association and others....

We have long bows, recurve bows, 6 wheel compound bows, and 2 wheel compound bows. I have heard the same reasons not to have the recurve bow when it came out, the same reasons not to have the compound bow when it first became available.... ie, it would shoot farther, kill more deer, but so far this has not happened. Stop listening to a few and help out your older hunters to still enjoy the sport as we use to but just another way.

Michaels, Debbie

From: Echert [dwecher@hotmail.com]
Sent: Tuesday, February 03, 2009 10:31 AM
To: NRCFWRulesProject
Cc: Guinnup, Jacob
Subject: SUBSTANTIVE AMENDMENT SUGGESTION FORMHunting, Trapping or Taking Mammals

COMPREHENSIVE FISH & WILDLIFE RULES ENHANCEMENT PROJECT

Hunting, Trapping or Taking Mammals
Deer

First Name
David

Last Name
Echert

Email
dwecher@hotmail.com

County
Gibson

State of Residence
IN

Suggestions
Allow crossbows during all archery seasons. The crossbow is just "primitive" as today's compound bows.

Michaels, Debbie

From: harney [tlharney@embarqmail.com]
Sent: Sunday, February 01, 2009 10:16 AM
To: NRCFWRulesProject
Subject: SUBSTANTIVE AMENDMENT SUGGESTION FORMHunting, Trapping or Taking Mammals

Hunting, Trapping or Taking Mammals
Deer

First Name
troy

Last Name
harney

Email
tlharney@embarqmail.com

County
kosciusko

State of Residence
IN

Suggestions

i think indiana should make cross bow legal during all archeryseasons, i believe it would bring more youth & adults into huntingtherefore bringing more revenue to you. Also it is a fact, more & morestates are passing this law every year.I do alot of archery & takedeer every year with my bow & i also have a crossbow. I think it wouldbe a good thing to do. TROY HARNEY 2/01/2009

Michaels, Debbie

From: Chaney [nchaney_4964@fuse.net]
Sent: Saturday, January 31, 2009 10:28 AM
To: NRCFWRulesProject
Subject: SUBSTANTIVE AMENDMENT SUGGESTION FORMHunting, Trapping or Taking Mammals

Hunting, Trapping or Taking Mammals
Deer

First Name
Nathanael

Last Name
Chaney

Email
nchaney_4964@fuse.net

County
Butler

State of Residence
OH

Suggestions

I believe crossbows should be allowed during the entire archery season. I used to hunt exclusively with a compound bow, but now due to a busy work schedule, a family, and lack of practice time have switched to a crossbow. I have found I am much more accurate with the crossbow than I ever was with the compound, thus giving me the best chance for a clean, ethical deer harvest. The crossbow has the same short range limitations out to 40-50 yards as a vertical bow, its limbs are simply horizontal and it shoots a shorter arrow.

Kane, Jennifer

From: Dye [dyeclan@mac.com]
Sent: Friday, January 30, 2009 10:33 AM
To: NRCFWRulesProject
Subject: SUBSTANTIVE AMENDMENT SUGGESTION FORMHunting, Trapping or Taking Mammals

Hunting, Trapping or Taking Mammals
Deer

First Name
Frank

Last Name
Dye

Email
dyeclan@mac.com

County
Carroll

State of Residence
OH

Suggestions

As a citizen of Ohio and neighbor, I can only encourage you to fully include crossbows in your archery season. They are a legitimate and valuable management tool as proven in my home state and others. Please review the facts and be prepared to counter emotional arguments with rational ones. As hunter numbers decline, any possibility of increasing our numbers and the healthy management of our resources should be employed.

Regards,

Frank R. Dye

Kane, Jennifer

From: Cash [bcash27720@aol.com]
Sent: Thursday, January 29, 2009 8:40 PM
To: NRCFWRulesProject
Subject: SUBSTANTIVE AMENDMENT SUGGESTION FORMHunting, Trapping or Taking Mammals

Hunting, Trapping or Taking Mammals
Deer

First Name
Brad

Last Name
Cash

Email
bcash27720@aol.com

County
Hamilton

State of Residence
IN

Suggestions

Dear Sirs,

I have been hunting whitetail deer for over 20 years in Indiana. In the last few years as my sons have grown I purchased bows for them while purchasing a crossbow for myself. It would be nice to be able to hunt early bow season with my sons, as I do not hunt with a regular bow.

It is my understanding the states surrounding Indiana have a crossbow season that runs with the regular bow season.

I recommend running the crossbow season with the regular bow season.

Kane, Jennifer

From: dewitt [dewitttool@hotmail.com]
Sent: Thursday, January 29, 2009 3:13 PM
To: NRCFWRulesProject
Subject: SUBSTANTIVE AMENDMENT SUGGESTION FORMHunting, Trapping or Taking Mammals

Hunting, Trapping or Taking Mammals
Deer

First Name
dan

Last Name
dewitt

Email
dewitttool@hotmail.com

County
grant

State of Residence
IN

Suggestions
i would like to see the state allow crossbow's to be used by anyone during regular bow season.

Kane, Jennifer

From: Arthur [snparthur60@aol.com]
Sent: Thursday, January 29, 2009 12:38 PM
To: NRCFWRulesProject
Subject: SUBSTANTIVE AMENDMENT SUGGESTION FORMHunting, Trapping or Taking Mammals

Hunting, Trapping or Taking Mammals
Deer

First Name
Scott

Last Name
Arthur

County
Vigo

State of Residence
IN

Suggestions

I would like to see crossbow use for EVERYONE during the OCT.
archeryseason.

FIELDS NOT DEFINED IN THE TEMPLATE FOLLOW

Kane, Jennifer

From: Fenchak [mickey3fin@yahoo.com]
Sent: Thursday, January 29, 2009 11:45 AM
To: NRCFWRulesProject
Subject: SUBSTANTIVE AMENDMENT SUGGESTION FORMHunting, Trapping or Taking Mammals

Hunting, Trapping or Taking Mammals
Deer

First Name
Michael

Last Name
Fenchak

County
Marian

State of Residence
IN

Suggestions

I am an archery hunter. I enjoy my bow very much, but have recently acquired a crossbow as well.

With the advances in compound bow sighting and equipment, the compound bow is very close to, if not at the same level of technology as the cross bow is. I consider them equal as weapons, and believe that each has its own unique skill set requirement to master each of these tools.

I would like to see the cross bow season expanded to be included in both archery seasons, early and late.

Neighboring states to Indiana have recently expanded their cross bow season, and I would like very much for Indiana to at least consider this as well.

Thank you for your time-

Michael Fenchak
6530 Blossom Lane (N)
Indianapolis, Indiana 46278

FIELDS NOT DEFINED IN THE TEMPLATE FOLLOW

Kane, Jennifer

From: Brown [MLLBROWN@STARBAND.NET]
Sent: Thursday, January 29, 2009 9:35 AM
To: NRCFWRulesProject
Subject: SUBSTANTIVE AMENDMENT SUGGESTION FORMHunting, Trapping or Taking Mammals

Hunting, Trapping or Taking Mammals
Deer

First Name
Michael

Last Name
Brown

County
Scott

State of Residence
IN

Suggestions

I do not understand the discrimination against crossbows in deerhunting or in using archery for rough fish.

Crossbows are more primitive than modern compound bows. They do not shoot any faster, go any further or aim any quicker than compound bows. I feel crossbows should be legal without a handicap permit for all hunting and fishing where archery equipment is allowed.

FIELDS NOT DEFINED IN THE TEMPLATE FOLLOW

Kane, Jennifer

From: Hardwick [bvhardwick@hughes.net]
Sent: Monday, January 26, 2009 2:24 PM
To: NRCFWRulesProject
Subject: SUBSTANTIVE AMENDMENT SUGGESTION FORMHunting, Trapping or Taking Mammals

Hunting, Trapping or Taking Mammals
Deer

First Name
Bobby

Last Name
Hardwick

County
Martin

State of Residence
IN

Suggestions
To: Natural Resources Commission

I am writing this comment to ask that Crossbows be allowed for all archery seasons in the State of Indiana.

I have been hunting with a crossbow for 4 years now due to a disability and have come to the conclusion that they should be allowed for the rest of the hunting population that would choose to use them.

I have found that the difference between a Crossbow and Compounds to be very minimal.

In fact I have found several things that I consider to be a disadvantage when hunting with a crossbow, they are very heavy and if you miss the chances of a second shot are almost zero. They also do not blend in with the woods as well as a Compound bow.

Allowing the use of Crossbows during all archery seasons would enable more kids and women to enjoy the sport of archery hunting.

I have 2 daughters that are unable to draw a conventional 35 lb compound bow that enjoy hunting but are limited to firearms seasons due to their small stature.

Allowing them to use a crossbow during early archery season would greatly enhance their appreciation and desire for the sport.

Crossbows would also allow older hunters to extend their hunting careers as many of them would have to give up archery hunting early due to the natural degradation of their bones and muscles.

By allowing Crossbows and therefore allowing more people to hunt the revenue generated for the IDNR would increase along with other revenue for the state in the form of Lodging, Gas and Restaurants.

Other states (Ohio being one) have allowed crossbows for some time now and have only seen positive results from them.

Again Please consider making the use of Crossbows legal for all archery seasons.

Thank you for taking my comment

Bob Hardwick
11718 Stiles Rd
Mitchell IN 47446

bvhardwick@hughes.net

FIELDS NOT DEFINED IN THE TEMPLATE FOLLOW

Michaels, Debbie

From: Grotzer [groconet-info@yahoo.com]
Sent: Friday, January 23, 2009 5:30 PM
To: NRCFWRulesProject
Subject: SUBSTANTIVE AMENDMENT SUGGESTION FORMHunting, Trapping or Taking Mammals

Hunting, Trapping or Taking Mammals

Deer

First Name

Stefan

Last Name

Grotzer

County

Johnson

State of Residence

IN

Suggestions

Crossbow should be allowed throughout the whole archery season.

FIELDS NOT DEFINED IN THE TEMPLATE FOLLOW

Michaels, Debbie

From: conwell [cd4061@hotmail.com]
Sent: Thursday, January 22, 2009 8:40 PM
To: NRCFWRulesProject
Subject: SUBSTANTIVE AMENDMENT SUGGESTION FORMHunting, Trapping or Taking Mammals

Hunting, Trapping or Taking Mammals
Deer

First Name
chris

Last Name
conwell

County
henry

State of Residence
IN

Suggestions

I would like to suggest that crossbows can be used during any archeryseason. myself and others have minor medical problems that hinder ourhunting with compound bows, but its not severe enough to qualify for adisability permit. I would rather take a shot with a crossbow than acompound.

FIELDS NOT DEFINED IN THE TEMPLATE FOLLOW

Michaels, Debbie

From: hazen [razenhaze@yahoo.com]
Sent: Thursday, January 22, 2009 7:58 PM
To: NRCFWRulesProject
Subject: SUBSTANTIVE AMENDMENT SUGGESTION FORMHunting, Trapping or Taking Mammals

Hunting, Trapping or Taking Mammals
Deer

First Name
Randy

Last Name
hazen

County
marshall

State of Residence
IN

Suggestions
allow crossbow for all archery seasons

FIELDS NOT DEFINED IN THE TEMPLATE FOLLOW

Michaels, Debbie

From: Howes [arnie.howes@duke-energy.com]
Sent: Thursday, January 22, 2009 8:39 AM
To: NRCFWRulesProject
Subject: SUBSTANTIVE AMENDMENT SUGGESTION FORMHunting, Trapping or Taking Mammals

Hunting, Trapping or Taking Mammals
Deer

First Name
Arnie

Last Name
Howes

Email
arnie.howes@duke-energy.com

County
Gibson

State of Residence
IN

Suggestions
Increase days afield by allowing recurve/longbow ONLY hunting fromSeptember 7 to October 1.

This will give Indiana a TRUE primitive weapons hunt. Muzzleloadingand bowhunting are no longer primitive. (I'd love to see one week ofthe muzzleloader season changed to flintlock only, but that's anotherstory...)

Thanks!

Kane, Jennifer

From: eley [greley@taylor.edu]
Sent: Thursday, February 12, 2009 9:02 AM
To: NRCFWRulesProject
Cc: Guinnup, Jacob
Subject: SUBSTANTIVE AMENDMENT SUGGESTION FORMHunting, Trapping or Taking Mammals

COMPREHENSIVE FISH & WILDLIFE RULES ENHANCEMENT PROJECT

Hunting, Trapping or Taking Mammals
Deer

First Name
greg

Last Name
eley

Email
greley@taylor.edu

County
Grant

State of Residence
IN

Suggestions

Please allow use of crossbow for harvesting deer during shotgunseason. It is a lesser weapon than shotgun, handgun, or muzzleloader.

Kane, Jennifer

From: Moore [gunslinger94@aol.com]
Sent: Wednesday, January 21, 2009 6:34 PM
To: NRCFWRulesProject
Subject: SUBSTANTIVE AMENDMENT SUGGESTION FORMHunting, Trapping or Taking Mammals

Follow Up Flag: Follow up
Flag Status: Red

Hunting, Trapping or Taking Mammals
Deer

First Name
Garland

Last Name
Moore

Email
gunslinger94@aol.com

County
Vanderburgh

State of Residence
IN

Suggestions
Allow the use of crossbows during all of the urban deer zone dates

Kane, Jennifer

From: Pearson [indeerhunt@aol.com]
Sent: Friday, January 23, 2009 8:16 PM
To: NRCFWRulesProject
Subject: SUBSTANTIVE AMENDMENT SUGGESTION FORMHunting, Trapping or Taking Mammals

Hunting, Trapping or Taking Mammals
Deer

First Name
Jason

Last Name
Pearson

County
Clark

State of Residence
IN

Suggestions
Crossbows should be legal during all of the deer seasons.

FIELDS NOT DEFINED IN THE TEMPLATE FOLLOW

Kane, Jennifer

From: stine [cwstine@bsu.edu]
Sent: Wednesday, January 21, 2009 8:43 PM
To: NRCFWRulesProject
Subject: SUBSTANTIVE AMENDMENT SUGGESTION FORMHunting, Trapping or Taking Mammals

Hunting, Trapping or Taking Mammals
Deer

First Name
charles

Last Name
stine

Email
cwstine@bsu.edu

County
delware

State of Residence
IN

Suggestions

The use of crossbows durning the early archery so the youth andwomen would be more involed.

Kane, Jennifer

From: Williams [asats@wowway.com]
Sent: Friday, January 23, 2009 3:48 PM
To: NRCFWRulesProject
Subject: SUBSTANTIVE AMENDMENT SUGGESTION FORMHunting, Trapping or Taking Mammals

Hunting, Trapping or Taking Mammals

Deer

First Name

Clarence

Last Name

Williams

County

Warrick

State of Residence

IN

Suggestions

One of several states considering allowing crossbows in their full archery seasons is Pennsylvania. The Pennsylvania Game Commission will be meeting on January 27th to vote on this subject.

The NRA has issued an alert. It is follows..

Your Help is Needed to Expand Hunting Opportunities in the Keystone State!

Wednesday, January 21, 2009

Please Contact the Pennsylvania Game Commission Today!

Over the last several years, there has been a growing debate concerning the use of crossbows in Pennsylvania. On Tuesday, January 27, the Pennsylvania Game Commission will be voting on a proposal that would make Pennsylvania the twelfth state to allow the use of crossbows during the state archery season.

The Commission will take public remarks on Sunday, January 25 at 1:00 p.m. The doors will open at noon and you must register at that time to speak at the hearing. The Commission will again take public remarks on Monday, January 26 at 8:30 a.m. The doors will open at 7:45 a.m. and, again, you must sign up to voice your opinion. To view a copy of the agenda, please [click here](#).

NRA strongly advocates for any expansion of hunter opportunity and choice when there is no biological reason to oppose the expansion. In fact, states that have allowed the use of crossbows have been able to maintain healthy wildlife populations. Additionally, the recruitment and retention of hunters

Michaels, Debbie

From: Wright [mindyandjeff@zoomtown.com]
Sent: Wednesday, January 21, 2009 5:38 PM
To: NRCFWRulesProject
Subject: SUBSTANTIVE AMENDMENT SUGGESTION FORMHunting, Trapping or Taking Mammals

Hunting, Trapping or Taking Mammals

Deer

First Name

Jeffrey

Last Name

Wright

Email

mindyandjeff@zoomtown.com

County

Hamilton

State of Residence

OH

Suggestions

I have a permanent physical disability that limits me to use acrossbow during archery season. I would like to see a 1-time approval instead of me having my doctor fill out a form each year. My doctor charges \$20 to fill out the disability form.

Thanks!

Jeff Wright

Michaels, Debbie

From: Brown [mabbrown@verizon.net]
Sent: Wednesday, January 21, 2009 5:11 PM
To: NRCFWRulesProject
Subject: SUBSTANTIVE AMENDMENT SUGGESTION FORMHunting, Trapping or Taking Mammals

Hunting, Trapping or Taking Mammals

Deer

First Name

Mike

Last Name

Brown

Email

mabbrown@verizon.net

County

Jackson

State of Residence

IN

Suggestions

To Whom it may concern,

May I suggest a new law on Crossbow hunting? My suggestion is to allow crossbows during all deer hunting seasons to anyone owning a crossbow. Let the law stay in effect that only a hunter with a handi-cap permit may take a Buck, but the others may take an antlerless deer during first season as well as second season of archery season. This would help also in controlling the deer population, along with letting the non handi-cap crossbow hunter's to enjoy the first season as well.

Thank you for your time.

Sincerely,

Mike Brown

Michaels, Debbie

From: Meredith [a.meredith@insightbb.com]
Sent: Wednesday, January 21, 2009 3:42 PM
To: NRCFWRulesProject
Cc: Guinnup, Jacob
Subject: SUBSTANTIVE AMENDMENT SUGGESTION FORMHunting, Trapping or Taking Mammals

Hunting, Trapping or Taking Mammals
Deer

First Name
Tony

Last Name
Meredith

County
Gibson

City

State of Residence
IN

Suggestions

To allow archery hunters the use of a crossbow,(regardless of health,age, etc.) not just during the late archery season, but through the entire season, even through the firearm season. I have been told there have been several petitions signed and sent in to the DNR referring to this. Here are some facts that I gathered from the following website; www.crossbowed.org/images/Crossbow%20Dyn%209-15-08.pdf

Some Facts:

Since Ohio first legalized crossbows in 1976 through the 2003-04 season:

• 19 accidents involving crossbows;

• 15 of these incidents were self-inflicted.

• 12 vertical bow incidents during the same period; with

• 7 of these being self-inflicted.

• Rate of accidents for both types of archery is well below 1 occurrence per 1 million trips

Source: Ortman, W. M. (2007). Archery incidents in Ohio, 1976-2006. Unpublished data, Ohio Department of Natural Resources, Division of Wildlife, Columbus, Ohio.

Ohio - During a five-year period in the 1990s;

• 633 hunting implements were seized by wildlife officers.

• Firearms accounted for 95%;

• Vertical bows accounted for 2.7%; and

• Crossbows accounted for 2.2%.

Ohio - 2001 - 02 Hunting Season:

• Crossbow Hunters - 15% Success Rate

• Vertical Bow Hunters - 15% Success Rate

Harvest of Wildlife in Georgia

2003 • 2004 Crossbow Discussion

• 2003 - 04 season was the second year of general crossbow use.

Previously permitted for hunters with certified disabilities

• Increase in archery deer hunters + 11.6%

• Increase in archery deer harvest + 44.3%

• Increase in crossbow hunters + 55.3%

• Increase in deer harvest with a crossbow + 168.0%

• Crossbow hunters represented 24.8% of archery hunters

• Crossbow hunters represented 9.1% of all hunters

• Crossbow harvests represented 21.8%% of archery harvests

• Crossbow harvests represented only 2.6% of all harvests

2003-2004 Crossbow Experience

• 13.5% of respondents previously used crossbows under the handicaprule

• 31.1% of respondents had no prior experience using archeryequipment

for hunting - approximately 6,900 hunters

• Success rate for compound bow hunters + .51 deer per hunter

• Success rate for crossbow hunters + .49 deer per hunter

• Estimated increase in archery hunters + 9,300

• Approximately half (46.4%) of new archers using crossbows were over 50 year old

FACTS:

• VA Total Archery Hunters: 74,496

• VA Archery Growth Rate +21.1% (61,495 to 74,496 in one year)

• VA Crossbow Hunters: 19,605 (Crossbows: 26% of archery license sales in two years)

Source: Virginia

Maryland Annual Deer

Harvest Report

Season 05/06 06/07 07/08

Bow 21,382 21,991 22,064

Crossbow 3,174 3,342 3,585

Total 94,052 91,930 92,208

Michaels, Debbie

From: banes [mike_banes02@yahoo.com]
Sent: Sunday, January 18, 2009 5:14 PM
To: NRCFWRulesProject
Cc: jschroeder@www.in.gov
Subject: SUBSTANTIVE AMENDMENT SUGGESTION FORMHunting, Trapping or Taking Mammals

Hunting, Trapping or Taking Mammals
blank

First Name
mike

Last Name
banes

County
tippecanoe

City

State of Residence
IN

Suggestions

It is my opinion that the law allowing the use of crossbows to harvest either sex of deer once firearms season opens should be reconsidered. The current law states that a hunter can use both firearm and a bow during firearm season, however the use of a crossbow is only allowed during late archery and only for a doe. It is understandable to differentiate the two seasons, but the law should state that the use of crossbow is allowed during late archery as well as during firearm and to harvest either sex.

Thank you for your consideration!

FIELDS NOT DEFINED IN THE TEMPLATE FOLLOW

Michaels, Debbie

From: Apple [dan.apple@insightbb.com]
Sent: Sunday, January 18, 2009 8:58 AM
To: NRCFWRulesProject
Cc: jschroeder@www.in.gov
Subject: SUBSTANTIVE AMENDMENT SUGGESTION FORMHunting, Trapping or Taking Mammals

Hunting, Trapping or Taking Mammals
Deer

First Name
Dan

Last Name
Apple

County
Scott

City

State of Residence
IN

Suggestions

Crossbows should be legal equipment during ALL archery seasons. Shortrange rifles (brush rifles) that are similiar in balistics to a 12gauge shotgun should also be legal equipment. The 444 Marlin and45-70 are two examples.

FIELDS NOT DEFINED IN THE TEMPLATE FOLLOW

Michaels, Debbie

From: Miller [stevmiller@zcloud.net]
Sent: Sunday, January 18, 2009 7:26 AM
To: NRCFWRulesProject
Cc: jschroeder@www.in.gov
Subject: SUBSTANTIVE AMENDMENT SUGGESTION FORMHunting, Trapping or Taking Mammals

Hunting, Trapping or Taking Mammals
Deer

First Name
Steven

Last Name
Miller

County
Maeshall

City

State of Residence
IN

Suggestions

I beleive that it is time to allow crossbows to be used the same asany other type of archery equipment. Not to many years ago some feltthat you shouldn't be allowed to use a compound bow beceause it was toeasy. I skipped the last two years of Archery because it just plainhurts my body to practice.And I'm not considered to be handicappedjust 49 years old and worn out.

Thank you.
Steve

FIELDS NOT DEFINED IN THE TEMPLATE FOLLOW

Michaels, Debbie

From: Fink [mfink@indy.rr.com]
Sent: Wednesday, January 14, 2009 8:19 PM
To: NRCFWRulesProject
Subject: SUBSTANTIVE AMENDMENT SUGGESTION FORMHunting, Trapping or Taking Mammals

Hunting, Trapping or Taking Mammals

Deer

First Name

Mark

Last Name

Fink

Email

mfink@indy.rr.com

County

Hendricks

State of Residence

IN

Suggestions

Allow crossbows for all archery seasons.

Michaels, Debbie

From: Olson [jhnolson@comcast.net]
Sent: Wednesday, January 14, 2009 2:06 PM
To: NRCFWRulesProject
Subject: SUBSTANTIVE AMENDMENT SUGGESTION FORMblank

blank
blank

First Name
John

Last Name
Olson

Email
jhnolson@comcast.net

County
Monroe

State of Residence
IN

Suggestions

My suggestion is to legalize the crossbow for the entire archery season. There are several advantages: Allowing crossbows would open up the archery season to many more people that do not choose to hunt with the current archery equipment. The early archery season weather is much more hospitable to hunters and would get more hunters into the woods. The popularity of crossbow hunting in Ohio has expanded from a very small number to a number that is equal or larger than the regular archery hunters. The increase in days of hunting would not only please the gun hunter who would like to use a crossbow to expand his season but also provide the archer with the opportunity to try his skill with other weapons. With the current "one buck rule", there would be no increase in the number of bucks killed per hunter but it would offer hunter more time in the woods to harvest a buck. The legalization would bring about an increase in license sales because of the gun hunter buying an additional archery license. While this increase would not occur immediately, it would happen. The Division could set up another license but I would not advise this because the current very restrictive season is conducted under the auspices of the regular archery deer license. Ohio has not found any harmful effects of the crossbow on the deer herd. They have continued to liberalize their seasons.

Michaels, Debbie

From: christopher [antiwheeze@excite.com]
Sent: Tuesday, January 13, 2009 4:53 PM
To: NRCFWRulesProject
Subject: SUBSTANTIVE AMENDMENT SUGGESTION FORMHunting, Trapping or Taking Mammals

Hunting, Trapping or Taking Mammals
Deer

First Name
john

Last Name
christopher

Email
antiwheeze@excite.com

County
marion

State of Residence
IN

Suggestions
allow crossbows for the taking of deer after the 15th of October until the end of deer season

Michaels, Debbie

From: Houseworth Jr. [bobh@sciremc.com]
Sent: Friday, January 09, 2009 8:43 AM
To: NRCFWRulesProject
Subject: SUBSTANTIVE AMENDMENT SUGGESTION FORMHunting, Trapping or Taking Mammals

Hunting, Trapping or Taking Mammals
blank

First Name
Bob

Last Name
Houseworth Jr.

Email
bobh@sciremc.com

County
Morgan

State of Residence
IN

Suggestions

It's time to loosen-up the restrictions on crossbow hunting in Indiana and allow its use during the entire archery season. The last archery season is tough hunting due to the frigid weather & deer going nocturnal from firearms hunting pressure.

Crossbow hunters such as myself still have the same challenges as vertical bow hunters in getting deer close enough for good clean kills.

Older folks that quit deer hunting do so mainly due to such things as bad shoulder & back joints that are not necessarily disabling. Poor eyesight is another big factor. This demographic group typically has the extra time and money to spend on deer hunting. It's sad that this IDNR & local business revenue generating group has to fall by the wayside just because they are aging.

There have not been any long-term bonus antlerless tag reductions as a whole in Indiana so lower deer herd numbers cannot be the issue. If you want the numbers of deer killed per the biologists' recommendations, why limit the tools & timing to accomplish these goals?

I have not read anything negative anywhere that the states allowing crossbows the entire archery season are wanting to go back to crossbow season limitations.

Lobbying by our local Indiana bowhunting "traditionalists" does not speak for many of us that do not belong to such special interest groups that want to force their convictions & limitations on our hunting opportunities.

Thanks for your time on this very important issue.

BH

Michaels, Debbie

From: lewis [jason@silvercreekwater.org]
Sent: Friday, January 09, 2009 9:46 AM
To: NRCFWRulesProject
Subject: SUBSTANTIVE AMENDMENT SUGGESTION FORMHunting, Trapping or Taking Mammals

Hunting, Trapping or Taking Mammals
Deer

First Name
jason

Last Name
lewis

Email
jason@silvercreekwater.org

County
clark

State of Residence
IN

Suggestions
Could you look at the opition of taking your crossbow or bow during archery season.

Michaels, Debbie

From: Shireman [jshireman@nhcs.k12.in.us]
Sent: Friday, January 09, 2009 10:06 AM
To: NRCFWRulesProject
Subject: SUBSTANTIVE AMENDMENT SUGGESTION FORMHunting, Trapping or Taking Mammals

Hunting, Trapping or Taking Mammals
Deer

First Name
Jeremy

Last Name
Shireman

Email
jshireman@nhcs.k12.in.us

County
Harrison

State of Residence
IN

Suggestions

I think crossbows should be legal during any season for youths, women and seniors. We are at a point now where hunter recruitment is very important to the survival of the sport. These are three groups where we need to focus to get new hunters and to keep them hunting longer.

Michaels, Debbie

From: Williams [asatsi@wowway.com]
Sent: Thursday, January 08, 2009 5:07 PM
To: NRCFWRulesProject
Cc: jschroeder@www.in.gov
Subject: SUBSTANTIVE AMENDMENT SUGGESTION FORMHunting, Trapping or Taking Mammals

Hunting, Trapping or Taking Mammals
Deer

First Name
Clarence

Last Name
Williams

County
Warrick

City

State of Residence
IN

Suggestions
EXPANSION OF CROSSBOW HUNTING IN INDIANA

As a long time Indiana hunter and bowhunter (40 years) I would appreciate it if the Natural Resources Commission and the Indiana Department of Natural Resources would consider allowing deer hunters the choice of using a crossbow for deer hunting in the entire archery season.

Seven years ago, because of a shoulder problem, I had to begin using a crossbow for hunting deer. I was pleased that the crossbow is a fine hunting tool on a par with the compound bow that is used by 95% of the bowhunters today. There is very little difference, if any, between the two hunting tools.

In the past I was like so many of today's bowhunters in believing that the crossbow had no place in the archery season. There is nothing like a disabling injury to change one's perspective. I have found that the crossbow is a fine hunting tool that needs to take its rightful place in hunting.

A majority of hunters in one of the last deer hunter surveys (2005) conducted by the IDNR stated that they would like to have the choice of hunting with a crossbow in all of archery season.

Some of the results from the 2005 survey for crossbow usage are:

In early archery season:

45% supports the crossbow usage
39% oppose crossbow usage

In any season in which archery equipment is used:

49.5% supports crossbow usage

34.8% oppose crossbow usage

I am fully aware that this is a hot ticket item with some bowhunters. But I have seen from other states that have recently expanded or legalized crossbow season that the opposition quickly dissipates after a year or two of crossbow hunting seasons.

In 2005 Tennessee legalized crossbows for all of their archery season.

The Tennessee Wildlife Resources conducted a hunter survey the following year about the impact of the crossbow legalization. The results were as follows:

Question: Did the legalization of crossbows in 2005 affect your hunting experience in any way during the season?

Yes 8.1%

No 91.2%

Don't know - 0.7%

Respondents who said legalization of crossbows affected their hunting experience were asked: Did you have a positive or negative experience with crossbows?

Positive - 48.6%

Negative 39.8%

Don't know 13.6%

As you can see, a year or two after crossbow legalization it becomes the Shakespearean "Much ado about nothing" as people just go hunting.

CROSSBOW INFORMATION

1. The modern hunting crossbow delivers about the same ballistic performance with a 500-grain arrow/broadhead combination as a 65-70 pound compound bow.
2. Like a vertical bow, the crossbow launches an arrow by a forward movement of limbs and string. The limbs (draw-weight) on a crossbow must be heavier because the power stroke is so much shorter than that of a modern compound bow.
3. The comparison of not having to draw in the presence of game is negated by high let off compounds that can be drawn and held for minutes and are usually shot from tree stands or completely enclosed ground blinds.
4. Crossbows have proven to be a good recruitment tool for bringing youth and women into hunting. They are good for retaining older hunters longer too. We need to recruit more hunters or hunting will die a slow death.
5. Crossbow hunting opportunity has proven to serve management programs in urban/suburban areas (where firearms use is restricted or illegal) with high deer herd concentrations.
6. After 34 seasons in the state of Ohio, crossbow hunters have proven to be just as safe and ethical as vertical bow hunters are.
7. Like any other shooting skill, using a crossbow safely and accurately will require a combination of old and well learned knowledge as well as new information specific to the weapon.
8. Most crossbow safety is obvious and relates to common sense issues taught during a fundamental hunter education training session.

9. Crossbows have been described as being more like a rifle than handbows. While crossbows are indeed aimed like a rifle, they lack the noise, flash, odor, recoil, range, accuracy and kinetic energy of a hunting rifle. They are archery equipment.

10. Through license fees, retail sales-- crossbows and accessories and all other sister products needed (tree stands, camo clothing, scents etc.) and hunting recreational travel expenditures incurred, this increased hunting opportunity offers positive financial impact for the state.

I believe that it would be a shame to deny the usage of a crossbow when:

1) The State of Indiana needs to trim the ever expanding deer herds.

2) The State could use the extra revenue provided by crossbow deer tag sales 3) The State could use the extra revenue provided by tax moneys from the purchase of more hunting related items.

4) We need to stop the declining hunters numbers. Especially bowhunter numbers.

5) Older hunters (65+), women and youths can then take part in the archery season with an adequate archery hunting tool.

The legalization of crossbows for hunting is a WIN-WIN for the State of Indiana.

Thank you for your consideration,

Clarence H. Williams
5799 Spring Corner Rd.
Newburgh, IN 47630-1961
Home - (812) 490-5250

asatsi@wowway.com

FIELDS NOT DEFINED IN THE TEMPLATE FOLLOW

Michaels, Debbie

From: Potratz [bpotratz@msn.com]
Sent: Thursday, January 08, 2009 5:01 PM
To: NRCFWRulesProject
Cc: jschroeder@www.in.gov
Subject: SUBSTANTIVE AMENDMENT SUGGESTION FORMHunting, Trapping or Taking Mammals

Hunting, Trapping or Taking Mammals
blank

First Name
Bryan

Last Name
Potratz

County
LaPorte

City

State of Residence
IN

Suggestions

Given the advances in modern Cammed Compound bows, there seems to me to be little reason to restrict crossbows to Late Season Only.

In fact, I see them as a net positive in Urban Management where the windows of shot opportunity can be significantly smaller than in less congested areas.

Too, Crossbows in the Early season will help retain Older hunters for whom Cold and Draw Hold are a restricting factor.

I support opening all Archery seasons to Crossbow use.

FIELDS NOT DEFINED IN THE TEMPLATE FOLLOW

Michaels, Debbie

From: Engelking [whitetaildave24@yahoo.com]
Sent: Thursday, January 08, 2009 4:54 PM
To: NRCFWRulesProject
Cc: jschroeder@www.in.gov
Subject: SUBSTANTIVE AMENDMENT SUGGESTION FORMHunting, Trapping or Taking Mammals

Hunting, Trapping or Taking Mammals
Deer

First Name
David

Last Name
Engelking

County
Johnson

City

State of Residence
IN

Suggestions

I would like to see crossbows allowed in the early archery season aswell as the rest of the season as they already are. I believe thatcrossbows are great to recruit kids and women into hunting as well asretaining those older hunters who can no longer pull back a compound. The crossbow has been proven to be a great deer management tool andstates that allow crossbows in the early season have seen nothing butpositive results. The differences between a crossbow and a compoundare very minimal and I see nothing but positive things for the stateif they were added to early archery. I believe adding crossbows toearly archery will help the state and other hunting related businessesincrease their revenues due to more archery license sales and ofcourse the selling of the crossbow and accessories and the extra moneyspent at restaurants, gas stations, etc.

FIELDS NOT DEFINED IN THE TEMPLATE FOLLOW

Michaels, Debbie

From: Heller [ldheller2001@yahoo.com]
Sent: Friday, January 23, 2009 7:13 PM
To: NRCFWRulesProject
Subject: SUBSTANTIVE AMENDMENT SUGGESTION FORMSpecial Permits and Licenses

Special Permits and Licenses
Other

First Name
Larry

Last Name
Heller

County
Noble

State of Residence
IN

Suggestions

My suggestion is to allow choice of either a Doe or a Buck on your Deer Licence to limit ones licence to just a buck during shot gunseason limits a lot of does being harvasted this would allow more deerbeing harvasted and not killed on the highways

FIELDS NOT DEFINED IN THE TEMPLATE FOLLOW

**COMPREHENSIVE
FISH & WILDLIFE RULES PROJECT**

SUBSTANTIVE SUGGESTIONS

**TOPIC: HUNTING, TRAPPING, TAKING
MAMMALS**

SUB-TOPIC: DEER/ANTLER RESTRICTIONS

Michaels, Debbie

From: Love [afssadmin@ai.org]
Sent: Wednesday, February 04, 2009 10:49 AM
To: NRCFWRulesProject
Cc: Guinnup, Jacob
Subject: SUBSTANTIVE AMENDMENT SUGGESTION FORMHunting, Trapping or Taking Mammals

COMPREHENSIVE FISH & WILDLIFE RULES ENHANCEMENT PROJECT

Hunting, Trapping or Taking Mammals
Deer

First Name
Chris

Last Name
Love

Email

County
Warrick

State of Residence
IN

Suggestions

I believe Indiana should enact new antler restrictions. I am beyondtired of seeing button bucks and spikes being killed. For trophyhunters, like myself, it is a real depressing and frustrating momentevery time I see this happen. Multiple states have enacted suchregulations and I believe if done right it could not only increasehunter's opportunities to harvest trophy bucks but also increaseIndiana's hunting license sales.

Therefore, meat-hunters are restricted from harvesting button bucksand spikes and in turn would harvest does.

There is also the option of a "doe for a buck" program, whichmultiple states have also enacted.

Michaels, Debbie

From: Brandon [pull.the.trigger@live.com]
Sent: Saturday, January 31, 2009 9:43 AM
To: NRCFWRulesProject
Subject: SUBSTANTIVE AMENDMENT SUGGESTION FORMHunting, Trapping or Taking Mammals

Hunting, Trapping or Taking Mammals

Deer

First Name

Aaron

Last Name

Brandon

Email

pull.the.trigger@live.com

County

Dekalb

State of Residence

IN

Suggestions

I would like to see the buck regulations changed. I think if hunters shoot a buck with at least 4 points on 1 side first, then they should be allowed a 2nd buck tag for any size buck. If the first buck shot did not have 4 points on 1 side, then the hunter would not be allowed a 2nd buck tag.

I think this would help both "Trophy" hunters and "Meat" hunters because they would both have to be more patient with shooting a buck. The "meat" hunters would be able to add another buck to their freezer to feed their families in this tough economical times. And the "trophy" hunters would help to keep the taxidermists in business by potentially doubling their business each season.

Thank you for the opportunity to provide a suggestion. I am an avid deer hunter and will continue to be one as it is a hobby that I quickly fell in love with.

Kane, Jennifer

From: Reed [cmreed70@msn.com]
Sent: Thursday, January 22, 2009 8:04 AM
To: NRCFWRulesProject
Subject: SUBSTANTIVE AMENDMENT SUGGESTION FORMHunting, Trapping or Taking Mammals

Hunting, Trapping or Taking Mammals
Deer

First Name
Chris

Last Name
Reed

Email
cmreed70@msn.com

County
Jhonson

State of Residence
IN

Suggestions

I would like to see Indiana go to a hunting system like Illinois, KY, OH for more larger mature deer. Or at least move foward with a antlerrestriction of 6 points

Michaels, Debbie

From: Egenolf [Egenolf_Douglas_B@Lilly.com]
Sent: Wednesday, January 21, 2009 9:34 AM
To: NRCFWRulesProject
Cc: Guinnup, Jacob
Subject: SUBSTANTIVE AMENDMENT SUGGESTION FORMHunting, Trapping or Taking Mammals

Hunting, Trapping or Taking Mammals
Deer

First Name
Douglas

Last Name
Egenolf

County
Marion

City

State of Residence
IN

Suggestions

Put a six point minimum on antler deer taken. In some counties the four extra doe tags is ridiculous and needs to be stopped. Up the shooting, trapping of all predators in the state. You need to add a season for hunting so many red-tail hawks. You need to give the treehuggers one of the people parks we have and then take the other park over to manage them correctly for the animals. Close off some of the roads and make the state forests bigger. Fields will be planted to sell crops to make ethanol and edges managed for wildlife. Wood harvested to make money to pay for stadiums, etc. and managed for wildlife. This will help save the endangered species that are going away in an alarming hurry. It will also make money in the process, a win-win situation. If this is not done you will only lose more and more species in the State of Indiana. I am getting ready to spend \$5,000.00 in the state of Montana that I would really love to spend here and save wear and tear on my truck and time traveling. Thanks for your time. Douglas B. Egenolf

FIELDS NOT DEFINED IN THE TEMPLATE FOLLOW

**COMPREHENSIVE
FISH & WILDLIFE RULES PROJECT**

SUBSTANTIVE SUGGESTIONS

**TOPIC: HUNTING, TRAPPING, TAKING
MAMMALS**

SUB-TOPIC: DEER/BAN SPOTLIGHTING

Michaels, Debbie

From: clymer [f10clymer@yahoo.com]
Sent: Tuesday, February 10, 2009 7:16 PM
To: NRCFWRulesProject
Cc: Guinnup, Jacob
Subject: SUBSTANTIVE AMENDMENT SUGGESTION FORMHunting, Trapping or Taking Mammals

COMPREHENSIVE FISH & WILDLIFE RULES ENHANCEMENT PROJECT

Hunting, Trapping or Taking Mammals
Deer

First Name
chris

Last Name
clymer

Email
f10clymer@yahoo.com

County
daviess

State of Residence
IN

Suggestions

Ban spotlighting. I feel that by prohibiting spotlighting alot ofpoaching can be deterred. I live in a rural area of daviess county andfor about 6 months a year all you can see at night is spotlights.Although I actually really enjoy spotlighting myself. I feel that ithas become out of control for several reasons. First is a large amountof poaching. Second, the animals never are left alone to feed or bedwithout being disturbed they are consantly harassed. Third, it temptsalot of hunters that see big bucks to trespass onto that property withno respect to other hunters. Fourth, with increasing rural areas beingpopulated by people, it is a nuisance to have lights shined in theirwindows all night and reflects badly upon all hunters and sportsmen. I don't want to sound hypocritical because I enjoy seeing some matureanimals that would not be seen in the day but I feel the time has comeand this would be the best thing for our sport at this time. I feelthe animals need a break from the constant pressure Thanks ChrisClymer

Michaels, Debbie

From: Engelbrecht [msengelb@gmail.com]
Sent: Monday, March 16, 2009 3:53 AM
To: NRCFWRulesProject
Cc: Guinnup, Jacob
Subject: SUBSTANTIVE AMENDMENT SUGGESTION FORMHunting, Trapping or Taking Mammals

COMPREHENSIVE FISH & WILDLIFE RULES ENHANCEMENT PROJECT

Hunting, Trapping or Taking Mammals
Deer

First Name
Marie

Last Name
Engelbrecht

Email
msengelb@gmail.com

County
Hancock

State of Residence
IN

Suggestions

I believe that there should be a fine or some sort of penalty(revoking hunting licenses, for example) for people who drive aroundspotlighting deer on other people's private property, especially afterthey've been told that they're not allowed to hunt there. It'sharassment, if nothing else.

Michaels, Debbie

From: McCammon [mlogging13@peoplepc.com]
Sent: Thursday, January 22, 2009 10:13 PM
To: NRCFWRulesProject
Subject: SUBSTANTIVE AMENDMENT SUGGESTION FORMHunting, Trapping or Taking Mammals

Hunting, Trapping or Taking Mammals
Deer

First Name
Brian

Last Name
McCammon

County
Sullivan

State of Residence
IN

Suggestions
Make spotlighting for deer illegal. this will make it harder for poachers.

FIELDS NOT DEFINED IN THE TEMPLATE FOLLOW

Kane, Jennifer

From: Sides [jamessides@seidata.com]
Sent: Wednesday, January 21, 2009 7:40 PM
To: NRCFWRulesProject
Subject: SUBSTANTIVE AMENDMENT SUGGESTION FORMHunting, Trapping or Taking Mammals

Hunting, Trapping or Taking Mammals
blank

First Name
james

Last Name
Sides

Email
jamessides@seidata.com

County
jefferson

State of Residence
IN

Suggestions

outlaw spotlighting period. im tired of losing our; my; deer tospotlighters
give the dnr an edge when they hear of spotlighting thelaw is being broke
and a arrest can be made

Michaels, Debbie

From: Hoffman [nateandaimi@psci.net]
Sent: Thursday, January 15, 2009 8:41 PM
To: NRCFWRulesProject
Subject: SUBSTANTIVE AMENDMENT SUGGESTION FORMHunting, Trapping or Taking Mammals

Hunting, Trapping or Taking Mammals

Deer

First Name

Nathan

Last Name

Hoffman

Email

nateandaimi@psci.net

County

Perry

State of Residence

IN

Suggestions

Make it illegal while any deer season is open to spotlight deer.

**COMPREHENSIVE
FISH & WILDLIFE RULES PROJECT**

SUBSTANTIVE SUGGESTIONS

**TOPIC: HUNTING, TRAPPING, TAKING
MAMMALS**

SUB-TOPIC: DEER/DEPRIDATION

Michaels, Debbie

From: Herman [shtruevalue@verizon.net]
Sent: Friday, January 23, 2009 8:24 AM
To: NRCFWRulesProject
Subject: SUBSTANTIVE AMENDMENT SUGGESTION FORMHunting, Trapping or Taking Mammals

Hunting, Trapping or Taking Mammals
Deer

First Name
Dana

Last Name
Herman

County
steuben

State of Residence
IN

Suggestions

Do away with depridation hunts in the summer, make 1st gun license good for either sex, (same as archery license), lower cost of bonus tag to \$5. The cost does not affect me as I have a lifetime, but it is affecting a lot of hunters, that may be why the number of hunters is declining. By the time they spend the money for licenses, and processing it's cheaper to go buy beef!!

FIELDS NOT DEFINED IN THE TEMPLATE FOLLOW

Michaels, Debbie

From: paul [duckpaul@hotmail.com]
Sent: Sunday, February 01, 2009 12:59 PM
To: NRCFWRulesProject
Subject: SUBSTANTIVE AMENDMENT SUGGESTION FORMHunting, Trapping or Taking Mammals

Hunting, Trapping or Taking Mammals
Deer

First Name
donald

Last Name
paul

Email
duckpaul@hotmail.com

County
vanderburg

State of Residence
IN

Suggestions

do away completly with depredation tags they are very much abusedlike shotting bucks which makes no sence to me. or at least make itdoes only.

Michaels, Debbie

From: Antrup [tdhunter@verizon.net]
Sent: Tuesday, January 13, 2009 12:17 PM
To: NRCFWRulesProject
Subject: SUBSTANTIVE AMENDMENT SUGGESTION FORMS Special Permits and Licenses

Special Permits and Licenses
blank

First Name
Tammy

Last Name
Antrup

Email
tdhunter@verizon.net

County
Steuben

State of Residence
IN

Suggestions

I would like to see some changes to the whitetail depredation season and regulations. 1. Move the season back to after the regular hunting season is over. 2. Only does should be killed, (we have the "One Buck Law for the purpose of producing larger bucks). 3. If a depredation shooter does not want the deer, it should be donated or processed and not wasted by burying or burning it. 4. High powered rifles should not be legal. They are not legal for regular deer seasons, so why are they allowed during depredation seasons? It seems to me that they would be more dangerous when leaves are still on the trees and no one is wearing hunter orange.

**COMPREHENSIVE
FISH & WILDLIFE RULES PROJECT**

SUBSTANTIVE SUGGESTIONS

**TOPIC: HUNTING, TRAPPING, TAKING
MAMMALS**

SUB-TOPIC: DEER/OVER POPULATION

Michaels, Debbie

From: kabat [joe_kabat@att.net]
Sent: Wednesday, January 21, 2009 5:05 PM
To: NRCFWRulesProject
Subject: SUBSTANTIVE AMENDMENT SUGGESTION FORMHunting, Trapping or Taking Mammals

Hunting, Trapping or Taking Mammals
Deer

First Name
joe

Last Name
kabat

Email
joe_kabat@att.net

County
hamilton

State of Residence
IN

Suggestions
have a deer over population problem--do a antlerless only hunt in january as illinois does in selected counties

Kane, Jennifer

From: Shaver [shaverforestry@yahoo.com]
Sent: Thursday, January 22, 2009 11:20 AM
To: NRCFWRulesProject
Subject: SUBSTANTIVE AMENDMENT SUGGESTION FORMHunting, Trapping or Taking Mammals

Hunting, Trapping or Taking Mammals
Deer

First Name
Dan

Last Name
Shaver

Email
shaverforestry@yahoo.com

County
Bartholomew

State of Residence
IN

Suggestions

Deer populations are still too high in Indiana. Fish and Wildlife should consider making it legal to commercially sell deer meat. Prohibiting the commercial sale of deer meat was necessary at one time. Today, a program could be set up where a hunter could register if they wanted to sell their harvested deer commercially. They would have to take deer to processors willing to process and sell deer meat commercially. Deer would have to have a valid state tag associated with the carcass.

This would serve two purposes. Encourage hunters to harvest more deer. Provide some economic return to hunters that spend a lot of money on licenses, equipment and hunting products. The other benefit might be the impact it would have on deer farms that are specifically raising deer for meat. These farms are proven to increase the spread of disease to native deer populations and are fraught with problems related to illegal hunting and ethical issues. Commercializing the sale of wild deer meat might force these businesses out of business.

Please e-mail if you have questions.
Dan Shaver

Kane, Jennifer

From: sopko [afssadmin@ai.org]
Sent: Monday, January 26, 2009 6:44 PM
To: NRCFWRulesProject
Subject: SUBSTANTIVE AMENDMENT SUGGESTION FORMHunting, Trapping or Taking Mammals

Hunting, Trapping or Taking Mammals
Deer

First Name
jim

Last Name
sopko

Email

County
laporte

State of Residence
IN

Suggestions

i wish there could somthing more done to eliminate the problem of thedeer
and the damgge they are doing to our plants,shrubs,gardens&flowers.

Michaels, Debbie

From: Forgue [booboosrowena@yahoo.com]
Sent: Thursday, January 22, 2009 8:53 AM
To: NRCFWRulesProject
Subject: SUBSTANTIVE AMENDMENT SUGGESTION FORMHunting, Trapping or Taking Mammals

Hunting, Trapping or Taking Mammals

Deer

First Name

Cindy

Last Name

Forgue

Email

booboosrowena@yahoo.com

County

allen

State of Residence

IN

Suggestions

something needs to be done to reduce the deer population in the city of Fort Wayne. with the number of deer being hit by car's each year it seems that it would benefit the city to make it mandatory for landowners to make their properties available for hunting.

Michaels, Debbie

From: Zimmerman [hzimmerman.1@juno.com]
Sent: Thursday, February 26, 2009 4:35 PM
To: NRCFWRulesProject
Cc: Guinnup, Jacob
Subject: SUBSTANTIVE AMENDMENT SUGGESTION FORMHunting, Trapping or Taking Mammals

COMPREHENSIVE FISH & WILDLIFE RULES ENHANCEMENT PROJECT

Hunting, Trapping or Taking Mammals
Deer

First Name
Howard

Last Name
Zimmerman

Email
hzimmerman.1@juno.com

County
Whitley

State of Residence
IN

Suggestions

I would like to see increased deer hunting at least in my area. The number of deer in the area that I live has increased the entire time that I have lived here which is over 35 years.

Deer increase their damage to just about everything that is grown here including vegetables, and Government sponsored conservation forests. We also see increased autos hitting deer even though we have obtained special increased permits to kill deer the last 6 years. I am too old to properly hunt now, but last year people who legally hunting last spring got 20 deer. We still have more than ever on the property.

Michaels, Debbie

From: Daily [afssadmin@ai.org]
Sent: Thursday, February 05, 2009 7:48 PM
To: NRCFWRulesProject
Cc: Guinnup, Jacob
Subject: SUBSTANTIVE AMENDMENT SUGGESTION FORMHunting, Trapping or Taking Mammals

COMPREHENSIVE FISH & WILDLIFE RULES ENHANCEMENT PROJECT

Hunting, Trapping or Taking Mammals
Deer

First Name
jim

Last Name
Daily

Email

County
cass

State of Residence
IN

Suggestions

Reduce deer population through increased harvest. Whitetail population is still way too high resulting in property/auto damage.

**COMPREHENSIVE
FISH & WILDLIFE RULES PROJECT**

SUBSTANTIVE SUGGESTIONS

**TOPIC: HUNTING, TRAPPING, TAKING
MAMMALS**

SUB-TOPIC: DEER/UNDER POPULATION

Michaels, Debbie

From: rothrock [afssadmin@ai.org]
Sent: Sunday, January 18, 2009 10:28 PM
To: NRCFWRulesProject
Cc: jschroeder@www.in.gov
Subject: SUBSTANTIVE AMENDMENT SUGGESTION FORMHunting, Trapping or Taking Mammals

Hunting, Trapping or Taking Mammals
Deer

First Name
travis

Last Name
rothrock

County
harrison

City

State of Residence
IN

Suggestions

Dear DNR, I have been hunting since I was 7 and I'm now 35. In thistime I have seen the Whitetail Deer population decrease in the southern part of Indiana. I only hunt the counties of Harrison and Crawford. I spend a lot of time in the woods and year after year I keep saying there is not the deer that has been in years past. As akid I grew up in Marengo Indiana. My family has several farms in this area with what used to be an excellent deer population with big mature bucks and tons of doe's. I can remeber farming as a youngster seeing deer everyday in the fields eating soybeans and alfalfa. Then at night you could go to these fields and spotlight and see ten to fifteen deer with at least two mature bucks. Those days are long gone!!!! For years I thought there was no better place to hunt deer but as the years pass I find myself saying that there is to many does killed and to many in mature bucks killed. I know a few years ago I filled a form out on size limits on bucks. I personally think that the DNR and the Hunters need to get together on buck size limits and the number of doe's being killed. I know everybody that hunts wants to kill a deer but with the massive number of doe tags that has been sold in the past few years and also the blue tongue that hit us I think there is serious need for a change in the limits of number of doe tags and I think there should also be a consideration for buck size limits. I know with buck size limits there comes a lot of issues with judging the size of the buck as he comes through the woods and I will admit sometimes there is a lot of ground shrinking. I think most mature hunters like myself would like to see some serious changes in the issues that I have addressed. I think also the kids, if a size limit on bucks is enforced, should be allowed to kill their first buck regardless of size. I think this encourages kids to hunt but after the first buck they should have to go by the size restrictions. I know a big buck mounted hanging on the wall means a lot more than a little set of horns that get lost and forgotten about. I hunt by size restrictions and I make my nine year old son hunt by those same rules and as a young hunter he is patient and will wait for that mature buck to come through the woods even if it means not taking a buck that year. I appreciate your time and hope that I can be an active part in keeping the deer population in check. I have enjoyed it for years and I want anybody that wants to hunt to have the same chance. If I can be of any assistance, please feel free to call me.

Sincerely,

Michaels, Debbie

From: rothrock [afssadmin@ai.org]
Sent: Sunday, January 18, 2009 10:34 PM
To: NRCFWRulesProject
Cc: jschroeder@www.in.gov
Subject: SUBSTANTIVE AMENDMENT SUGGESTION FORMHunting, Trapping or Taking Mammals

Hunting, Trapping or Taking Mammals
Deer

First Name
travis

Last Name
rothrock

County
harrison

City

State of Residence
IN

Suggestions

Dear DNR, I have been hunting since I was 7 and I'm now 35. In thistime I have seen the Whitetail Deer population decrease in the southern part of Indiana. I only hunt the counties of Harrison and Crawford. I spend a lot of time in the woods and year after year I keep saying there is not the deer that has been in years past. As akid I grew up in Marengo Indiana. My family has several farms in this area with what used to be an excellent deer population with big mature bucks and tons of doe's. I can remeber farming as a youngster seeing deer everyday in the fields eating soybeans and alfalfa. Then at night you could go to these fields and spotlight and see ten to fifteen deer with at least two mature bucks. Those days are long gone!!!! For years I thought there was no better place to hunt deer but as the years pass I find myself saying that there is to many does killed and to many in mature bucks killed. I know a few years ago I filled a form out on size limits on bucks. I personally think that the DNR and the Hunters need to get together on buck size limits and the number of doe's being killed. I know everybody that hunts wants to kill a deer but with the massive number of doe tags that has been sold in the past few years and also the blue tongue that hit us I think there is serious need for a change in the limits of number of doe tags and I think there should also be a consideration for buck size limits. I know with buck size limits there comes a lot of issues with judging the size of the buck as he comes through the woods and I will admit sometimes there is a lot of ground shrinking. I think most mature hunters like myself would like to see some serious changes in the issues that I have addressed. I think also the kids, if a size limit on bucks is enforced, should be allowed to kill their first buck regardless of size. I think this encourages kids to hunt but after the first buck they should have to go by the size restrictions. I know a big buck mounted hanging on the wall means a lot more than a little set of horns that get lost and forgotten about. I hunt by size restrictions and I make my nine year old son hunt by those same rules and as a young hunter he is patient and will wait for that mature buck to come through the woods even if it means not taking a buck that year. I appreciate your time and hope that I can be an active part in keeping the deer population in check. I have enjoyed it for years and I want anybody that wants to hunt to have the same chance. If I can be of any assistance, please feel free to call me.

Travis Rothrock 812-596-0439

2640 Highway 64 NW

Depauw IN 47115

FIELDS NOT DEFINED IN THE TEMPLATE FOLLOW

Michaels, Debbie

From: catt [briancatt@msn.com]
Sent: Wednesday, January 21, 2009 3:10 PM
To: NRCFWRulesProject
Cc: Guinnup, Jacob
Subject: SUBSTANTIVE AMENDMENT SUGGESTION FORMHunting, Trapping or Taking Mammals

Hunting, Trapping or Taking Mammals
Deer

First Name
Brian

Last Name
catt

County
Johnson

City

State of Residence
IN

Suggestions

After not harvesting a buck for the first time in 18 years and seeing very few does on properties that in the past have had a large population (Parke, Johnson, & Jackson counties) of does. I feel that there are too many does being taken both during the season and with depredation tags. The max per county should be no more than 3, not the 8 max now existing in many counties during all hunting seasons.

FIELDS NOT DEFINED IN THE TEMPLATE FOLLOW

Michaels, Debbie

From: Wyse [wildturkie@aol.com]
Sent: Wednesday, January 21, 2009 3:13 PM
To: NRCFWRulesProject
Cc: Guinnup, Jacob
Subject: SUBSTANTIVE AMENDMENT SUGGESTION FORMHunting, Trapping or Taking Mammals

Hunting, Trapping or Taking Mammals
Deer

First Name
Nathan

Last Name
Wyse

County
Elkhart

City

State of Residence
IN

Suggestions

I feel the number of does a hunter is allowed to harvest is high. Where I live many people are taking 3 or 4 does. This is causing a drop in the population, too much of a drop I feel! The one buck rule is good. I feel just 1 or at the most 2 does per hunter should be allowed to harvest. This way us wildlife watchers will have the enjoyment of seeing a decent population of deer! It is overkill when 1 hunter harvests 4 or 5 deer! It gives hunting a bad name and creates opinions of "hoggish" hunters. The law allows it so many hunters kill more than what they need!! Thanks.

FIELDS NOT DEFINED IN THE TEMPLATE FOLLOW

Michaels, Debbie

From: catt [briancatt@msn.com]
Sent: Wednesday, January 21, 2009 3:15 PM
To: NRCFWRulesProject
Cc: Guinnup, Jacob
Subject: SUBSTANTIVE AMENDMENT SUGGESTION FORMHunting, Trapping or Taking Mammals

Hunting, Trapping or Taking Mammals
Deer

First Name
Brian

Last Name
catt

County
Johnson

City

State of Residence
IN

Suggestions

Depredation tags: After seeing a continual decline in overall deer population in the 3 countys I hunt in (Johnson, Parke, Jackson) and hearing of increased depredation tags being utilized this past summer with the deer being left to rot in the field I feel that Depredation tags should be decreased substantially for 2009. Deer taken should be utilized by either the tag holder or taken to a Hunters Feeding the Hungry location for donation to a food pantry.

I've been told by a property owner of a 2 sq. mile area in southern Indiana that had 240 deer killed by depredation tags this past year. He did not see any deer on his adjoining property this year.

FIELDS NOT DEFINED IN THE TEMPLATE FOLLOW

Michaels, Debbie

From: johnson [afssadmin@ai.org]
Sent: Wednesday, January 21, 2009 7:42 PM
To: NRCFWRulesProject
Subject: SUBSTANTIVE AMENDMENT SUGGESTION FORMHunting, Trapping or Taking Mammals

Hunting, Trapping or Taking Mammals

Deer

First Name

bill

Last Name

johnson

Email

County

gibson

State of Residence

IN

Suggestions

stop killing all the does in gibson county the herd is down at lest65% and you can kill 8 does .why ?

Michaels, Debbie

From: Huff [mjbhuff@juno.com]
Sent: Saturday, March 21, 2009 8:47 PM
To: NRCFWRulesProject
Cc: Guinnup, Jacob
Subject: SUBSTANTIVE AMENDMENT SUGGESTION FORMHunting, Trapping or Taking Mammals

COMPREHENSIVE FISH & WILDLIFE RULES ENHANCEMENT PROJECT

Hunting, Trapping or Taking Mammals
Deer

First Name
Mike

Last Name
Huff

Email
mjbhuff@juno.com

County
Hancock

State of Residence
IN

Suggestions

This past deer season was really bad for seen any doe's in HANCOCKCounty.

I am an ADVID deer hunter (32 years)and I only saw 3 doe's the wholeseason(Bow & firearms). Note I saw approx. 12 different bucks in thearea which was really nice. But This is crazy to have 3 doe's toharvest in THIS COUNTY.One should be enough.They are not thatplentiful here in this COUNTY!I don't know who makes these regulationson killing these numbers of doe's this should be really lookedinto.You kill the doe's and you finually kill the herd out.BadManagement on DNR DEER REGULATION.

I talked to some other hunters in this county and they AGREED with meon this issue....Thank you and hope this will make a difference.

Michaels, Debbie

From: Huff [mjbhuff@juno.com]
Sent: Saturday, March 21, 2009 8:45 PM
To: NRCFWRulesProject
Cc: Guinnup, Jacob
Subject: SUBSTANTIVE AMENDMENT SUGGESTION FORMHunting, Trapping or Taking Mammals

COMPREHENSIVE FISH & WILDLIFE RULES ENHANCEMENT PROJECT

Hunting, Trapping or Taking Mammals

Deer

First Name

Mike

Last Name

Huff

Email

mjbhuff@juno.com

County

Hancock

State of Residence

IN

Suggestions

This past deer season was really bad for seen any doe's in HANCOCKCounty.

I am an ADVID deer hunter (32 years)and I only saw 3 doe's the wholeseason(Bow & firearms). Note I saw approx. 12 different bucks in thearea which was really nice. But This is crazy to have 3 doe's toharvest in THIS COUNTY.One should be enough.They are not thatplentiful here in this COUNTY!I don't know who makes these regulationson killing these numbers of doe's this should be really lookedinto.You kill the doe's and you finually kill the herd out.BadManagement on DNR DEER REGULATION.

I talked to some other hunters in this county and they AGREED with meon this issue....Thank you and hope this will make a difference.

Kane, Jennifer

From: Adams [adamstaxidermy@verizon.net]
Sent: Sunday, January 25, 2009 11:13 AM
To: NRCFWRulesProject
Subject: SUBSTANTIVE AMENDMENT SUGGESTION FORMHunting, Trapping or Taking Mammals

Hunting, Trapping or Taking Mammals
Deer

First Name
Jerry

Last Name
Adams

County
Rush

State of Residence
IN

Suggestions

I continue to see less and less deer each season. I beleive that theantlerless harvest is much too high. In areas where car/deer accidentshappen most frequesntly, you will likely find a property owner whodoes not allow hunting. By increasing the antlerless harvest, theareas that receive hunting pressure become over-hunted/harvested, yetthe real problem areas for damage and accidents receive littleimpact.

FIELDS NOT DEFINED IN THE TEMPLATE FOLLOW

Michaels, Debbie

From: Homan [fhoman@dishmail.net]
Sent: Friday, January 23, 2009 7:46 PM
To: NRCFWRulesProject
Subject: SUBSTANTIVE AMENDMENT SUGGESTION FORMHunting, Trapping or Taking Mammals

Hunting, Trapping or Taking Mammals
Deer

First Name
Frederick

Last Name
Homan

County
Steuben

State of Residence
IN

Suggestions

I think it is time to stop the Deer Hunts at Pokagon State Park. I live and are surrounded by the park. You might say I live in the park.

The last couple of years my Grandchildren have come to our house to see a deer. Their older mothers and sisters said they used to come here and see them. Well quite frankly we have not had a deer at my dining room window in two years. Winter or summer. I finally put the corn away.

You people down in Indy make these decisions and don't really appreciate its beauty up here. I know more people at the park and know some of the things that are done up here and believe me they were bad.

If you want to do something constructive like get the highway dept. to do something about the intersection of 27 and the I69 exit ramp by the entrance and stop the accidents. Two or three people killed and nothing being done, That would be a help.

That place is one of the best in the Country, let's keep it that way.

Remember through all of this leave the deer alone for a while. Thanks Fred

FIELDS NOT DEFINED IN THE TEMPLATE FOLLOW

**COMPREHENSIVE
FISH & WILDLIFE RULES PROJECT**

SUBSTANTIVE SUGGESTIONS

**TOPIC: HUNTING, TRAPPING, TAKING
MAMMALS**

SUB-TOPIC: DEER/ONE BUCK RULE

Michaels, Debbie

From: Stookey [zstookey@yahoo.com]
Sent: Friday, January 16, 2009 10:27 PM
To: NRCFWRulesProject
Cc: jschroeder@www.in.gov
Subject: SUBSTANTIVE AMENDMENT SUGGESTION FORMHunting, Trapping or Taking Mammals

Hunting, Trapping or Taking Mammals
Deer

First Name
Zach

Last Name
Stookey

County
Kosciusko

City

State of Residence
IN

Suggestions

I would like the One Buck Rule (OBR) continue in our state. There is a greater opportunity to harvest a mature buck in this state than ever before. I have really enjoyed watching the increase of mature bucks in my area. It gives the youth hunters more opportunity to take a buck since there are more of them around now because of OBR.

FIELDS NOT DEFINED IN THE TEMPLATE FOLLOW

Michaels, Debbie

From: Weimer [afssadmin@ai.org]
Sent: Saturday, January 17, 2009 8:45 PM
To: NRCFWRulesProject
Cc: jschroeder@www.in.gov
Subject: SUBSTANTIVE AMENDMENT SUGGESTION FORMHunting, Trapping or Taking Mammals

Hunting, Trapping or Taking Mammals
blank

First Name
Dean

Last Name
Weimer

County
DeKalb

City

State of Residence
IN

Suggestions

I believe that the One Buck Rule should continue indefinitely under the current deer hunting regulations.

FIELDS NOT DEFINED IN THE TEMPLATE FOLLOW

Michaels, Debbie

From: Gilbert [iegilbert@yahoo.com]
Sent: Monday, January 19, 2009 12:49 PM
To: NRCFWRulesProject
Cc: jschroeder@www.in.gov
Subject: SUBSTANTIVE AMENDMENT SUGGESTION FORMHunting, Trapping or Taking Mammals

Hunting, Trapping or Taking Mammals
Deer

First Name
Ian

Last Name
Gilbert

County
Adams

City

State of Residence
IN

Suggestions

This comment is in regards to deer hunting fees in the state of Indiana. I believe that Indiana should take a look at how our neighbors in Ohio do things. I am a strong supporter of the 'one buck rule.' I think it is the best hunting rule Indiana has. However, I believe it is unrealistic to expect sportsman to shell out the money for a buck tag for each season if they so choose to hunt with different weapons. Finances are tight in today's society. They aren't getting better. I simply decided to not hunt during the shotgun or muzzleloader season because I couldn't continue to buy licenses that were most likely not going to be filled. So in summary... One buck rule=One buck license rule. Make the sport more enjoyable for those of us who didn't buy a lifetime license and can't afford to shell out 100s of dollars a year on hunting licenses.

Thank you!

FIELDS NOT DEFINED IN THE TEMPLATE FOLLOW

Michaels, Debbie

From: ring [ringrealestate@comcast.net]
Sent: Wednesday, January 21, 2009 3:21 PM
To: NRCFWRulesProject
Cc: Guinnup, Jacob
Subject: SUBSTANTIVE AMENDMENT SUGGESTION FORMHunting, Trapping or Taking Mammals

Hunting, Trapping or Taking Mammals
Deer

First Name
john

Last Name
ring

County
hamilton

City

State of Residence
IN

Suggestions
Continue with the one buck per season rule; I have seen a largenumber of bucks in the field

FIELDS NOT DEFINED IN THE TEMPLATE FOLLOW

Michaels, Debbie

From: BURNETT [BACKWATERBOB@VERIZON.NET]
Sent: Wednesday, January 21, 2009 8:35 PM
To: NRCFWRulesProject
Subject: SUBSTANTIVE AMENDMENT SUGGESTION FORMHunting, Trapping or Taking Mammals

Hunting, Trapping or Taking Mammals
Deer

First Name
ROBERT

Last Name
BURNETT

Email
BACKWATERBOB@VERIZON.NET

County
ALLEN

State of Residence
IN

Suggestions
I WOULD LIKE TO SEE A 5 YEAR BAN ON TAKING BUCKS. NO BUCKS TAKEN FOR 5YEARS. INCLEASE THE
DOE TAKING. THIS WILL ALLOW BUCKS TO GROW BIGGERAND WILL REDUCE THE DOE POPULATION
INCREASING THE BUCK TO DOE COUNT

THANK YOU.
ROBERT BURNETT

Michaels, Debbie

From: Kuntz [kuntzs@psci.net]
Sent: Friday, January 23, 2009 9:17 AM
To: NRCFWRulesProject
Subject: SUBSTANTIVE AMENDMENT SUGGESTION FORMHunting, Trapping or Taking Mammals

Hunting, Trapping or Taking Mammals
Deer

First Name
Gene

Last Name
Kuntz

County
Dubois

State of Residence
IN

Suggestions

I've been hunting in the same areas of Dubois and Martin counties for many years. The last year I saw a substantial amount of deer was in 2006! I hunted 30-35 days this year from the beginning of archery season until the end of muzzle loader season and saw less than 20 deer total the entire time! The EHD outbreak of 2007 has had a far greater impact on the deer in the counties I hunt that you may realize. I've talked to many hunters who have had a similar experience to mine the last two years. I'm also a member of the board of directors of the Dubois County Sportsmen's Club. I gather a lot of information from club members for an outdoor program I air every weekend on WITZ AM/FM in Jasper, Indiana. I'm proposing that you lower the Bonus Antlerless County Quota for Dubois County to 2 from the current 4. The harvest of does has been too great in many areas and the effects of EHD from 2006 are rapidly reducing our deer herd in the areas I hunt. I am in favor of the 1 buck rule and would like to see it continue. I'd also like to go on record as opposing Indiana House Bill 1260 the Ammunition Accountability proposal. I realize it died in the Indiana House last year and I hope it does not come up again this year. It's a ridiculous piece of legislation.

Thank you for allowing me to give you my input.

Gene Kuntz, Board Member
Dubois County Sportsmen's Club

Sales & Operations Manager
WITZ AM/FM, WQKZ-FM
Jasper, IN

812-482-2131 work phone

FIELDS NOT DEFINED IN THE TEMPLATE FOLLOW

NRC Advisory Committee, NRC and the IDNR...

My name is Clarence (Woody) Williams. I am owner and administrator of WWW.Hunt-Indiana.com . This an Indiana outdoor forum made up of over 1,770 Hoosier hunters and fishermen/women. Our main goal is to keep Indiana hunters and fishermen/women abreast of what is happening in the Indiana outdoors. Secondary is to talk hunting and fishing and helping each other out with tips and advice. I believe that we have a pretty good cross section of Indiana hunters and fishermen/women.

We became very aware that a bill was making its way through the legislature that would basically wrest some control of wildlife away from the IDNR. I advised my entire membership of this effort through a mass emailing campaign. We still do not know where that bill is going to end up so we thought we could give some suggestions on new regulations and deer management to the NRC Advisory Council, the NRC and IDNR.

We were also acutely aware that the NRC Advisory Council, the NRC and the IDNR were going through an extensive review and update of all fish and wildlife rules and was requesting suggestions for substantive rule amendments. Several of the members of the site gave input through the internet.

Even with that we felt we needed to do more. I have a background as a team facilitator so I thought the site could do a little problem solving exercise with a focus on revamping old regulations, making new regulations and offering advice on how to help manage out ever growing deer herd. When finished we would present it to the NRC Advisory Council, the NRC and the IDNR for their consideration.

The first order of business was to do a "brainstorming" session on what every member wanted in the input that we would give. I posted a thread just asking the members for what changes that they would like to see. The response was great! In true "brainstorming" it is pretty well anything goes and we did have a few off the wall suggestions. That is not a problem as they were culled out when we got to the multi-voting process of deciding which proposals were most valid. We ended up with 46 different and unique proposals. That was more than my site can handle in a single poll so we divided that number into two polls. When the two polls had run their course I took the top 25 vote getters and put them in a final poll.

Members could then multi-vote with only 4 votes each in the final poll. That only gave them their top 4 choices so they had to stop and really think what was the most important to them. It is important to know that only members can vote and they can only vote once. We have now narrowed that list down to 10 top proposals. I also asked my members to provide positive input on the individual items. As you can see from the list there are a couple proposals that are contradictory, especially in the area of the One Buck Rule versus the Two Buck Rule. We were mainly interested in identifying the top ten desired proposals and did not attempt to pit individual proposals against each other. However, the attached list is in numerical order of how we perceive them in importance.

Hopefully our exercise and identification of the top proposals that are most important to our members will be helpful to the NRC Advisory Council, the NRC and the IDNR in your decision making process.

If you have any questions on any of the proposals or if I can be of any assistance you can reach me at asatsi@wowway.com or phone me at (812) 499-8324.

Thank you for our consideration of our proposals.

Clarence (Woody) Williams

WWW.HUNT-INDIANA DEER REGULATIONS AND MANAGEMENT PROPOSALS

Selection In Order of Importance

1) Improve Hunter Access

Start a program through the IDNR that would allow private land owners and hunters to work together to help manage the deer herd.

1) Private land owner would register with the IDNR - IDNR then would assign hunters to the property on certain dates to hunt (maybe similar to the park hunts now) - Allow the land owner to choose bow or gun. The problem is getting the private land owners to allow hunting. That might be an incentive in the form of cash and/or tax break.

This can come in the form of tax relief or just dollars from the IDNR. A higher license fee charged on all licenses (not just deer tags) that would go to a fund to sponsor this program.

2) One thing they could do to help access and harvest a few more deer would be to provide landowner coupons with the deer tags. The way it works if you kill a deer on somebody's private ground, you give the landowner portion of the tag to your landowner, then he sends it in to the state and gets a set amount of dollars back.

Again, a higher license fee charged on all licenses (not just deer tags) that would go to a fund to sponsor this program.

3) The state could solicit power, timber companies and mining operations to work on hunter access as well as the purchases of new hunting lands. If it requires a lease then again go to a higher license fee charged on all licenses (not just deer tags) that would go to a fund to sponsor this program.

4) Make certain sections of state properties open to either bow hunt or gun hunt or both that are currently not open to it

2) Eliminate OBR (one buck rule)

The OBR trial was put into place to try and grow some "trophy bucks". Never mind that Indiana was getting their fair share of trophy bucks already. No doubt that we are experiencing an age shift in our bucks but according to Jim Mitchell that trend had already started prior to the OBR coming into effect.

Buck age shifts are occurring all over the country and according to the Quality Deer Management Association this is due to "*A significant indication of the spread of the QDM philosophy..*"

See ... <http://www.qdma.com/media/WhitetailReport09.pdf>

Yearling Buck Harvest Trends

" A significant indication of the spread of the QDM philosophy, the percentage of yearlings (1½-year-olds) in the nationwide buck harvest declined from an average of 51 percent in 1999 to 45 percent in 2005. During this same period the percentage of 2½-year-olds increased from 28 to 32 percent and 3½-year-olds or older increased from 19 to 23 percent."

This age shift is occurring in every whitetail producing state and is not just an Indiana OBR phenomenon. The only thing that is consistent among these states is that deer hunters are aging, getting more experienced, becoming more selective and QDM has caught on. No doubt that OBR proponents lay claim that this age shift is due to the OBR, but age data from other states proves otherwise.

Since there were only about 5,000 "double dippers" yearly during the two-buck rule there would be little, if any negative effect on the herd to just eliminate the OBR.

Some harvest statistics.

Early Archery Buck Kills...

1999 - 9,067
2000 - 10,935
2001 - 12,016

Pre-OBR Average - 10,673

2002 - 7,397
2003 - 9,084
2004 - 7,985
2005 - 8,845
2006 - 9,390
2007 - 9,715
2008 - 9,193

Post OBR Average - 8,801

Difference - minus 1,872 (- 17.5%)

Firearm (Shotgun and MZ) Buck Kills

1999 - 37,075
2000 - 33,500
2001 - 36,082

Pre OBR Average- 35,552

2002 - 39,560
2003 - 46,488

2004 - 43,107
2005 - 43,393
2006 - 39,438
2007 - 39,394
2008 - 41,431

Post OBR Average - 41,830

Difference - Plus 6,278 (+ 17.6%)

We are killing more bucks under the one-buck rule that we did under the two-buck rule. Where are the "buck savings"?

This proposal would help on the control of antlerless deer as the successful early archery buck hunters would have a better reason to get back out there in the firearm season, where they could take more antlerless deer at the same time.

The purchase of the second buck tag would also help make up any monetary shortfalls the IDNR might experience.

3) Go to a Tele-check system

Tele-check (utilizing the telephone and the internet) would have the potential to save the state perhaps millions of dollars over the haul. It would be an immediate record keeping process that would be computerized and no longer require check stations paperwork and lots of personnel to keep track of. It will also free up time for Conservation Officers to concentrate more on the game law enforcement instead of spending a lot of time doing collection services for check in reports to take them to the state offices. Not to mention you would not need the compilation of the seasonal stats at the close of season paying state employees to do this clerical work .

This would be a great benefit to hunters who hunt mid week and need to go to work the next day. Finding a check station that is still open in the evening (especially Sunday evening) can be very difficult at times. A check in by phone or the internet can facilitate the deer processing so that it can be done immediately instead of letting the deer hang and checking it in at a check station in the morning. This would especially be beneficial in warm weather where meat spoilage could occur over night.

4) Move the gun season out of the main rut

This would come as the next step in upper age class recruitment in the deer herd annually and would also allow hunters the opportunity to take does that are not dispersed by bucks tending them. Thus the possibility of taking more than one doe per encounter will increase as the doe herds form up into larger bands or groups. This would replace the OBR in buck management and also could allow for the replacement of the second buck at a premium cost for the second buck tag. See proposal # 8 - Re-instate the Two Buck Rule with a premium price 2nd buck

5) Program to donate venison to the hungry no cost

A program to utilize deer meat for the hungry and homeless in these hard economic times in our state would be very beneficial.

- 1) The IDNR Sportsmen Benevolent Pin Fund (<http://estore.dnr.state.in.us/tek9.asp?pg=products&specific=jmmpjnirm>) is a great idea, but I'm not sure that will be enough to cover all the costs of processing deer for use by food pantries and to aid the poor.
- 2) The IDNR could negotiate with the processors a reduced fee for hunters that bring in deer to be donated to charity. My processor right outside of Boonville already does this. He will cut and package a deer for \$40 if it is donated. The Sportsmen Against Hunger have already done some ground work on identifying processors and charitable outlets that are in need.
- 3) Perhaps there could be a way for the state to financially reimburse the operations for each deer that is processed, possibly through a tax break or monetarily through the state welfare system.
- 4) Is it possible this could be done using food stamps or possibly enhanced state tax reductions for each deer processed?

It would also result in the taking of more antlerless deer that would result in a finer stage of management than we currently are at.

6) Keep OBR (one buck rule)

A good number of Indiana deer hunters like to hunt the bigger bucks. Since the OBR trial was instituted we are seeing more and bigger bucks. Hunters are being more selective now than ever before. Hunters are getting older, becoming more experienced and taking a yearling buck is no longer a challenge for them. A part of that selectivity is probably due to the OBR.

7) Allow crossbows during all archery seasons

There is no doubt that new hunting equipment spurs a new interest. There is clear and compelling evidence, from a growing number of states, that the expansion of the use of crossbows will offer significant positive benefits for the State of Indiana in hunter recruitment of youth, women and others. Crossbows also will provide a great retention tool to keep the older bowhunter in the game. Even though we have a program to allow the physically challenged to use a crossbow some do not want to go to that expense and adopt a non-deserved stigma of "handicapped". Therefore an increasing number of older bowhunters just quit. Various state DNR surveys point out that the bowhunter drop out rate accelerates at age 50. Crossbows also can make a major impact from a fiscal standpoint and from a resource management standpoint.

Like so many other states, Indiana has been steadily losing hunters and the related revenue that hunting creates. The DNR depends on hunters to accomplish their

management goals and declining numbers of hunters complicates this task. Fewer hunters also reduce the amount of revenue generated for the State and for the IDNR. Allowing the full inclusion of crossbows during archery seasons will help to reverse the trend of declining hunter numbers, with a number of ancillary benefits and without any negative impact on the resource.

As evidenced in a growing number of crossbow legal states (most recently Pennsylvania, New Jersey, Michigan, Louisiana, & North Carolina) as well as Canadian provinces, the inclusion of crossbows in archery season will give us the opportunity to increase hunter participation, increase tourism, create new revenue streams and contribute to the responsible management of one of Indiana's most important public resources. I sincerely ask for you to support a consideration of full inclusion of crossbows and allow Indiana's hunters a choice when choosing which type of archery equipment to use during Indiana's archery seasons

8) Re-instate the Two Buck Rule with a premium price 2nd buck

The OBR has been very divisionary to the Indiana deer hunters. It is by far the worst that I have ever seen. As a compromise, re-instate the two-buck limit with a premium price for the "bonus" buck tag. The two-buck limit would still have to be the one with archery equipment and one with a firearm. The serious buck hunters (that have taken a buck in archery season) would be glad to pay extra for another opportunity to take a buck. Since there were only about 5,000 "double dippers" yearly during the two-buck rule there would be little, if any negative effect on the herd. We are killing over 6,000 more bucks yearly during the one-buck trial than we did during the two buck rule.

This would help on the control of antlerless deer as the successful early archery buck hunters would have a reason to get back out there in the firearm season, where they could take more antlerless deer at the same time. The second buck at a premium price will be the carrot to get the successful early archery buck hunters off the couch in front of the TV and back into the woods where they could very take another doe or two.

This would also help make up any monetary shortfalls the IDNR might experience.

9) General firearm tag to be either sex

This proposal would help tremendously in allowing younger bucks to put more age on and to take more antlerless deer. If a firearm deer hunter gets down to the last few days of the season he just might take a doe instead of that young buck since the tag would be either sex. This is also a great idea for those who want to hunt but are very limited in funds and want to take the first deer that walks by them. That is a win-win.

10) Non-Resident bonus tags same price as resident's

Non-Resident bonus tags should be the same price as resident's bonus tags. If the motive is to kill more does in an attempt to control the herd, what difference does it make as to who shoots them? Non-residents aren't going to buy bonus tags at \$150 when they can take does in their home states for as little as \$15. Even if they tagged out with their general tag the very first day they will not spend \$150 for an NR antlerless tag. Make it economically possible for non-residents to take a doe or more. Right now, it costs way too much for most non-residents to have an interest in doing so.

9,460 NR deer licenses sold in 2007 for **\$1,312,205**

9,611 NR deer licenses sold in 2008 for **\$1,334,423**

No doubt more money could be raised from hunters from out of state if the Indiana non-resident bonus tags were the same price as the residents bonus tag, \$24 tag. If only a third of the non-residents bought just one tag at \$24 that would be close to \$70,000 more in the IDNR coffers and help control the herd. Couple that with the fact that hunters from out of state bring money into Indiana for motels, groceries, restaurants, gasoline, etc would be a winning situation for Indiana.

**COMPREHENSIVE
FISH & WILDLIFE RULES PROJECT**

SUBSTANTIVE SUGGESTIONS

**TOPIC: HUNTING, TRAPPING, TAKING
MAMMALS**

SUB-TOPIC: DEER/“EARN A BUCK”

Michaels, Debbie

From: Shireman [jshireman@nhcs.k12.in.us]
Sent: Friday, January 09, 2009 10:10 AM
To: NRCFWRulesProject
Subject: SUBSTANTIVE AMENDMENT SUGGESTION FORMHunting, Trapping or Taking Mammals

Hunting, Trapping or Taking Mammals
Deer

First Name
Jeremy

Last Name
Shireman

Email
jshireman@nhcs.k12.in.us

County
Harrison

State of Residence
IN

Suggestions

I would like to see the addition of an earn a second buck tag. In the counties with four or more bonus tags a person would be able to earn a second buck tag for that county only by harvest four bonus deer. This would help generate revenue by increasing license sales and also would accomplish the goal of reducing local deer herds.

Kane, Jennifer

From: Downey [dalejuliedowney@yahoo.com]
Sent: Sunday, January 25, 2009 7:29 PM
To: NRCFWRulesProject
Subject: SUBSTANTIVE AMENDMENT SUGGESTION FORMHunting, Trapping or Taking Mammals

Hunting, Trapping or Taking Mammals
Deer

First Name
Dale

Last Name
Downey

County
St. Joe

State of Residence
IN

Suggestions

If we are serious about improving the deer herd in the state by removing more does then think about this. Since bonus permits are numbered and accounted for at the check station, why not, make the rule that a hunter must take a bonus (doe) before buying a tag or taking a buck. The farmers and insurance companies would be happy. As an added bonus there would be a lot more venison donated to the food pantries.

FIELDS NOT DEFINED IN THE TEMPLATE FOLLOW

Michaels, Debbie

From: Stookey [zstookey@yahoo.com]
Sent: Friday, January 16, 2009 10:20 PM
To: NRCFWRulesProject
Cc: jschroeder@www.in.gov
Subject: SUBSTANTIVE AMENDMENT SUGGESTION FORMHunting, Trapping or Taking Mammals

Hunting, Trapping or Taking Mammals

Deer

First Name

Zach

Last Name

Stookey

County

Kosciusko

City

State of Residence

IN

Suggestions

I believe that Earn A Buck (EAB) would be very beneficial to the state. EAB would require hunters harvest one doe before they may harvest a buck. This would greatly help control deer numbers. This would also create extra license sales because most would be purchasing a doe and a buck tag, not just a buck tag like most do currently. If multiple does were taken in one year then the hunters should be able to take a buck before taking a doe the next year.

FIELDS NOT DEFINED IN THE TEMPLATE FOLLOW

Michaels, Debbie

From: Willman [bradenwillman@hotmail.com]
Sent: Wednesday, January 21, 2009 5:43 PM
To: NRCFWRulesProject
Subject: SUBSTANTIVE AMENDMENT SUGGESTION FORMHunting, Trapping or Taking Mammals

Hunting, Trapping or Taking Mammals
Deer

First Name
Braden

Last Name
Willman

Email
bradenwillman@hotmail.com

County
Marion

State of Residence
IN

Suggestions

A couple of suggestions regarding the current hunting seasons for deer.

With the overabundance of does in much of the state. The ratio in all the areas where I hunt is way out of line. It is not uncommon to see 25 - 30 does for every buck. Sometimes 25-30 does with no buck at all.

A couple possible solutions may be to either adopt the "earn a buck" philosophy for a couple of seasons, or move the firearms seasons back a couple of weeks so the peak of the rut is not coinciding with the firearms season. This would allow more "immature" bucks to survive and help keep the ratio in tact. Implementing both would be even better. Adjacent states have implemented the earn a buck and have also eliminated the firearms season from the month of November. Illinois just had their last firearms season end on 1/18/09. Moving the firearms entirely into December and January would help eliminate some of the problem.

Providing more opportunities for hunters to donate deer meat would also encourage more hunters to harvest does.

Regards,
Braden Willman

Kane, Jennifer

From: Wood [lwood4@tis.jnj.com]
Sent: Monday, January 26, 2009 8:22 AM
To: NRCFWRulesProject
Subject: SUBSTANTIVE AMENDMENT SUGGESTION FORMHunting, Trapping or Taking Mammals

Hunting, Trapping or Taking Mammals
Deer

First Name
Lavon

Last Name
Wood

County
Kosciusko

State of Residence
IN

Suggestions

It would be nice to see a rule of taking a doe in any hunting season(example : bow, or firearm) before being able to get a antleredlicense to take a buck. This would reduce deer head count and peoplewould not be hunting for bucks only.
thank you

Lavon Wood

FIELDS NOT DEFINED IN THE TEMPLATE FOLLOW

Kane, Jennifer

From: Shaver [shaverforestry@yahoo.com]
Sent: Thursday, January 22, 2009 11:12 AM
To: NRCFWRulesProject
Subject: SUBSTANTIVE AMENDMENT SUGGESTION FORMHunting, Trapping or Taking Mammals

Hunting, Trapping or Taking Mammals
Deer

First Name
Dan

Last Name
Shaver

Email
shaverforestry@yahoo.com

County
Bartholomew

State of Residence
IN

Suggestions

Deer populations in Indiana are still too high. The Division of Fish and Wildlife has taken some steps to help with this problem, but they are not enough. The increase in availability of doe permits has helped and should continue to be promoted.

Fish and Wildlife should consider setting up some sort of earn a buck program. Keep the one buck rule, but set up a program that if a hunter legally checks in two does and reports their tag numbers, they can apply for a second buck permit good for any remaining season or weapon.

Please call or e-mail with questions.
Dan Shaver

Kane, Jennifer

From: SHELTON [jwshelton4@earthlink.net]
Sent: Wednesday, January 21, 2009 8:22 PM
To: NRCFWRulesProject
Subject: SUBSTANTIVE AMENDMENT SUGGESTION FORMHunting, Trapping or Taking Mammals

Hunting, Trapping or Taking Mammals
Deer

First Name
Jim

Last Name
SHELTON

Email
jwshelton4@earthlink.net

County
Miami

State of Residence
IN

Suggestions

I would like to see an "Earn-a-Buck" program implimented for theshotgun/muzzleloader season. You first must harvest a doe to earn theprivledge of harvesting a buck...

Kane, Jennifer

From: Smith [richbirdbk@gmail.com]
Sent: Wednesday, January 21, 2009 8:07 PM
To: NRCFWRulesProject
Subject: SUBSTANTIVE AMENDMENT SUGGESTION FORMHunting, Trapping or Taking Mammals

Hunting, Trapping or Taking Mammals
Deer

First Name
Richard

Last Name
Smith

Email
richbirdbk@gmail.com

County
LaGrange

State of Residence
IN

Suggestions

I would like to see an "Earn A Buck" program similar to what they have in Wisconsin. You have to kill a doe and check it in before you can be issued a buck tag. Instead of relying on hunters to utilize all or even one antlerless tag, you would have a definite number of does harvested. Once you have harvested a doe you could kill a buck with any weapon in the appropriate season. This program can be tweaked to suit any situation.

Thank you!!

Kane, Jennifer

From: Stackhouse [stack09@gmail.com]
Sent: Tuesday, January 27, 2009 11:22 PM
To: NRCFWRulesProject
Subject: SUBSTANTIVE AMENDMENT SUGGESTION FORMHunting, Trapping or Taking Mammals

Hunting, Trapping or Taking Mammals
Deer

First Name
Jeremy

Last Name
Stackhouse

County
Steuben

State of Residence
IN

Suggestions
I would like to see the ability to earn a second buck each year by checking in an antlerless deer. Or allow one buck be harvested with archery equipment and one be harvested with shotgun or muzzleloader.

FIELDS NOT DEFINED IN THE TEMPLATE FOLLOW

Michaels, Debbie

From: davis [klkdavis2@pwrtec.com]
Sent: Thursday, January 22, 2009 3:07 PM
To: NRCFWRulesProject
Subject: SUBSTANTIVE AMENDMENT SUGGESTION FORMHunting, Trapping or Taking Mammals

Hunting, Trapping or Taking Mammals
Deer

First Name
kenneth

Last Name
davis

Email
klkdavis2@pwrtec.com

County
white

State of Residence
IN

Suggestions

Do away with the one buck rule once and for all and adopt a earn abuck program. Every one has to shoot a doe to be able to shoot a buck. This will go farther in keeping our herd at sustainable numbers as well as do more for producing older class bucks in this state. Which the one buck rule is NOT doing. But you got to make the doe tag affordable such as ten dollars.

Michaels, Debbie

From: Hunt [Rothhar1@aol.com]
Sent: Wednesday, January 21, 2009 10:41 PM
To: NRCFWRulesProject
Subject: SUBSTANTIVE AMENDMENT SUGGESTION FORMHunting, Trapping or Taking Mammals

Hunting, Trapping or Taking Mammals
Deer

First Name
Calvin

Last Name
Hunt

Email
Rothhar1@aol.com

County
Howard

State of Residence
IN

Suggestions

I beleive that if a hunter takes an antlerless deer in archery seasonwith either a bonous county tag or archer /extra archery tag, thathunter should recive an "Earn a Buck tag" .However the only way to geta EAB for the archery hunters is they must also take a buck witharchery equipment in early archery season as well . Thus not allowingsaid hunter to be able to take two bucks with a fire arm.This Earn aBuck tag should be able to be used with any weapon in any seasonthroughout the remainder of the year .However this "EAB tag " is voidafter the end of the deer hunting year.

This will give Bowhunters insentive to take a Doe or antlerless deerto help with the states managment program .Alot of archery hunters donot take a Doe during archery season due to passing and waiting for amature buck .The one buck rule is somewhat of a deterant to archers tojust shoot any Doe that walks by due to the fact that they are afraidthat will ruin thier chance at the big buck that they are hunting for.

Just a thought /also EAB with a fire arm will be counter productiveto Indiana's mangement program due to the massive amount of gunhunters who successfully harvest a antlerless doe .Thus there will bea huge run on bucks and does a the first weekend of gun season as they attempt to earn thier second buck tag to use with a gun.

However any bowhunter who has taken a doe and first buck early willlikely also go out to try for thier EAB .But will likely end up takinga doe on opener of gunseason as well as attempting to get thier secondbuck instead of setting on the couch because a doe is just not thatimportant to take since they likely have two deer down by gunopener.

Just a thought.

Kane, Jennifer

From: Passarelli [mjpassarelli@comcast.net]
Sent: Wednesday, January 21, 2009 7:55 PM
To: NRCFWRulesProject
Subject: SUBSTANTIVE AMENDMENT SUGGESTION FORMHunting, Trapping or Taking Mammals

Hunting, Trapping or Taking Mammals
Deer

First Name
Mark

Last Name
Passarelli

Email
mjpassarelli@comcast.net

County
Porter

State of Residence
IN

Suggestions

Changing the one buck per season rule.

I purchased my Deer Gun license early in August to apply for the State park hunts. Unfortunately this year I took a antlered deer during early bow season- so for firearm season I was not able to go out hunting without purchasing an additional bonus antlerless license- so in essence my firearm license was wasted this year and could not be used as I could only take one antlered deer all year. There should be some way to purchase an additional either sex bonus license for those who would like to continue hunting the other seasons firearm muzzleloader and late bow. Wisconsin went to earn a buck program- maybe in Indiana if you harvest a buck then you would have a second buck by harvesting an antlerless deer (after purchasing a bonus antlerless) then you could be eligible to purchase an additional tag to harvest either sex for the additional deer. This way Indiana gets additional license revenue and we hunters get to hunt a little more next year.

Michaels, Debbie

From: Butcher [cbutcher5@verizon.net]
Sent: Monday, March 09, 2009 7:52 AM
To: NRCFWRulesProject
Cc: Guinnup, Jacob
Subject: SUBSTANTIVE AMENDMENT SUGGESTION FORMHunting, Trapping or Taking Mammals

COMPREHENSIVE FISH & WILDLIFE RULES ENHANCEMENT PROJECT

Hunting, Trapping or Taking Mammals
Deer

First Name
Keith

Last Name
Butcher

County
Stueben

State of Residence
IN

Suggestions

I have seen a better age class of bucks since the 1 buck limit was established. I promote this and would like to see it continue with possibly additional constraints to further help get an older age class of bucks. As a Quality Deer Manager I would like to see the program taken to the next step. In my opinion we have mostly only taken the harvest from the 1 1/2 year old age class to the 2 1/2 year old age class. The doe to Buck Ratio remains very high as well. Unfortunately there is a mentality out there with a large number of hunters that taking a buck no matter the size is better than taking no buck at all. I would encourage Indiana to take on a program such as WI where you must earn a buck by shooting a doe prior to being able to purchase a buck tag. I think this may help reduce the number of small bucks that the "opening weekend gun hunter" takes because a small buck is better than no buck. Another means of getting an older age class would be to make a point restriction or my least favorite would be a minimum score; however this is difficult to do because not every one can easily field judge/score a deer. Please consider this strongly I would like to see our deer and deer hunting rival our neighboring states to the west (Iowa, Wisconsin, Illinois).

FIELDS NOT DEFINED IN THE TEMPLATE FOLLOW

Michaels, Debbie

From: Swartz [jrhog@hotmail.com]
Sent: Monday, March 09, 2009 8:21 AM
To: NRCFWRulesProject
Cc: Guinnup, Jacob
Subject: SUBSTANTIVE AMENDMENT SUGGESTION FORMHunting, Trapping or Taking Mammals

COMPREHENSIVE FISH & WILDLIFE RULES ENHANCEMENT PROJECT

Hunting, Trapping or Taking Mammals
Deer

First Name
Dave

Last Name
Swartz

County
steuben

State of Residence
IN

Suggestions

I have seen a better age class of bucks since the 1 buck limit was established. I promote this and would like to see it continue with possibly additional constraints to further help get an older age class of bucks. As a Quality Deer Manager I would like to see the program taken to the next step. In my opinion we have mostly only taken the harvest from the 1 1/2 year old age class to the 2 1/2 year old age class. The doe to Buck Ratio remains very high as well. Unfortunately there is a mentality out there with a large number of hunters that taking a buck no matter the size is better than taking no buck at all. I would encourage Indiana to take on a program such as WI where you must earn a buck by shooting a doe prior to being able to purchase a buck tag. I think this may help reduce the number of small bucks that the "opening weekend gun hunter" takes because a small buck is better than no buck. Another means of getting an older age class would be to make a point restriction or my least favorite would be a minimum score; however this is difficult to do because not every one can easily field judge/score a deer. Please consider this strongly I would like to see our deer and deer hunting rival our neighboring states to the west (Iowa, Wisconsin, Illinois).

Thank you,

Dave Swartz

FIELDS NOT DEFINED IN THE TEMPLATE FOLLOW

Michaels, Debbie

From: Butcher [propertymanagementservices@verizon.net]
Sent: Monday, March 09, 2009 7:54 AM
To: NRCFWRulesProject
Cc: Guinnup, Jacob
Subject: SUBSTANTIVE AMENDMENT SUGGESTION FORMHunting, Trapping or Taking Mammals

COMPREHENSIVE FISH & WILDLIFE RULES ENHANCEMENT PROJECT

Hunting, Trapping or Taking Mammals

Deer

First Name

Keith

Last Name

Butcher

County

Stueben

State of Residence

IN

Suggestions

I have seen a better age class of bucks since the 1 buck limit was established. I promote this and would like to see it continue with possibly additional constraints to further help get an older age class of bucks. As a Quality Deer Manager I would like to see the program taken to the next step. In my opinion we have mostly only taken the harvest from the 1 1/2 year old age class to the 2 1/2 year old age class. The doe to Buck Ratio remains very high as well. Unfortunately there is a mentality out there with a large number of hunters that taking a buck no matter the size is better than taking no buck at all. I would encourage Indiana to take on a program such as WI where you must earn a buck by shooting a doe prior to being able to purchase a buck tag. I think this may help reduce the number of small bucks that the "opening weekend gun hunter" takes because a small buck is better than no buck. Another means of getting an older age class would be to make a point restriction or my least favorite would be a minimum score; however this is difficult to do because not every one can easily field judge/score a deer. Please consider this strongly I would like to see our deer and deer hunting rival our neighboring states to the west (Iowa, Wisconsin, Illinois).

FIELDS NOT DEFINED IN THE TEMPLATE FOLLOW

Kane, Jennifer

From: Pearson [indeerhunt@aol.com]
Sent: Friday, January 23, 2009 8:15 PM
To: NRCFWRulesProject
Subject: SUBSTANTIVE AMENDMENT SUGGESTION FORMHunting, Trapping or Taking Mammals

Hunting, Trapping or Taking Mammals
Deer

First Name
Jason

Last Name
Pearson

County
Clark

State of Residence
IN

Suggestions
It should be required to shoot a doe, before you can buy a buck tag. Help
balance out the buck to doe ratio in this state.

FIELDS NOT DEFINED IN THE TEMPLATE FOLLOW

**COMPREHENSIVE
FISH & WILDLIFE RULES PROJECT**

SUBSTANTIVE SUGGESTIONS

**TOPIC: HUNTING, TRAPPING, TAKING
MAMMALS**

SUB-TOPIC: DEER/BUCK IDENTIFICATION

Michaels, Debbie

From: Marsh [t_marsh83@hotmail.com]
Sent: Thursday, January 08, 2009 5:50 PM
To: NRCFWRulesProject
Subject: SUBSTANTIVE AMENDMENT SUGGESTION FORMHunting, Trapping or Taking Mammals

Hunting, Trapping or Taking Mammals
Deer

First Name
Trent

Last Name
Marsh

Email
t_marsh83@hotmail.com

County
Noble

State of Residence
IN

Suggestions

Some sort of streamlining of the deer licenses needs to take place. With the OBR looking to be here to stay, some sort of a "buck tag" should be enacted. One season hunters will bellyache because this sort of a change would certainly require a price increase, but it seems silly for the state to be selling, and printing (just think of all the wasted licenses printed on 1/3 a sheet of paper...think of the trees) 3 licenses to shoot the same deer in 3 different seasons. At the very least, making the firearms tag either sex would be beneficial.

At any rate, some thought should be given to make the license structure easier to understand.

Also, thank you for this project. It is nice to see the state involving the people in amendments and input of the laws we all follow. Your work and efforts are appreciated by the outdoorsmen of this state.

Michaels, Debbie

From: Potratz [bpotratz@msn.com]
Sent: Thursday, January 08, 2009 5:21 PM
To: NRCFWRulesProject
Subject: SUBSTANTIVE AMENDMENT SUGGESTION FORMHunting, Trapping or Taking Mammals

Hunting, Trapping or Taking Mammals
blank

First Name
Bryan

Last Name
Potratz

Email
bpotratz@msn.com

County
LaPorte

State of Residence
IN

Suggestions

Since we are allowed One Buck only (with exceptions) it makes sense to have a "Buck License" which would be good for all seasons -perhaps at a slight premium - to simplify recordkeeping. While I understand there is a revenue stream from the current requirement for multiple-season hunters to buy a new buck tag each season, it also creates confusion &/or necessitates waiting to buy the next season's tag until you find out whether or not a buck is taken the previous season.

I think the numbers will probably show that most Hunters hunt only one, or at most Two seasons, so having a Single "Buck" tag available for, say \$35, would likely counter any lost revenue from "multi" buyers who eat their tags.

Kane, Jennifer

From: Tselepis [tselios7@yahoo.com]
Sent: Wednesday, January 21, 2009 7:43 PM
To: NRCFWRulesProject
Subject: SUBSTANTIVE AMENDMENT SUGGESTION FORMHunting, Trapping or Taking Mammals

Hunting, Trapping or Taking Mammals
Deer

First Name
Andrew

Last Name
Tselepis

Email
tselios7@yahoo.com

County
dupage

State of Residence
IL

Suggestions

I think there needs to be a minimum
limit for Male deer. Institute a minimum of 4 points on one side to
increase the size of the bucks beingtaken.
Where I hunt (newton-jasper counties)the bucks need a chance to grow up.
Too many small bucks taken.
Thank-you for listening.

Michaels, Debbie

From: Jefferson [jmatthew391@aol.com]
Sent: Saturday, February 07, 2009 2:47 AM
To: NRCFWRulesProject
Cc: Guinnup, Jacob
Subject: SUBSTANTIVE AMENDMENT SUGGESTION FORMHunting, Trapping or Taking Mammals

COMPREHENSIVE FISH & WILDLIFE RULES ENHANCEMENT PROJECT

Hunting, Trapping or Taking Mammals

Deer

First Name

Matthew

Last Name

Jefferson

Email

jmatthew391@aol.com

County

Clark

State of Residence

IN

Suggestions

I would like to see a minimum size limit on bucks. I suggest eightpoint and 15 inch inside spread. For a couple years. Then reavaultatethe deer herd. !!!!!Q.D.M.

Michaels, Debbie

From: Shamp [afssadmin@ai.org]
Sent: Monday, March 09, 2009 12:55 PM
To: NRCFWRulesProject
Cc: Guinnup, Jacob
Subject: SUBSTANTIVE AMENDMENT SUGGESTION FORMHunting, Trapping or Taking Mammals

COMPREHENSIVE FISH & WILDLIFE RULES ENHANCEMENT PROJECT

Hunting, Trapping or Taking Mammals

Deer

First Name

Nathan

Last Name

Shamp

County

Stueben

State of Residence

IN

Suggestions

I would like to see a restriction of at least 4 points on one side forthe taking of a buck. I believe that more people would take doesinstead of small bucks. This would help the buck to doe ratio and getthe average age of our bucks from 2 1/2 to possibly 3 1/2 or higher. The quality of our herd would improve, thus improving the huntingexperience. I feel this would bring in more out of state hunting thatwould increase license sales and bring money into our economy.

FIELDS NOT DEFINED IN THE TEMPLATE FOLLOW

Michaels, Debbie

From: Trine [pimpassdakota@aol.com]
Sent: Wednesday, March 11, 2009 3:34 PM
To: NRCFWRulesProject
Cc: Guinnup, Jacob
Subject: SUBSTANTIVE AMENDMENT SUGGESTION FORMHunting, Trapping or Taking Mammals

COMPREHENSIVE FISH & WILDLIFE RULES ENHANCEMENT PROJECT

Hunting, Trapping or Taking Mammals
Deer

First Name
Josh

Last Name
Trine

Email
pimpassdakota@aol.com

County
Howard

State of Residence
IN

Suggestions

This suggestion is about the 1 buck rule. I do really like the rule and greatly appreciate the rule so that other hunters have chances to get the big bucks, along with the younger bucks growing into big mature bucks. But in those early morning low light hours and those low light hours before dark, you may not notice that a deer has one little 4 inch spike. You may be thinking your shooting a doe but yet when you get down to the deer it turns out to be a buck. If the hunter has already taken his buck for the year, then by all rights and rules, he has just illegally taken a deer BY ACCIDENT. There has been times where I let deer walk because of this rule and honestly I'm not out there to let deer walk unless there is a real good reason to let it walk. I am out there for the sport and to put meat in the freezer. That's a tough spot to be in and I believe there should be some exceptions and some clarifying on this rule. I know for a buck to be considered antlered it must have at least 1 antler 3 inches long. But on the other hand, if part of the reason we are doing this is to let the young bucks mature into older mature big bucks, then what about the little button bucks? In our state that is considered an antlerless deer, but yet isn't that still a buck? If he doesn't get taken during that first year, more than likely he has a rack the next season. You're only allowed one antlered deer, but yet you could take for an example 3 button bucks because they are antlerless deer. That's technically 4 bucks on a 1 buck rule? Yeah the 3 buttons don't have antlers, but in reality they are still bucks. I believe there needs to be some clarifications and exceptions to this rule, including taking a 4 inch spike for an example. Thank you again for your time.

**COMPREHENSIVE
FISH & WILDLIFE RULES PROJECT**

SUBSTANTIVE SUGGESTIONS

**TOPIC: HUNTING, TRAPPING, TAKING
MAMMALS**

SUB-TOPIC: DEER/2 BUCK (1 BOW & 1 FIREARM)

Michaels, Debbie

From: Becraft [afssadmin@ai.org]
Sent: Saturday, January 17, 2009 4:46 AM
To: NRCFWRulesProject
Cc: jschroeder@www.in.gov
Subject: SUBSTANTIVE AMENDMENT SUGGESTION FORMblank

blank
blank

First Name
Richard

Last Name
Becraft

County
Lawrence

City

State of Residence
IN

Suggestions

I suggest the one buck rule be eliminated in any year depredationpermits are allowed in any county in Indiana and legal hunters beallowed one buck with archery equipment and one buck with afirearm.

FIELDS NOT DEFINED IN THE TEMPLATE FOLLOW

Michaels, Debbie

From: welch [starlightprinters@dishmail.net]
Sent: Sunday, January 18, 2009 2:54 PM
To: NRCFWRulesProject
Cc: jschroeder@www.in.gov
Subject: SUBSTANTIVE AMENDMENT SUGGESTION FORMHunting, Trapping or Taking Mammals

Hunting, Trapping or Taking Mammals
Deer

First Name
bob

Last Name
welch

County
clark

City

State of Residence
IN

Suggestions
the 2 buck limit needs to be reinstated.

FIELDS NOT DEFINED IN THE TEMPLATE FOLLOW

Michaels, Debbie

From: King [greedygus2@yahoo.com]
Sent: Monday, January 19, 2009 2:48 PM
To: NRCFWRulesProject
Cc: jschroeder@www.in.gov
Subject: SUBSTANTIVE AMENDMENT SUGGESTION FORMblank

blank
blank

First Name
Maurice

Last Name
King

County
Floyd

City

State of Residence
IN

Suggestions

I would like to see the deer hunting rule allowing the harvesting of one buck only per season, regardless of weapon, to be changed to allow any youth 20 years of age and younger to harvest one (1) buck in each of the season hunts, early bow, firearm, muzzleloading, late bow as well as the allowed does for their county of hunt.

Reason: We are losing are young hunters to other venues. Both girls and boys. It's exciting to harvest a doe but the high is being able to say they killed a BUCK (especially the young men) rather than a doe and it does not have to be Boone & Crocket or a Pope & Young wall hanger. But the way the rule is now, why hunt? EX., An 18 year boy passes on a nice spiker during bow season and hunts the rest of the year, all seasons, and never sees another buck and/or just the opposite, the same young man takes the spiker with his bow, hunts firearm season and does not get a safe shot at a doe and latter during late muzzleloading has to pass on a nice large 10 pointer broadside at 30 yds. Probably his last year!

FIELDS NOT DEFINED IN THE TEMPLATE FOLLOW

Michaels, Debbie

From: Jordan [ssredeemer@yahoo.com]
Sent: Monday, January 19, 2009 9:18 PM
To: NRCFWRulesProject
Cc: jschroeder@www.in.gov
Subject: SUBSTANTIVE AMENDMENT SUGGESTION FORMHunting, Trapping or Taking Mammals

Hunting, Trapping or Taking Mammals
blank

First Name
Curt

Last Name
Jordan

County
Fountain

City

State of Residence
IN

Suggestions

It is time for the OBR to go. We hold you to your word that after the unnecessary additional 5 years, it will be returned to 2 bucks for the 5 year comparison. OBR is a gimmick and has no scientific basis in fact. Even if it were a proven technique, which it's not, IN doesn't need to be designated a trophy state by a few elitist hunters.

The number of antlerless permits also needs to be decreased. Deer sightings are down all over the state. Special interest insurance groups have no place in deer management. Either the DNR needs to really start taking a census on the actual deer population or the ones who do it need to be dismissed.

FIELDS NOT DEFINED IN THE TEMPLATE FOLLOW

Michaels, Debbie

From: Wagner [afssadmin@ai.org]
Sent: Wednesday, January 21, 2009 3:55 PM
To: NRCFWRulesProject
Cc: Guinnup, Jacob
Subject: SUBSTANTIVE AMENDMENT SUGGESTION FORMHunting, Trapping or Taking Mammals

Hunting, Trapping or Taking Mammals
Deer

First Name
Mike

Last Name
Wagner

County
Allen

City

State of Residence
IN

Suggestions

Please eliminate the the one (1) buck rule. The only reason I can see for such a rule is trophy hunters can possibly see more bigger bucks. In my opinion this is not a good herd management practice and it caters to a select group of hunters that should not make policy or rules.

FIELDS NOT DEFINED IN THE TEMPLATE FOLLOW

Michaels, Debbie

From: elliot [bcat57@yahoo.com]
Sent: Wednesday, January 21, 2009 4:07 PM
To: NRCFWRulesProject
Cc: Guinnup, Jacob
Subject: SUBSTANTIVE AMENDMENT SUGGESTION FORMHunting, Trapping or Taking Mammals

Hunting, Trapping or Taking Mammals
Deer

First Name
Barry

Last Name
elliot

County
warren

City

State of Residence
IN

Suggestions

The Elliot proposition--

an extra buck may be taken with bow only(2 total)

This would slightly open bucks up without an overkill of twoduring gun seasons---any two bucks but one would be a bow--

I agree two by gun is to many and one buck to few!!!! Ifsomeone can legaly take one with a bow it will open alot of deeropertunitys without taking to many bucks as two gun kills did-----anice "between choice" for bucks --

Barry R. Elliot--765-793-0175-home
work 1-800-369-6803

FIELDS NOT DEFINED IN THE TEMPLATE FOLLOW

Michaels, Debbie

From: Arthur [snparthur60@aol.com]
Sent: Wednesday, January 21, 2009 5:35 PM
To: NRCFWRulesProject
Subject: SUBSTANTIVE AMENDMENT SUGGESTION FORMHunting, Trapping or Taking Mammals

Hunting, Trapping or Taking Mammals
Deer

First Name
Scott

Last Name
Arthur

Email
snparthur60@aol.com

County
Vigo

State of Residence
IN

Suggestions

I would like to see a ONE DEER either-sex tag good for all seasons,all weapons. I believe this would make things easier for the personwho has limited time to hunt.

Kane, Jennifer

From: walters [afssadmin@ai.org]
Sent: Monday, January 26, 2009 2:22 PM
To: NRCFWRulesProject
Subject: SUBSTANTIVE AMENDMENT SUGGESTION FORMHunting, Trapping or Taking Mammals

Hunting, Trapping or Taking Mammals
Deer

First Name
william

Last Name
walters

Email

County
marion

State of Residence
IN

Suggestions

Make the doe season longer with a muzzel loader and go back to the twobuck rule (ONE WITH A BOW AND ONE WITH A FIREARM).

Kane, Jennifer

From: sexton [rlsexton@localnet.com]
Sent: Wednesday, January 21, 2009 7:54 PM
To: NRCFWRulesProject
Subject: SUBSTANTIVE AMENDMENT SUGGESTION FORMHunting, Trapping or Taking Mammals

Hunting, Trapping or Taking Mammals
Deer

First Name
randy

Last Name
sexton

Email
rlsexton@localnet.com

County
wabash

State of Residence
IN

Suggestions

The one buck rule should be done while bow hunting if you
kill a buck your done come gun season when the rut is on your chances are
better

Michaels, Debbie

From: Cole [bassmaster1952@netzero.net]
Sent: Wednesday, January 21, 2009 6:55 PM
To: NRCFWRulesProject
Subject: SUBSTANTIVE AMENDMENT SUGGESTION FORMHunting, Trapping or Taking Mammals

Hunting, Trapping or Taking Mammals
Deer

First Name
Perry

Last Name
Cole

Email
bassmaster1952@netzero.net

County
Boone

State of Residence
IN

Suggestions

1)Allow a second buck with bow during the late season or ML once you take a doe. This way more license can be sold and it will allow hunters to be more selective when taking a second buck.

2)Allow the taking of deer over bait. Just because you use bait does not guarantee you will harvest one over the bait anyway. I see no difference if you are allowed to plant a food plot and hunt over it than if you put C'Mere Deer or other attractants out. Even a mineral block helps the deer with nutrition during the year.

Michaels, Debbie

From: GREGORY [hgregory@hpcisp.com]
Sent: Wednesday, January 21, 2009 7:22 PM
To: NRCFWRulesProject
Subject: SUBSTANTIVE AMENDMENT SUGGESTION FORMHunting, Trapping or Taking Mammals

Hunting, Trapping or Taking Mammals
Deer

First Name
HAROLD

Last Name
GREGORY

Email
hgregory@hpcisp.com

County
LAW.

State of Residence
IN

Suggestions
RETURN TO ALLOWING DEER HUNTERS TO TAKE A BUCK WITH BOW AND ONE WITH FIREARM OR MUZZLE
LOADER

Michaels, Debbie

From: hauser [slh454@sbcglobal.net]
Sent: Wednesday, January 21, 2009 8:50 PM
To: NRCFWRulesProject
Subject: SUBSTANTIVE AMENDMENT SUGGESTION FORMHunting, Trapping or Taking Mammals

Hunting, Trapping or Taking Mammals
Deer

First Name
steve

Last Name
hauser

Email
slh454@sbcglobal.net

County
marion

State of Residence
IN

Suggestions
repeal the one buck rule.reinstate the previous rule of one buck witha bow and one buck with a gun.

Kane, Jennifer

From: Russell [afssadmin@ai.org]
Sent: Saturday, January 24, 2009 7:08 PM
To: NRCFWRulesProject
Subject: SUBSTANTIVE AMENDMENT SUGGESTION FORMHunting, Trapping or Taking Mammals

Hunting, Trapping or Taking Mammals
Deer

First Name
Curtis

Last Name
Russell

County
DeKalb

State of Residence
IN

Suggestions

I disagree with the one buck rule, because with such a liberal antlerless season most bucks don't grow old enough to sport their first set of antlers anyway. My grandpa and I hunt together but find it less exciting to hunt immature deer so we don't hunt as much. I think it's time to stop letting the Indiana Deer Hunters Association dictate hunting seasons, not all of us agree that's why we aren't members. My grandpa is Joe Bowman who is a lifetime license holder, who helped start the Association of Indiana Taxidermists. He also scored for the record book for over 20 years. He agrees with me as do most of his friends and mine, but I come to this conclusion completely on my own though I am only 15 years of age. This has been going on for 7 years and there has been no increase in the antlered buck population. We pass up the younger bucks only to have neighbors shoot them, and there are fewer trophy bucks around.

FIELDS NOT DEFINED IN THE TEMPLATE FOLLOW

Michaels, Debbie

From: Helms [vhull_5@yahoo.com]
Sent: Thursday, January 22, 2009 5:41 PM
To: NRCFWRulesProject
Subject: SUBSTANTIVE AMENDMENT SUGGESTION FORMHunting, Trapping or Taking Mammals

Hunting, Trapping or Taking Mammals
Deer

First Name
Kenneth

Last Name
Helms

County
Rush

State of Residence
IN

Suggestions

I suggest the state drop the 1 buck rule. I have hunted deer for 30yrs. and depend upon the meat to feed me and my family. I have passed on some smaller bucks in early archery and then didn't get a shot on another deer that season. Don't get me wrong, I like to see bigger bucks, but the rule was set up for trophy hunters and that is what worries me. My family and I hunt because it is a way of life to us, not a sport to see who gets the bigger deer, we have a good season when the freezer is full. I know there are more people out there who agree with me about this, and we are sorry to see deer hunting turn into a blood sport.

Thank You

FIELDS NOT DEFINED IN THE TEMPLATE FOLLOW

Michaels, Debbie

From: Sisson [bsisson@abcs.com]
Sent: Sunday, February 01, 2009 4:46 PM
To: NRCFWRulesProject
Subject: SUBSTANTIVE AMENDMENT SUGGESTION FORMHunting, Trapping or Taking Mammals

Hunting, Trapping or Taking Mammals
Deer

First Name
Brad

Last Name
Sisson

Email
bsisson@abcs.com

County
Vermillion

State of Residence
IN

Suggestions

Please reconsider the 1 buck rule. I understand that on the surface it seems like a highly successful rule change. I disagree completely. I believe that the state of IN should allow one buck to be taken with archery and 1 buck with firearms. See my justification below...

If you want to reduce the number of bucks taken overall the solution is simple. Shorten the gun season. Period. Follow states like Illinois, Iowa, and Kansas. They allow residents to take 2 bucks per year and they have huge bucks and they control the population all the same.

The current format does the following:

- Promotes buck poaching
- Is unfavorable for 2 season hunters
- Is unfavorable for archery hunters (because the extremely long gun season is very hard on the mature bucks)
- Is bad for license sales (image the additional buck licenses that would be sold - Is bad for tourism (Just look at what Illinois, Iowa, and Kansas is doing)
- Discourages young hunters to pursue their favorite big game (deer with horns!!) in multiple seasons

I am an avid hunter and the 1 buck rule has really taken away some of my enjoyment of the sport. I would really appreciate your consideration.

Thanks,
Brad Sisson

Michaels, Debbie

From: Scott [mtscott@ci.auburn.in.us]
Sent: Tuesday, February 03, 2009 9:02 AM
To: NRCFWRulesProject
Cc: Guinnup, Jacob
Subject: SUBSTANTIVE AMENDMENT SUGGESTION FORMHunting, Trapping or Taking Mammals

COMPREHENSIVE FISH & WILDLIFE RULES ENHANCEMENT PROJECT

Hunting, Trapping or Taking Mammals

Deer

First Name

Mike

Last Name

Scott

Email

mtscott@ci.auburn.in.us

County

Steuben

State of Residence

IN

Suggestions

Bring back the 2 bucks per year rule too many guys are waiting for monster bucks, and not helping to thin down the deer herd by doing so!! Also extend the fire arm or muzzy season another week would help to reduce herd, weather and not able to get into the woods as much has cut hunting time, give guys more time to hunt. Thanks Mike Scott

Michaels, Debbie

From: Nicpon [thebiggame1@hotmail.com]
Sent: Monday, February 09, 2009 2:33 PM
To: NRCFWRulesProject
Cc: Guinnup, Jacob
Subject: SUBSTANTIVE AMENDMENT SUGGESTION FORMHunting, Trapping or Taking Mammals

COMPREHENSIVE FISH & WILDLIFE RULES ENHANCEMENT PROJECT

Hunting, Trapping or Taking Mammals
Deer

First Name
Tony

Last Name
Nicpon

Email
thebiggame1@hotmail.com

County
Jasper

State of Residence
IN

Suggestions

Do away with the "one buck" rule on state owned FWA's. The resultsshow that the quality of the bucks harvested on these properties whenweighed and aged once "checked in" has not improved. Most "firearmseason hunters" are still taking "any legal buck" regardless of size.Intead, require a legal buck to be 4 points per side for the firearmseason. Another alternative would be to only allow bucks to beharvested on alternate years (during firearm season)on FWA properties,yet still allowing the one buck total per year rule to remain ineffect yearly for archery and late season muzzleloader hunting. Thereare enough opportunities statewide for the hunters who chose to onlyhunt the firearm season to harvest does. elsewhere.

**COMPREHENSIVE
FISH & WILDLIFE RULES PROJECT**

SUBSTANTIVE SUGGESTIONS

**TOPIC: HUNTING, TRAPPING, TAKING
MAMMALS**

SUB-TOPIC: DEER/OTHER

Michaels, Debbie

From: Olesh [oleshjoe@yahoo.com]
Sent: Monday, March 30, 2009 1:25 PM
To: NRCFWRulesProject
Cc: Guinnup, Jacob
Subject: SUBSTANTIVE AMENDMENT SUGGESTION FORMHunting, Trapping or Taking Mammals

COMPREHENSIVE FISH & WILDLIFE RULES ENHANCEMENT PROJECT

Hunting, Trapping or Taking Mammals
Deer

First Name
Joseph

Last Name
Olesh

County
Porter

State of Residence
IN

Suggestions

There has been on-going complaints made by the Indiana DNR that participation and attendance for the Indiana State Park Deer Reduction Hunts have been lacking.

From a repeating participating hunter's perspective, this is due to the current hunts being held on only consecutive Monday/Tuesday for both the Early and Late season hunts.

Move the Tuesday hunts to Fridays, which is easier for most employment age hunters to take off and which will then provide better participation and attendance.

FIELDS NOT DEFINED IN THE TEMPLATE FOLLOW

Michaels, Debbie

From: Cloud [drc3815@yahoo.com]
Sent: Saturday, January 24, 2009 4:24 PM
To: NRCFWRulesProject
Subject: SUBSTANTIVE AMENDMENT SUGGESTION FORMHunting, Trapping or Taking Mammals

Hunting, Trapping or Taking Mammals
blank

First Name
David (Ryan)

Last Name
Cloud

County
Rush

State of Residence
IN

Suggestions

I really dont know how to word this but this last firearm season, I was given a warning for hunting near bait for deer that I didnt know was there. I understand the rules of baiting and I abide by and support those rules. I would like to suggest that your name NOT be held on record in those cases. If it happens again , then what? Am I going to jail or possibly fined for someone else's actions ? Ive been hunting all my life and I know the odds arent in my favor for that situation again, but who knows what someone else is doing. In closing I just dont think its fair to a person who follows the rules and has to take the fall for someone else who is not following the rules. Thank you D. Ryan
Cloud

FIELDS NOT DEFINED IN THE TEMPLATE FOLLOW

Kane, Jennifer

From: Davis [dumbluck5@aol.com]
Sent: Sunday, January 25, 2009 6:25 AM
To: NRCFWRulesProject
Subject: SUBSTANTIVE AMENDMENT SUGGESTION FORMHunting, Trapping or Taking Mammals

Hunting, Trapping or Taking Mammals
Deer

First Name
Michael

Last Name
Davis

County
VIGO

State of Residence
IN

Suggestions

I SUGGEST IF A PERSON TURNS A DEER TO A SLAUGHTER HOUSE AND IT'S TOBE USED FOR THE FOOD BANKS THAT THE SLAUGHTER HOUSE SHOULD BE ABLE TOISSUE ANOTHER TAG FOR FREE IF THE HUNTER WANTS TO TAKE ANOTHER DEER. ISEE NO REASON TO PAY FOR A TAG, GIVE THE DEER AWAY TO HELP THE NEEDYTHEN HAVE TO PAY FOR ANOTHER TAG TO GET A DEER FOR YOURSELF. I FEELTHIS WOULD HELP REDUCE THE DEER POPULATION, HELP FEED THE POOR AND ENDPUTTING MORE FINANCIAL BURDEN ON THE HUNTER.

FIELDS NOT DEFINED IN THE TEMPLATE FOLLOW

Kane, Jennifer

From: fassett [glf4251951@comcast.net]
Sent: Sunday, January 25, 2009 1:04 PM
To: NRCFWRulesProject
Subject: SUBSTANTIVE AMENDMENT SUGGESTION FORMHunting, Trapping or Taking Mammals

Hunting, Trapping or Taking Mammals
Deer

First Name
greg

Last Name
fassett

County
cass

State of Residence
IN

Suggestions

With the over population of Doe's I believe the bonus permits forDoe's should be less cost restrictive. I agree with a limit on thenumber of bouns does you can take per county, but the cost has becomemore restrictive. I encounter fewer and fewer hunters, I hear fewerand fewer shots on opening day of gun season, it's not because thereare less deer in our state, but because there are fewer huntersactually out engaged in the hunting process. Make it easier and giventhe current economic conditions, make it easier and more costeffective for the hunter to hunt.

FIELDS NOT DEFINED IN THE TEMPLATE FOLLOW

Kane, Jennifer

From: Foor [Keith.D.Foor@Rolls-Royce.com]
Sent: Tuesday, January 27, 2009 9:01 AM
To: NRCFWRulesProject
Subject: SUBSTANTIVE AMENDMENT SUGGESTION FORMHunting, Trapping or Taking Mammals

Hunting, Trapping or Taking Mammals
Deer

First Name
Keith

Last Name
Foor

County
Marion

State of Residence
IN

Suggestions

Last year, the state prohibited ground blinds at state park reductionhunts. I am in favor of this rule change and would like to see thestate expand on this ruling to deer hunting in general during thefirearm season. Manufactured ground blinds should be allowed in theprocess of hunting deer. I would like to suggest that the statemandate that manufactured ground blinds be required to have hunterorange visible from the outside so that it is visible from 360 degreesduring the firearms season. I see this as a safety issue. It doesnot make much sense to require hunter orange for the hunter only tohave them conceal themselves in a manufactured ground blind. Havinghunted for many years, I can attest to not knowing that a ground blindwas in the vicinity while hunting due to concealment of the hunter.

FIELDS NOT DEFINED IN THE TEMPLATE FOLLOW

Kane, Jennifer

From: Newlin [davidnewlin@att.net]
Sent: Thursday, January 29, 2009 8:22 PM
To: NRCFWRulesProject
Subject: SUBSTANTIVE AMENDMENT SUGGESTION FORMHunting, Trapping or Taking Mammals

Hunting, Trapping or Taking Mammals
Deer

First Name
David

Last Name
Newlin

Email
davidnewlin@att.net

County
Brown

State of Residence
IN

Suggestions

Why is hunting by a feeder illegal while hunting over a food plot islegal?
Both are put out by man.

Kane, Jennifer

From: NEEDHAM [NEEDHAMSRULE@SBCGLOBAL.NET]
Sent: Thursday, January 29, 2009 11:52 PM
To: NRCFWRulesProject
Subject: SUBSTANTIVE AMENDMENT SUGGESTION FORMHunting, Trapping or Taking Mammals

Hunting, Trapping or Taking Mammals
Deer

First Name
EARNEST

Last Name
NEEDHAM

Email
NEEDHAMSRULE@SBCGLOBAL.NET

County
MARION

State of Residence
IN

Suggestions

Please consider;

1...making odd numbered years =
1 buck, with any tag, per year.

2...Even numbered years = 1 buck
with archery tag And 1 buck with some
type of firearm.

Thank You

Michaels, Debbie

From: Brewer [dansam@localnet.com]
Sent: Saturday, January 31, 2009 5:39 PM
To: NRCFWRulesProject
Subject: SUBSTANTIVE AMENDMENT SUGGESTION FORMHunting, Trapping or Taking Mammals

Hunting, Trapping or Taking Mammals
Deer

First Name
Bill

Last Name
Brewer

Email
dansam@localnet.com

County
Fountain

State of Residence
IN

Suggestions

I personally don't need too, but I feel that baiting of Deer might give young and inexperienced hunters the ability to look at a buck a little longer and decide whether or not it is mature or a management buck. The same holds true with mature Does. If you want more Deer killed to control population, what's the difference if you hunt close to a mineral block or shelled corn or bean field?

Michaels, Debbie

From: Limcaco [mattlimcaco@aol.com]
Sent: Wednesday, February 04, 2009 7:37 AM
To: NRCFWRulesProject
Cc: Guinnup, Jacob
Subject: SUBSTANTIVE AMENDMENT SUGGESTION FORMHunting, Trapping or Taking Mammals

COMPREHENSIVE FISH & WILDLIFE RULES ENHANCEMENT PROJECT

Hunting, Trapping or Taking Mammals
Deer

First Name
Matthew

Last Name
Limcaco

Email
mattlimcaco@aol.com

County
Vigo

State of Residence
IN

Suggestions

Decrease the bonus County antlerless permits for Vigo County (and others). It should be reduced from Eight to Four.

Michaels, Debbie

From: sprinkle [lebrianesprink@psci.net]
Sent: Tuesday, February 10, 2009 7:54 PM
To: NRCFWRulesProject
Cc: Guinnup, Jacob
Subject: SUBSTANTIVE AMENDMENT SUGGESTION FORMHunting, Trapping or Taking Mammals

COMPREHENSIVE FISH & WILDLIFE RULES ENHANCEMENT PROJECT

Hunting, Trapping or Taking Mammals
Fox, Coyote, Skunk

First Name

brian

Last Name

sprinkle

Email

lebrianesprink@psci.net

County

perry

State of Residence

IN

Suggestions

Tree stand removal date:

I would like DNR to consider changing the required date of treestandremoval from deer season ending date to furbearer (coyote, fox, etc.)season ending date. This would apply to DNR controlled property. ThankYou, Brian E. Sprinkle

Michaels, Debbie

From: cleary [afssadmin@ai.org]
Sent: Monday, March 02, 2009 4:09 PM
To: NRCFWRulesProject
Cc: Guinnup, Jacob
Subject: SUBSTANTIVE AMENDMENT SUGGESTION FORMHunting, Trapping or Taking Mammals

COMPREHENSIVE FISH & WILDLIFE RULES ENHANCEMENT PROJECT

Hunting, Trapping or Taking Mammals
Deer

First Name
james

Last Name
cleary

County
dearborn

State of Residence
IN

Suggestions

I deer hunt by myself. I am 73 years old. I kill 4 to 5 a year. my problem is I need help getting the deer out of the field, and finding help in a timely manner is hard to do. If quartering up the deer were legal, I could pack it out myself in several trips. In order to identify the sex, one quarter would have to have either male sex organs attached or the female udder. Also the head would have to accompany the quarters. I am sure a lot of us old hunters would like to see this legal.

Thank you,

jcleary@fuse.net

FIELDS NOT DEFINED IN THE TEMPLATE FOLLOW

Michaels, Debbie

From: Eggen [joneggen@gmail.com]
Sent: Monday, March 16, 2009 12:27 PM
To: NRCFWRulesProject
Cc: Guinnup, Jacob
Subject: SUBSTANTIVE AMENDMENT SUGGESTION FORMHunting, Trapping or Taking Mammals

COMPREHENSIVE FISH & WILDLIFE RULES ENHANCEMENT PROJECT

Hunting, Trapping or Taking Mammals

Deer

First Name

Jon

Last Name

Eggen

Email

joneggen@gmail.com

County

Hendricks

State of Residence

IN

Suggestions

The current deer hunting rules state that it is illegal to use aninfrared sensor to locate deer. Since many deer hunters use trailcameras to locate trophy bucks they are technically violating the lawsince these cameras all operate through the use of a infrared sensorto detect the deer in order to take the picture. Please change thelanguage to make it clear that these are legal or illegal.

Michaels, Debbie

From: Jalovecky [afssadmin@ai.org]
Sent: Thursday, January 22, 2009 7:51 PM
To: NRCFWRulesProject
Subject: SUBSTANTIVE AMENDMENT SUGGESTION FORMHunting, Trapping or Taking Mammals

Hunting, Trapping or Taking Mammals
Deer

First Name
Jay

Last Name
Jalovecky

County
Laporte

State of Residence
IN

Suggestions
make bating legeal on private lands.so new hunters can also have achance to make a good and safe shot on deer.
other states allow batingwith no problems.

Deer drives are legal in IN. But not safe for other hunters,and noone can make a good shot on a running deer.

FIELDS NOT DEFINED IN THE TEMPLATE FOLLOW

Michaels, Debbie

From: Bruner [rebruner@yahoo.com]
Sent: Wednesday, January 21, 2009 11:21 PM
To: NRCFWRulesProject
Subject: SUBSTANTIVE AMENDMENT SUGGESTION FORMHunting, Trapping or Taking Mammals

Hunting, Trapping or Taking Mammals
Deer

First Name
Rodney

Last Name
Bruner

Email
rebruner@yahoo.com

County
jackson

State of Residence
IN

Suggestions

permit use of 4 wheelers on public hunting areas for retrieval only of deer. Dragging a deer 1/2 mile is no fun. Also, talked to another hunter and with a cart, and a helper took him 6 hours to get a kill to the road. 4 wheelers would have to stay only on old logging roads, not to be ridden all over. This would help open up some of the more remote areas for hunting. Let's face it, how many people are willing to drag a deer as noted above? The price of leasing land is not for the average wage earner this day and time, thus there is a need to make the public lands more user friendly.

Kane, Jennifer

From: RICHMOND [NEIL67282@VERIZON.NET]
Sent: Monday, January 26, 2009 9:13 AM
To: NRCFWRulesProject
Subject: SUBSTANTIVE AMENDMENT SUGGESTION FORMHunting, Trapping or Taking Mammals

Hunting, Trapping or Taking Mammals
Deer

First Name
NEIL

Last Name
RICHMOND

County
ELKHART

State of Residence
IN

Suggestions

WOULD LIKE TO SEE THE FEEDING OF DEER BANNED. THERE IS LOCAL DEERFEEDING IN WAKARUSA, INDIANA THAT AFFECTS THE LOCAL HUNTERS AND THEDEER HEALTH. MICHIGAN JUST PASSED THE LAW AND I WOULD LIKE TO SEEINDIANA FOLLOW. WHEN YOU HUNT IN AN AREA WHERE SOMEONE FEEDS DEERYEAR ROUND IT AFFECTS THE NUMBER YOU SEE. THIS CERTAIN DEER FEEDERHUNTS ON THE LAND HE FEEDS. I AM SURE HE STAYS THE REQUIRED NUMBER OFFEET FROM FEEDING STATION, BUT THAT IS NOT HUNTING. I WILL QUIT OFTENGO BY HIS HOUSE AND SEE 30-100 DEER AT ANY GIVEN TIME. NOT TO MENTIONIT IS BY THE ROAD AND CAUSES PEOPLE TO BE VERY CAUTIOUS GOING BY. MYMAIN CONCERNS ARE THE HEALTH OF THE HERD AND THE LOCAL HUNTERSSIGHTINGS ARE WAY DOWN.

FIELDS NOT DEFINED IN THE TEMPLATE FOLLOW

Kane, Jennifer

From: Redd [redddog70@hotmail.com]
Sent: Friday, January 23, 2009 8:41 AM
To: NRCFWRulesProject
Subject: SUBSTANTIVE AMENDMENT SUGGESTION FORMHunting, Trapping or Taking Mammals

Hunting, Trapping or Taking Mammals
Deer

First Name
Jason

Last Name
Redd

County
Shelby

State of Residence
IN

Suggestions

Allow doe's to be taken on State property in Sullivan County. I've hunted Minni and Green Sullivan because I have property there. Typical hunting year there is you see 100's of does vs mature bucks. The last 4 days of season, the deer are so spooked you usually don't see significant deer anyway!

FIELDS NOT DEFINED IN THE TEMPLATE FOLLOW

Kane, Jennifer

From: Smith [witherstick@earthlink.net]
Sent: Thursday, January 22, 2009 10:43 AM
To: NRCFWRulesProject
Subject: SUBSTANTIVE AMENDMENT SUGGESTION FORMHunting, Trapping or Taking Mammals

Hunting, Trapping or Taking Mammals
Deer

First Name
Kirk

Last Name
Smith

Email
witherstick@earthlink.net

County
Hamilton

State of Residence
IN

Suggestions

While many are asking for the DNR to make it even easier for them totake deer, most long time and devoted hunters should ask for morestringent rules!

My experience has been that with the proliferation of bonus permitsand the liberal use of every new technical advance to make up forone's lack of skill, more and more people run to the woods and harvesta young deer or two and then quit hunting. They have put litle effortinto the pursuit and likewise feel little drawn to it.

Therefore, I suggest that bonus permits never be allowed on publicland. I'm sorry. But, you can ask anyone who has to hunt on publicland. It is a shooting gallery for the novice hunters and those withno place to hunt. The deer on IN public land are young and not veryplentiful. It is an awful hunting experience that doesn't keep peoplehunting nor encourage them to continue!

In fact, I think that it would be best for hunting's future if allpublic land was under one set of very stringent rules!!! It is time toend the use of anterless permits and limit the technology on fireamrsand compounds.

Kane, Jennifer

From: Tindall [hntrjack@yahoo.com]
Sent: Thursday, January 22, 2009 12:38 PM
To: NRCFWRulesProject
Subject: SUBSTANTIVE AMENDMENT SUGGESTION FORMHunting, Trapping or Taking Mammals

Hunting, Trapping or Taking Mammals
Deer

First Name
Jack

Last Name
Tindall

Email
hntrjack@yahoo.com

County
Marion

State of Residence
IN

Suggestions

Manage the deer herd like the states of Illinois and Iowa. They are known to have world class whitetails and are able to keep their management and trophy goals achieved every year.

The single buck rule is a step in the right direction but more needs to be done.

Non-resident gun tags should be on a draw.

Non-resident archery tags should be capped.

The gun season should be moved later in the year and reduced to two 3 day seasons. Then allow a longer muzzleloader season and or antlerless season to reach antlerless harvest goals.

Michaels, Debbie

From: Kerr [kevinke@othy.com]
Sent: Wednesday, January 21, 2009 4:06 PM
To: NRCFWRulesProject
Cc: Guinnup, Jacob
Subject: SUBSTANTIVE AMENDMENT SUGGESTION FORMHunting, Trapping or Taking Mammals

Hunting, Trapping or Taking Mammals
Deer

First Name
Kevin

Last Name
Kerr

County
Whitley

City

State of Residence
IN

Suggestions

Clarify to all the residents why Canned hunting was not adopted inIndiana (thank You) but why there are still allowing this to bedone.Can they or cant they???? Kevin Kerr

FIELDS NOT DEFINED IN THE TEMPLATE FOLLOW

Michaels, Debbie

From: Preflatish [preflat@psci.net]
Sent: Wednesday, January 21, 2009 4:06 PM
To: NRCFWRulesProject
Cc: Guinnup, Jacob
Subject: SUBSTANTIVE AMENDMENT SUGGESTION FORMHunting, Trapping or Taking Mammals

Hunting, Trapping or Taking Mammals
Deer

First Name
Steve

Last Name
Preflatish

County
Perry

City

State of Residence
IN

Suggestions

I think that the Deer hunting Bow season be changed to 'Primitivehunting'. Along with bows, primitive weapons (such as flintlocks andatlatls be allowed to be used. These weapons have the same range,etc. as a bow. This is DEFINITELY not to include in-linemuzzleloaders, as presently they have ballistics similar to smokelessrifles.

This is merely to 'level the playing field' for those of us who useflintlock weapons exclusively.

This could produce additional revenue if a separate 'primitive'license is needed.

Thanks for considering this.

FIELDS NOT DEFINED IN THE TEMPLATE FOLLOW

Michaels, Debbie

From: Becraft [afssadmin@ai.org]
Sent: Saturday, January 17, 2009 4:59 AM
To: NRCFWRulesProject
Cc: jschroeder@www.in.gov
Subject: SUBSTANTIVE AMENDMENT SUGGESTION FORMblank

blank
blank

First Name
Richard

Last Name
Becraft

County
Lawrence

City

State of Residence
IN

Suggestions

I suggest the DNR resist the efforts of people who want to get alltheir hunting done in one weekend to restrict season to their personavailability of time off work or to introduce additional centerfireweapons in to deer seasons to pacify the incompetent. If they can'tkill a deer with a rifle, pistol, cross bow, muzzle loader, or bow andarrow they are going to be just as incompetent with what ever they cryfor next year.

FIELDS NOT DEFINED IN THE TEMPLATE FOLLOW

Michaels, Debbie

From: pugh [dpugdpugh1998@live.com]
Sent: Saturday, January 17, 2009 4:38 AM
To: NRCFWRulesProject
Cc: jschroeder@www.in.gov
Subject: SUBSTANTIVE AMENDMENT SUGGESTION FORMHunting, Trapping or Taking Mammals

Hunting, Trapping or Taking Mammals
Deer

First Name
dallas

Last Name
pugh

County
miami

City

State of Residence
IN

Suggestions

i would love to see button bucks count as an antlerd deer there are to many being shot just try it for one or two years we need tosave the young bucks to make bigger bucks for the next generation toenjoy

FIELDS NOT DEFINED IN THE TEMPLATE FOLLOW

Michaels, Debbie

From: bacon [jbwhhtail@aol.com]
Sent: Friday, January 16, 2009 3:07 PM
To: NRCFWRulesProject
Cc: jschroeder@www.in.gov
Subject: SUBSTANTIVE AMENDMENT SUGGESTION FORMHunting, Trapping or Taking Mammals

Hunting, Trapping or Taking Mammals
Deer

First Name
joe

Last Name
bacon

County
marion

City

State of Residence
IN

Suggestions

Recently the Indiana Deer Hunters Association was notified of an Indiana resident who died in Michigan deer hunting, his family was asking for donations as he had no savings or insurance. But that is not the gist of this request.....

He was hunting in a pop up ground blind and was shot by another hunter who did not see the blind, let alone know someone was inside it. With the ground blinds today as well as shooting houses on stilts should they not be required to have hunter orange on them when occupied? Deer hunters ARE still required to wear hunter orange inside of them, but with camo netting, brushing them in and just the general darkness inside we may not realize a hunter is inside. I added the shooting houses that are raised also because people may also be quail or pheasant hunting in the same area. Wing shooting can be a split second decision and without the blaze orange an accident could occur. A blaze orange/hunter orange patch attached to all sides when a hunter is present would not be unreasonable in my opinion. I'm talking deer not turkey, there are far more gun deer hunters out there than turkey hunters. Orange is required in gun deer, not in turkey.

My request is for a rule requiring some reasonable size of blaze orange material attached to all sides of a blind or elevated, enclosed shooting house when a hunter is inside of it.

FIELDS NOT DEFINED IN THE TEMPLATE FOLLOW

Michaels, Debbie

From: Hoffman [nateandaimi@psci.net]
Sent: Thursday, January 15, 2009 8:48 PM
To: NRCFWRulesProject
Subject: SUBSTANTIVE AMENDMENT SUGGESTION FORMHunting, Trapping or Taking Mammals

Hunting, Trapping or Taking Mammals
Deer

First Name
Nathan

Last Name
Hoffman

Email
nateandaimi@psci.net

County
Perry

State of Residence
IN

Suggestions

Make every deer hunter that plans on hunting deer to call and get aHIP number like it is required for game birds. This would give the DNRan accurate number of deer hunters in the State. Information couldalso be asked to which county a hunter plans on hunting openingweekend or primarily what county they will hunt in. This is data thatcould be beneficial.

**COMPREHENSIVE
FISH & WILDLIFE RULES PROJECT**

SUBSTANTIVE SUGGESTIONS

**TOPIC: HUNTING, TRAPPING, TAKING
MAMMALS**

SUB-TOPIC: DEER/MISC. LICENSE SUGGESTIONS

Kane, Jennifer

From: Chandler [sctypk@yahoo.com]
Sent: Wednesday, January 28, 2009 9:20 AM
To: NRCFWRulesProject
Subject: SUBSTANTIVE AMENDMENT SUGGESTION FORMHunting, Trapping or Taking Mammals

Hunting, Trapping or Taking Mammals
Deer

First Name
Scott

Last Name
Chandler

County
Marion

State of Residence
IN

Suggestions

I believe it would help build the hunting ranks of a license type for young hunters that do not qualify for the youth license were created. Young people between 18 and 23 or 24 who are still in college struggle financially. It is a difficult time when these young people are trying to establish independence from their parents. If a comprehensive license could be created similar to the youth license that would require proof of enrollment in college it would allow these people easier access to the sport we all love so much.

FIELDS NOT DEFINED IN THE TEMPLATE FOLLOW

Michaels, Debbie

From: Carter [brendacarter@insightbb.com]
Sent: Tuesday, February 10, 2009 8:07 PM
To: NRCFWRulesProject
Cc: Guinnup, Jacob
Subject: SUBSTANTIVE AMENDMENT SUGGESTION FORMHunting, Trapping or Taking Mammals

COMPREHENSIVE FISH & WILDLIFE RULES ENHANCEMENT PROJECT

Hunting, Trapping or Taking Mammals
Deer

First Name
Larry

Last Name
Carter

Email
brendacarter@insightbb.com

County
Floyd

State of Residence
IN

Suggestions
Set up a Senior Citizen license for firearms and muzzleloader at \$24.00 for 1 buck and 1 doe.

Kane, Jennifer

From: Wildeman [wildemank@netnitco.net]
Sent: Monday, February 23, 2009 8:49 PM
To: NRCFWRulesProject
Cc: Guinnup, Jacob
Subject: SUBSTANTIVE AMENDMENT SUGGESTION FORMHunting, Trapping or Taking Mammals

COMPREHENSIVE FISH & WILDLIFE RULES ENHANCEMENT PROJECT

Hunting, Trapping or Taking Mammals
Deer

First Name
Keith

Last Name
Wildeman

Email
wildemank@netnitco.net

County
Jasper

State of Residence
IN

Suggestions

I suggest that DFW work with the insurance companies and encourage them to offer discounts to those individuals who purchase deer hunting licenses. The amount offered from the companies should be more than the cost of the current deer licenses, say \$100 +. This would be a win-win situation for both parties involved. Many people would jump at the chance to buy licenses to save money on their auto insurance, even if they don't hunt. This would increase revenues for the DFW. Some of these people may never hunt, but there would be additional revenue to support wildlife management in this state. It might also encourage some lapsed hunters to take up the sport again if they buy a license to save some money and get the idea to hunt again because they already have a license. It would also be a reward to all those die-hard deer hunters who buy licenses year after year to harvest more deer to decrease deer vehicle collisions. Insurance companies would benefit from fewer deer being hit on Hoosier roads and people's safety would benefit. This would be a great alternative to HB 1585 that really will not impact increased deer harvest. The HB even cites a deer hunters survey where only 7% of hunters harvest more than 2 deer. If they aren't killing the 8 deer already allowed in certain counties I doubt doubling it to 16 will do anything.

Michaels, Debbie

From: Beckman [rbeckman@cinci.rr.com]
Sent: Wednesday, January 21, 2009 8:55 PM
To: NRCFWRulesProject
Subject: SUBSTANTIVE AMENDMENT SUGGESTION FORMHunting, Trapping or Taking Mammals

Hunting, Trapping or Taking Mammals
Deer

First Name
Rob

Last Name
Beckman

Email
rbeckman@cinci.rr.com

County
Hamilton

State of Residence
OH

Suggestions

I would like to see Indiana's hunting licenses be setup similar toother states around it.

I.e. Ohio and Kentucky you purchase a hunting license for small game. Then you purchase tags for turkey and deer to go with the huntinglicense.

This would give hunters the ability to hunt small game while alsobeing able to choose to hunt big game.

Currently licensing deters hunters, especially out of state hunters,from pursuing small game because they have spent so much on the biggame licenses.

Michaels, Debbie

From: petho [stimber1@aol.com]
Sent: Wednesday, January 21, 2009 4:35 PM
To: NRCFWRulesProject
Cc: Guinnup, Jacob
Subject: SUBSTANTIVE AMENDMENT SUGGESTION FORMHunting, Trapping or Taking Mammals

Follow Up Flag: Follow up
Flag Status: Red

Hunting, Trapping or Taking Mammals
Deer

First Name
steve

Last Name
petho

County
lake

City

State of Residence
IN

Suggestions

I am legally disabled. I hunt deer and need help to hunt. indiana doesnot have any programs to help disabled deer hunters.I am fortunate tolive close to illinois. they have a great disabled deer huntingopportunities for the disabled. I am very lucky to be able toparticipate in there special hunts. the down side to that is I as anonresident must pay 300.00 dollars for a deer tag.please contact meif you can. I will explain there hunting programs. the state ofindiana is years behind on disabled hunting programs. the satisfactionand rewards would be great for not only us disabled hunters but alsofor the state of indiana and all the volunteers. please call me Iwould be willing to talk to anyone to start a disabled hunting programin indiana thank you steve petho phone 1-219-742-1523

FIELDS NOT DEFINED IN THE TEMPLATE FOLLOW

ADDDTO: Deer. Misc. License Sugg.

Michaels, Debbie

From: Miller [donnymilr3@yahoo.com]
Sent: Wednesday, January 21, 2009 4:44 PM
To: NRCFWRulesProject
Subject: SUBSTANTIVE AMENDMENT SUGGESTION FORMSpecial Permits and Licenses

Special Permits and Licenses

Other

First Name

Don

Last Name

Miller

Email

donnymilr3@yahoo.com

County

Marion

State of Residence

IN

Suggestions

I would like to see that all veterans of military service recieve afree lifetime hunting and fishing lisence

Kane, Jennifer

From: Messer [halfcherokee@verizon.net]
Sent: Thursday, February 19, 2009 9:01 PM
To: NRCFWRulesProject
Cc: Guinnup, Jacob
Subject: SUBSTANTIVE AMENDMENT SUGGESTION FORMSpecial Permits and Licenses

COMPREHENSIVE FISH & WILDLIFE RULES ENHANCEMENT PROJECT

Special Permits and Licenses
blank

First Name
Henry

Last Name
Messer

Email
halfcherokee@verizon.net

County
Randolph

State of Residence
IN

Suggestions

Their are other states that will allow disabled vets and otherdisabled people hunt small game , birds , deer & trap etc on onelicense. Would it not be easier if In. came up with something thatwas more condensed as such as these other states? That way we don'thave to carry 2 or 3 different licenses for deer, wild game etc. Haveit all rolled up into one with one price.

Kane, Jennifer

From: Messer [halfcherokee@verizon.net]
Sent: Thursday, February 19, 2009 9:03 PM
To: NRCFWRulesProject
Cc: Guinnup, Jacob
Subject: SUBSTANTIVE AMENDMENT SUGGESTION FORMSpecial Permits and Licenses

COMPREHENSIVE FISH & WILDLIFE RULES ENHANCEMENT PROJECT

Special Permits and Licenses
blank

First Name
Henry

Last Name
Messer

Email
halfcherokee@verizon.net

County
Randolph

State of Residence
IN

Suggestions
Their are other states that will allow disabled vets and otherdisabled people hunt small game , birds , deer & trap etc on onelicense. Would it not be easier if In. came up with something thatwas more condensed as such as these other states? That way we don'thave to carry 2 or 3 different licenses for deer, wild game etc. Haveit all rolled up into one with one price.

